

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**)

AND

IN THE MATTER of **Private Plan Change 28** to the Nelson Resource Management Plan

RECORD OF MEETING IN RELATION TO:

PLANNING (1)

22 April 2022

Meeting Held on: 22 April 2022

Venue: Online

Independent Facilitator: Marlene Oliver

Admin Support: Jessica Marchbanks

1 Attendance:

- 1.1 **Facilitator's Note** – This session (Planning(1)) was to be specific to submissions from MOE, F&E NZ and NMDHB. Planning experts for MOE and F&E NZ were unavailable and expert conferencing on these submissions will be rescheduled to a later date.

For the NMDHB, Jane Murray is employed as a Policy Advisor with the DHB but she is not an expert RMA planner. Therefore this session has been described as a meeting and this document provides a record.

- 1.2 The list of participants is included in the schedule at the end of this Record.

2 Basis of Attendance and Environment Court Practice Note 2014

- 2.1 All participants agree to the following:
- (a) The Environment Court Practice Note 2014 (as relevant to the meeting) provides relevant guidance and protocols for the session;
 - (b) They will make themselves available to appear before the Hearing Panel;
 - (c) This record is to be filed with the Hearing Panel and posted on the Council's website.

3 Matters considered - Agenda and Outcomes

3.1 NMDHB Submission and Additional Comments to inform the s42A Report.

Jane Murray pre-circulated a document (dated 12 April 2022) with additional comments relating to the NMDHB's submission and previous discussions held. A copy of the NMDHB document is attached.

After further discussion on all of the points raised in the attachment, the overall position expressed by Mark Lile and Gina Sweetman is that the PPC 28 proposed planning provisions in combination with the current NRMP provisions already provide for some of the outcomes sought by the DHB (e.g. internal amenity, energy efficiency and active and public transport linkages through the comprehensive housing provisions in Appendix 22). These existing and proposed provisions do not preclude any of these matters being implemented by developers and/or landowners.

Both Mark Lile and Gina Sweetman consider that if more specific provisions were to be introduced then it should be done on a whole of plan basis rather than through bespoke provisions in PPC 28. Alternatively, non-RMA initiatives could be taken to introduce such matters such as the example provided by the DHB in regards to Wellington City Council. It is also to be noted that Central Government also has an engagement draft National Medium Density Design Guide.

In respect to affordable housing and inclusionary zoning Gina Sweetman and Mark Lile do not consider that there is sufficient information and evidence provided to demonstrate exactly what the relief sought was (e.g. what objectives, policies or rules would be appropriate) and how these matters could be appropriately addressed through the plan change and the NRMP.

At the close of the meeting Jane Murray confirmed that the DHB would not be pursuing their submission and relief any further through the PPC 28 plan change process.

4 PARTICIPANTS TO MEETING AND RECORD

4.1 The participants to this Meeting and Record, as listed below, confirm that:

- (a) They agree that the outcome(s) of the meeting are as recorded in this statement; and
- (b) As this session was held online, in the interests of efficiency, it was agreed that each participant would verbally confirm their position to the Facilitator and this is recorded in the schedule below.

Confirmed online on 22 April 2022:

| NAME | PARTY | CONFIRMATION REFER PARA 4.1 |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Mark Lile (P) | Applicant | Yes |
| Gina Sweetman (P) | S42A NCC | Yes |
| Jane Murray (Policy Advisor) | NMDHB | Yes |