



Nelson City Council
Te Kaunihera o **Whakatū**

Notice of the Ordinary meeting of

Nelson City Council

Te Kaunihera o Whakatū

Date:	Thursday 11 May 2023
Time:	9.00a.m.
Location:	Council Chamber Floor 2A, Civic House 110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson

Agenda

Rārangi take

Chairperson

His Worship the Mayor Nick Smith

Deputy Mayor

Deputy Mayor Rohan O'Neill-Stevens

Members

Cr Matty Anderson

Cr Matthew Bengé

Cr Trudie Brand

Cr Mel Courtney

Cr James Hodgson

Cr Kahu Paki Paki

Cr Pete Rainey

Cr Campbell Rollo

Cr Rachel Sanson

Cr Tim Skinner

Cr Aaron Stallard

Quorum 7

Nigel Philpott
Chief Executive

Nelson City Council Disclaimer

Please note that the contents of these Council and Committee agendas have yet to be considered by Council and officer recommendations may be altered or changed by the Council in the process of making the formal Council decision. For enquiries call (03) 5460436.

Karakia and Mihi Timatanga

1. Apologies

Nil

2. Confirmation of Order of Business

3. Interests

3.1 Updates to the Interests Register

3.2 Identify any conflicts of interest in the agenda

4. Public Forum

There will be no Public Forum

5. Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges

4 - 155

Document number R27635

Recommendation

That the Council

1. Receives the report Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges (R27635) and its attachments (839498445-14391-1, 839498445-14391-2 and 839498445-14406); and

2. Accepts the late submissions (tabled at the meeting) on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges 2023/24.

Note: Following the Agenda being produced it was found that some submitters commentary had been cut off. Additional attachments have been added to provide the full commentary – please refer to the Open Attachments document for the full submission.

Karakia Whakamutanga



Council

11 May 2023

REPORT R27635

Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To hear the submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges 2023/24.

2. Summary

- 2.1 Council consulted on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document alongside the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges 2023/24 from 29 March to 30 April 2023. Hearings of submitters on both consultations will be held jointly but there will be separate deliberations.
- 2.2 Council received 354 submissions on the Consultation Document (see Attachment 2: 839498445-14391-1). Council also received 54 submissions on the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges (see Attachment 3: 839498445-14391-2). 55 submitters want to be heard.
- 2.3 The draft hearing schedule for 11 and 12 May 2023 and list of submitters who wish to be heard is attached (Attachment 1839498445-14406). Please note that speaking slots are still being scheduled and an updated Hearing Schedule will be tabled at the meeting. Any late submissions will be tabled at the meeting.

3. Recommendation

That the Council

- 1. Receives the report Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges (R27635) and its attachments (839498445-14391-1, 839498445-14391-2 and 839498445-14406); and***

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges

2. ***Accepts the late submissions (tabled at the meeting) on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges 2023/24.***

Author: Nicky McDonald, Group Manager Strategy and Communications

Attachments

- Attachment 1: 839498445-14406 - Draft Hearing Schedule for 11 and 12 May 2023 and list of submitters who wish to be heard [↓](#)
- Attachment 2: 839498445-14391-1 - Submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document [↓](#)
- Attachment 3: 839498445-14391-2 - Submissions on the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges 2023/24 [↓](#)
- Attachment 4: 1876279902-58 - Attachments to Submissions Annual Plan 2023 (Provided under Separate Cover)

