

Daniel Levy – Further Evidence to support my RMA presentation

Images included in my power point:







These photos illustrate that the following land use table on page 8 of the Robertson Supplementary Terrestrial Ecological Values Assessment (May 13th 2022) underestimates the recently cleared or sprayed vegetation. This should have been much greater than 6.6 Hectares.

| Dominant Vegetation and Habitat Type | | Proposed Residential Zone Area (ha) | % of Proposed Residential Zone Area (ha) |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Regenerating kānuka shrubland with patchy canopy and highly degraded understorey | 16.35 ha | 11.2% |
| 2. | Regenerating mixed māhoe-exotic scrub with patchy canopy and highly degraded understorey | 0.69 ha | 0.5% |
| 3. | Predominantly exotic scrub/trees with highly degraded understorey | 6.80 ha | 4.7% |
| 4. | Pasture grasses with occasional native-exotic shrubs/trees | 106.63 ha | 73.2% |
| 5. | Gorse with occasional native-exotic shrubs | 5.23 ha | 3.6% |
| 6. | Recently cleared or sprayed vegetation | 6.60 ha | 4.5% |
| 7. | Accessways (predominantly no vegetation) | 3.30 ha | 2.3% |
| Total | | 145.60 ha | 100% |