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 1

Annual Plan Hearings 2023/24:		
List of submitters wishing to be heard		
(Timing specifics are still being worked through with submitters and a detailed Hearings Schedule will be provided at the beginning of the Hearings)		
Submission number	Name	Organisation
42	Elizabeth Dooley	
43	Dan McGuire	
45	Elizabeth Perone	
46	Elizabeth Parkes	
54	Sean Walker	
56	Serge Crottaz	
64	Bevan Woodward	Bicycle Nelson Bays
77	Chris Whitaker	
94	Tony Stallard	
109	Jacquetta Bell	Friends of the Maitai
112	Lindsay Wood	Resilienz Ltd
115	R.T. Morris	
120	Jenny Easton	Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman
156	Lucy Charlesworth	
183	Anna Fyfe	Multicultural Nelson Tasman Inc
184	Richard Sullivan	
189	Ed Shuttleworth	Tasman Regional Sports Trust
194	Glen James	Glen James Jewellers
196	Faye Wulff	
197	Julie Catchpole	The Suter Art Gallery Te Aratoi o Whakatu
199	Scott Burnett	Forest and Bird
203	Alastair Cotterill	
208	Tilman Walk	
212	Robert Stevenson	
218	Stephen Todd	Federated Farmers of New Zealand
219	Glenn Turner	Natureland Wildlife Trust
224	Andrew Spittal	CCKV Maitai Dev Co LP
225	Louise Devine	Gibbons Holdings Ltd
232	John Fitchett	J&K Issue Family Trust
233	Fiona Wilson	Nelson Regional Development Agency
234	Glenys MacLellan	
235	Neil Deans	
237	Giles Burton	
238	Doug Saunders-Loder	New Zealand Federation of Commercial Fishermen
236	Katharine Malcolm	
242	Lucinda Blackley-Jimson	Tasman Bays Heritage Trust
246	Ari Fon	Search and Rescue Nelson Inc
279	Ren Kempthorne	
280	Johny O'Donnell	
283	Noah Hosie	
285	Noah Hosie	Nelson Surf Life Saving Club
287	Mary O'Brien	CCS Disability Action
290	Peter Kemp	

839498445-14406

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 1

293	Stephanie Phillips	
303	Cleve Cameron	Big Street Bikers
309	Gaire Thompson	
313	William Samuels	
321	Graham Hill	Nelson Marina Berth Holders Association
326	Peter Olorenshaw	Nelsust Inc
329	Kura Stafford	Te Taiuhu Iwi Implementing Te Mana o Te Wai Project
332	Ali Boswijk	Nelson Tasman Chamber of Commerce
350	Ali Boswijk	Nelson Festivals Trust
351	Anne Rush	Make/Shift Spaces Inc
355	Gretchen Holland	
361	Peter Taylor	

839498445-14406

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
2	Stephen Portsmouth	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Absolutely not. Rises in rates should be kept at an absolute zero at a time when no household can afford such an increase. Last year my rates increased by 20% at a time when inflation was at almost zero (It seems the council only use the inflation game when it suits them). I am a single occupier with a single income and it hit my budget hard, and I apparently earn 'too much' for the council's ludicrously low rebate thresholds. It's high time the council introduced single occupancy discounts like the rest of the civilised world. (eg Lichfield council in Staffordshire UK give 40% off)	
2	Stephen Portsmouth	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Personally I feel it should be 25 years which would free up some budget to reverse some of the other infrastructure balls ups that survived the latest storms.	
2	Stephen Portsmouth	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	\$200,000 to 'investigate opportunities'. That's a hell of a lot of 'investigating'... But much better than the ludicrous \$17.8m.	
2	Stephen Portsmouth	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely not. We need to freeze this now. This is a project for the good times, not when the country and it's residents are in a cost of living crisis. In these times of hardship lowering the financial burden on the majority of Nelson taxpayers should come before a park, cycle lanes and playground of which Nelson already a good choice of. More would be nice but Nelson doesn't have a crying need to support this amount of expenditure.	
2	Stephen Portsmouth	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Personally I feel all costs should fall on the developers.	
2	Stephen Portsmouth	⑥ General comments	As I said above, it is high time the council introduced council tax discounts to single occupancy homes or increase it's rebate thresholds to realistic levels. The UK has had this provision for many decades and Europe much the same. Why do we not have that provision in NZ?	
3	Robin Whalley	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
3	Robin Whalley	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
3	Robin Whalley	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes. The central city will have a number of obsolete buildings in the next decade as Banks and Department stores close. (Book 21 lessons for the 21st Century) we need to look at recycling these buildings as the Museum did with the Countrywide Bank building cnr. Of Trafalgar and Hardy Streets.	
3	Robin Whalley	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
3	Robin Whalley	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	no	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
4	Ian Bowman	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
4	Ian Bowman	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
4	Ian Bowman	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
4	Ian Bowman	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
4	Ian Bowman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
5	Steve Copley	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, but...Unfortunately my present pay offer is far below this rate, so its going to be hard to afford	
5	Steve Copley	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
5	Steve Copley	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes. The riverside location just doesn't make sense anymore	
5	Steve Copley	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, yes, yes... It what the city needs and it would be very remiss to miss out on the govt funding for this. Please move on this ASAP	
5	Steve Copley	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	i understand that not doing this would delay the start of the project should the appeal not be successful, but id rather wait to see the outcome... Otherwise thats a lot of money to soend on a project that could be tied up in issues for years	
6	Mic Dover	6 General comments	My submission is that NCC should not be spending any ratepayers' money on this short-sighted plan, especially before the EC Appeal has been heard. Did you not see the flooding in the Kāka valley in 2022? Spend the money on intensification not greenfield development in flood and slip-prone land that should never have been rezoned.	
7	Neil Lindsay	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes. Keeping the rates rise to inflation is a good balance between minimising impact on the community and delivering council services.	
7	Neil Lindsay	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes. This is a good balance.	
7	Neil Lindsay	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes. I think there is a real need for a community hub in the city centre - a library could be part of a package of things in the hub (e.g. recreational facilities, bars, etc.)	
7	Neil Lindsay	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Strong yes. Lets get intensification moving!	

839498445-14391-1

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
7	Neil Lindsay	6 General comments	Keep up the good work on this and all the best for the rest of the process.	
8	Peter Wall	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
8	Peter Wall	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, but it does seem a long way ahead - how about over 5 years?	
8	Peter Wall	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
8	Peter Wall	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
8	Peter Wall	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No - definitely not. This development should NOT be proceeding on environmental grounds.	
8	Peter Wall	6 General comments	Re-cycling:- 1. bring back soft-plastic facilities 2. enable plastic lids to be recycled - it's crazy excluding them. If the lid is on the container, surely it can get along the conveyor belt (although somehow the lid needs to get off at the end!)	
9	Thomas Wahlgren	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=AT61
9	Thomas Wahlgren	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	I think we should spend the \$600 K, that is earmarked for the Maitai valley project, and speed up the recovery instead. The Maitai valley development will highly increase the risk of new floodings so better put another \$500K into a yearly recovery fund - because it will happen again, probably sooner than expected. The idea that this was a once in a 200-year event is sheer fantasy.	
9	Thomas Wahlgren	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Nelson doesn't need a library that big in that place, it will be the next flood victim together with properties like the Riverside swimming pool. If the Maitai development goes ahead the volume of nature that will be covered in asphalt, concrete and roof will make the next flood so much more severe. Save the money!	
9	Thomas Wahlgren	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Nelson city needs people at night, not just empty buildings and carparks. But there is too much focus on cars, make the city centre livable and make a bold plan and good use of the money!	
9	Thomas Wahlgren	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not! Most Nelsonians are against this development, there is no way we should speed up this process and fund it with tax money. Postpone any spending in wait for new regulations about building on floodplains. It is not half a year ago the city was hit hard and the council is already planning ahead as if nothing has happened.	
10	Sarah Varey	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
10	Sarah Varey	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Whatever economists think is best.	

839498445-14391-1

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
10	Sarah Varey	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes. Options need to be thoroughly investigated.	
10	Sarah Varey	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes!	
10	Sarah Varey	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. It is still within the court process. Wait until the outcome has been decided.	
10	Sarah Varey	⑥ General comments	How the Maitai development meets any targets astounds me. There is so much roading work to be done at the Maitai Rd/Nile St intersection and the next one-lane bridge. Add to that the real possibility of future flooding in that zone!?!?	
11	David Haynes	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Need to find ways to reduce it further. Your proposed increases will further fuel the inflation and unaffordability spiral.	
11	David Haynes	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, but for God's sake stop doing the same hard engineering of streams and rivers - that is part of the cause of why waterways can be so devastating. https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=zkmJRJaPBXE & feature=youtu.be	
11	David Haynes	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	\$200,000 is a ridiculous amount of money for a report. You need to communicate how long the Elma Turner library is good for now it is/has been modified. Just saying "nearing the end of its life" isn't helpful. If we can keep it open for another 5 years then ditch the \$200k consultants fee.	
11	David Haynes	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Conditional upon there actually being central city living. Bit of a chicken and egg thing but fully supportive of medium rise central apartments.	
11	David Haynes	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not. Why the f*ck am I expected to subsidise a commercial property developer's profit? Shall we have a whip round for Jeff Bezos whilst we're at it? This should absolutely fall 100% on the shoulders of the developer as a component of the developer's contribution. Shame on you for even entertaining such a 'socialism for the rich' proposition.	
11	David Haynes	⑥ General comments	Reasonable hash of the 2023/24 plan. Presume there's no contingency fund for Murphy's Law?	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
12	Gary Scott	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. This project should not proceed. There are ample sections already being developed in Nelson. Some of which are so expensive they will take years to sell. Too many developers are going bankrupt all over the country for exactly that reason. What makes this Maitai Valley one so different? It is going to destroy the last green Valley close to the city. I know it is going in a tributary of the Maitai, but the years of construction activity will make the Maitai road very dangerous, noisy and dusty. With only one road into the Kaka Valley Ralphine Way will be destroyed in no time. Over the past few weeks they installed a short 'farm track' at the entrance to the development. The road is 12meters wide with two culverts installed. The dust and noise from 6am to 7pm for 3 weeks of trucks going up full and down empty ruined our normally peaceful surroundings. Even the bird life left. Ralphine Way has been damaged near the top and needs resealing. We are a retired couple, and I am disabled, who have been trying to sell up and move, but no ones got enough money anymore. Our mental health is being affected and we know that it will be a nightmare for 6-7 years with heaps of tradies vans in convoy plying our street. When the 750 houses have been completed the permanent daily traffic volume will be in the 1000s of movements. The council should not contribute to something that a private consortium will benefit from. The traffic lights at the end of our street, the pedestrian crossings that will be necessary to transfer people using branford park, the replacement of the Gibbs bridge, the lights at the Nile Street intersection are all so this development can go ahead, so should be funded by them. The next and most important reason is that you know this whole area is in a flood zone and several slips occurred in August. I doubt if the buyers will get insurance for another event like that. The Kaka Valley is cold and frosty in winter and the extra catchment area of roads, driveways and roofs will create stormwater issues never before seen. No matter what the developers say, they	
13	Jaimie Barber	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes and no. The timing of some projects need to be reconsidered to lower the hike. We can't keep spending like we're a first world country, because we are not. A rate of hike of 5% should be the maximum in this economic environment.	
13	Jaimie Barber	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes I agree 10 years is about right.	
13	Jaimie Barber	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	During a cost of living crisis, the focus should be around whether to spend \$200k or not and what we can do to extend the life of the existing amenities.	
13	Jaimie Barber	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely. Generalised infrastructure investment in and around the CBD should be a priority. E.g not subsidising specific projects.	
13	Jaimie Barber	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not. The developer should be responsible for 100% of the costs of their project.	
13	Jaimie Barber	6 General comments	Your questions are seeking too narrow an answer i.e. they're leading questions. You should be seeking wider views and opinions.	
14	Benjamin Plows-Kolff	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
14	Benjamin Plows-Kolff	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
14	Benjamin Plows-Kolff	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
14	Benjamin Plows-Kolff	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
14	Benjamin Plows-Kolff	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I do not agree with the proposed subdivision. If it is going ahead regardless then the funding should be allocated however I would like to see all of Nelson benefit with improved accessibility to the value through better public transport connections and dedicated cycleways & walkways to the Maitai Valley so that all of Nelson can make the most of this important natural and recreational area.	
15	Frans Dellebeke	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes.....nearly everyone has financial pressure of some sort at the moment.	
15	Frans Dellebeke	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes...	
15	Frans Dellebeke	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No, get on with the existing plan. If not it will drag on for another few years.	
15	Frans Dellebeke	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes....	
15	Frans Dellebeke	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No it seems like a lot of money	
15	Frans Dellebeke	6 General comments	Follow through on major projects and get them finished, as there has been little progress in Nelson for a long time.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
16	Lyndsay Powdrell	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I would like to express my dismay that council is intending to fund \$606,000 towards the above development. The flooding to the Nile Street area following the approval by the RMA process for it to go ahead, was shocking, and extremely worrying for all of our residents in the area downstream from the Maitai river. Of course it was well known by NCC of the huge opposition to the development, even prior to the August floods, so imagine our concerns now. With the international acceptance of global warming and its effects, particularly in relation to housing development, surely NCC must see the many risks in allowing the 'Maitai' village to go ahead. I accept that we must face a rate rise in light of the repair work facing Nelson following the floods particularly, but I strongly object to my money going towards this development, which will increase the vulnerability of my home to more risk of floods, apart from all the other reasons I have stated in previous communication around this proposal. That money should be spent on anything else deemed necessary for our region, not to ruin this lovely, treasured by many, valuable green space, so close to the city. Is NCC not listening to the science surrounding global warming and its affects?	
17	Robin Harris	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	While a 0% rise would be nice, realistically that would not be feasible so, yes, I support the council plan to minimise the rise in rates required to 7.2%.	
17	Robin Harris	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	This is a huge cost that will need to be spread over a number of years so, yes, 10 years but, having said that, every effort must be made to keep costs down, getting the maximum benefit from the money spent.	
17	Robin Harris	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	The suggestion to reduce the spending is welcome news. I also think it is important that a better site should be investigated - what about the museum position? I think that the idea of building the new library as part of a community hub is great. However any new site must be above flood level, not on the banks of a flood prone river.	
17	Robin Harris	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I think this upgrade is a very important step to facilitating central city living which will help lighten the transport/traffic load and hopefully allow for an increase in more affordable housing. The help from central government funding would be a welcome.	
17	Robin Harris	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	While I can understand why development consent over such a large area would be sort by the developer, I am not in favour of it as not stands and would prefer that smaller areas be carefully selected over time and full consideration given to maintaining natural features with special emphasis given to recreation. With the recent flooding and consequent slippage in mind the topography of the proposed area for housing should be carefully scrutinised by a truly independent body.	
18	Caroline Vine	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I wish to oppose using ratepayers money for the development. I don't agree with public expenditure to fund such a project in this area after the terrible August floods in the lower river last year. If the developers wish to proceed they should use their own money not the ratepayers. I hope the Environment Court sees this plan as a no go. Thankyou Caroline Vine	
20	Kathleen Hanchet	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
20	Kathleen Hanchet	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
20	Kathleen Hanchet	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
20	Kathleen Hanchet	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
20	Kathleen Hanchet	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
20	Kathleen Hanchet	6 General comments	I want a focus put on more safety on our roads and cycleways.	
21	Alan Bywater	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Although not mentioned in your consultation document I understand that one of the changes from Year 3 in the LTP being proposed is not increasing the funding for the Nelson Regional Development Agency for inflation in 2023/2024. I oppose this proposal. The NRDA is undertaking a number of long term programmes to support and enhance the regional economy. Several of these were included in the Statement of Intent at Nelson City Council's request. Reducing funding for these long term activities for the short term is counter productive. One consequence could be that NRDA has to let go of skilled staff that will be difficult to replace in the tight labour market. The Council has signed a three year funding agreement with NRDA which included an annual inflationary adjustment. I am not sure whether this allowed for the Council to alter this funding arrangement during the three years or not, but fell that the Council made a funding commitment for three years and should honour that agreement. Don't make this short term reduction in NRDA funding that could have medium to long term effects.	
22	Silala Warmense	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	it would be great if it could be lower but understandable	
22	Silala Warmense	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	partially, greater lobbying to insurance companies and eqc to do better.	
22	Silala Warmense	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	not sure	
22	Silala Warmense	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes with central govt funding	
22	Silala Warmense	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	maybe - not sure	
23	Margaret Soderberg	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I would ask the Council to consider any areas of discretion as this rates rise will be difficult for many families to absorb.	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
23	Margaret Soderberg	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
23	Margaret Soderberg	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes - a new library is unaffordable at \$17.8 million	
23	Margaret Soderberg	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes - although people living in the city centre in apartments need somewhere to recreate and green spaces to view. There may also need to be some thought as to noise control especially in Bridge St when renewing liquor licences.	
23	Margaret Soderberg	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes - this is critical to extending affordable homes in the city without pushing people out beyond Waimea Road and adding to the roading woes.	
24	anthony oakly	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	no	
24	anthony oakly	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
24	anthony oakly	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
24	anthony oakly	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	no	
24	anthony oakly	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes as we are desperate for new housing in Nelson	
24	anthony oakly	6 General comments	I think that any new subdivisions need to be fast-tracked and red tape removed	
26	Gary Scott	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes you have to do what you have to do because I assume you have no alternative	
26	Gary Scott	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
26	Gary Scott	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes. The library was one of Rachel Reese vanity projects. Don't spend money on things that don't show a payback of some sort to the community	
26	Gary Scott	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	only if necessary for known repair	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
26	Gary Scott	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. This project should not proceed. There are ample sections already being developed in Nelson. Some of which are so expensive they will take years to sell. Too many developers are going bankrupt all over the country for exactly that reason. What makes this Maitai Valley one so different? It is going to destroy the last green Valley close to the city. I know it is going in a tributary of the Maitai, but the years of construction activity will make the Maitai road very dangerous, noisy and dusty. With only one road into the Kaka Valley Ralphine Way will be destroyed in no time. Over the past few weeks they installed a short 'farm track' at the entrance to the development. The road is 12meters wide with two culverts installed. The dust and noise from 6am to 7pm for 3 weeks of trucks going up full and down empty ruined our normally peaceful surroundings. Even the bird life left. Ralphine Way has been damaged near the top and needs resealing. We are a retired couple, and I am disabled, who have been trying to sell up and move, but no ones got enough money anymore. Our mental health is being affected and we know that it will be a nightmare for 6-7 years with heaps of tradies vans in convoy plying our street. When the 750 houses have been completed the permanent daily traffic volume will be in the 1000s of movements. The council should not contribute to something that a private consortium will benefit from. The traffic lights at the end of our street, the pedestrian crossings that will be necessary to transfer people using branford park, the replacement of the Gibbs bridge, the lights at the Nile Street intersection are all so this development can go ahead, so should be funded by them. The next and most important reason is that you know this whole area is in a flood zone and several slips occurred in August. I doubt if the buyers will get insurance for another event like that. The Kaka Valley is cold and frosty in winter and the extra catchment area of roads, driveways and roofs will create stormwater issues never before seen. No matter what the developers say, they	
27	Stephen Wynne-Jones	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes.	
27	Stephen Wynne-Jones	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
27	Stephen Wynne-Jones	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
27	Stephen Wynne-Jones	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
27	Stephen Wynne-Jones	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
27	Stephen Wynne-Jones	6 General comments	See attached.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maintenance/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=C4LUBI
28	David LYTTLE	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes but the money should only be spent on essential services including public transport	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
28	David LYTTLE	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
28	David LYTTLE	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I think any money on a new library is a waste of money. Make do with what we have	
28	David LYTTLE	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes but care needs to be taken that the centre of the city does not become a crime ridden slum	
28	David LYTTLE	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I dont support the housing in the Maitai Valley. so No	
28	David LYTTLE	6 General comments	Council needs to focus on the provision of core services and cut all needless spending. Core services are water, roads, sewerage and rubbish.	
29	Alexandra Isherwood	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	See attached.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maintenance/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=90W2XD
30	Benjamin Gass	6 General comments	Hi Team, Can someone in council please enlighten me on what social and corporate percentages actually are and what this expenditure is used for as outlined in the "pie" graph of the 23/24 Annual Plan and why are both in Operating and Capital expenditures. These combined are large budget costs? I can find no reference in the consultation documents relating to these expenditures in the budgets. Look forward to receiving a reply. Cheers Benjamin Gass	
31	Vicky Jackson	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, or even somewhat higher; infrastructure requires much work and repair.	
31	Vicky Jackson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes this will spread out the costs to existing ratepayers.	
31	Vicky Jackson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Not sure. A community hub is a good idea. But a Library is extremely important, not just for books, DVD's, but for research, access to computers and support for those who have little or no digital hardware in their homes. So in the long term a good Library (or maybe it should be called something else) is crucially important.	
31	Vicky Jackson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, this is a great idea. It will keep the city centre more vibrant and should reduce violence and vandalism.	
31	Vicky Jackson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. I do not agree with this housing development. The Maitai Valley should remain a place of recreation for Nelson city dwellers. Also the August 2022 floods show that this is not a suitable place for housing. No housing development should take place on flood plains.	
32	Catherine Harper	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes i do support this	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
32	Catherine Harper	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes I support this	
32	Catherine Harper	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I think the current Library is sufficient and building a brand new Library unnecessary.	
32	Catherine Harper	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I believe developers should pay for infrastructure upgrades in the Central City and elsewhere themselves.....resident rates should not go to lining their pockets and making them richer and the City poorer. There are so many other things to spend our rates on to make this a more vibrant place to live. They make so so much out of a development otherwise if that was not the case there wouldn't be so many developers still active	
32	Catherine Harper	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO.....DEFINITELY NOT. I do not want my rates helping these developers who care not a hoot about our beautiful natural Maitai Valley or any residents who will be impacted by their greed. It takes a lot to scrape together our rate money and i would like it used wisely.The proposed development area is a flood plain and Wetland and this development should not go ahead....it is unnecessary and not needed and our rates should not be used in this fashion supporting developers building in unwise locations.	
32	Catherine Harper	6 General comments	Nick...you seem to be doing good for Nelson City and the ratepayers but.....please listen to the thousands of people who see rates being given to the developers of this contentious development in The Maitai Valley as a slap round the face	
33	Wei Siew Leong	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I do not support the rates rise. I believe it should be lower.	
33	Wei Siew Leong	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes. I also do not believe that the cost of repairs should fall solely on ratepayer's shoulders. This should be part of what the government uses tax money for - why isn't the government putting together a resilience fund made up of all the carbon tax credits they are receiving? Climate change damage paid for by payment for climate polluters.	
33	Wei Siew Leong	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I agree with reduced funding. I do not believe in this time that Council should be looking to spend more on community projects such as arts, which are luxuries in a time of austerity. Arts centres only benefit the few who have the excess funds to enjoy it.	
33	Wei Siew Leong	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	We need to reduce urban sprawl and the loss of arable land to unsustainable 'sausage' suburbs that only increase climate change. Denser housing in a city environment is a good thing as long as it does not tip the balance in favour of lower socio-economic housing. Having a balance of income earners living in the city will enable it to become a thriving centre for quality accommodation and life styles. This will balance Nelson as a livable city in future.	
33	Wei Siew Leong	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I am not in favour of more urban sprawl.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
33	Wei Siew Leong	6 General comments	I am angry that Council is stating figures like a '20% increase rise' when inflation is running at 7%. I think you have made the words look like a 7% rise is 'cheap' in comparison to the flagrant overspending you were intending. As you are very well aware, no one is getting more for their dollar. And making it look like you're caring about how much is being spent when actually you're keeping up with inflation is disrespectful. My household spending has had to cut back on things I shouldn't have to at my age and stage. I can no longer afford health insurance, I have increased my excess on my house insurance and I have cut out on other discretionary expenditure such as holidays to accommodate the 10% rise in rates and other prices that I have experienced since I moved to Nelson in 2021. The rates rise is in fact the steepest rise I've not been able to control in addition to mortgage rates. It's not ok to keep on raising rates. You got a nice rate rise when you revalued everyone's properties last year. Are you going to value them back down now that prices are not what they were?	
35	Tony Lindbom	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding (see attached).	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=XSSTDL
36	John Corfield	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
36	John Corfield	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
36	John Corfield	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
36	John Corfield	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
36	John Corfield	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
37	Dharan Longley	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No. Cut the bureaucracy and spend money on helping cash-strapped residents!	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=KS9PLY
37	Dharan Longley	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, spread the cost. It's called budgeting.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=QF2WA
37	Dharan Longley	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, we do NOT need a new library. A total waste of money we dont have, amd cant afford. ASK the people what they want! We will tell you how to practically use a limited amount of money.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=WDROID

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
37	Dharan Longley	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, businesses are closing and you need to re-enliven the central city to make them more people and business friendly environments.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=COV55U
37	Dharan Longley	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO. I do NOT support the Maitai subdivision at all. Save the Maitai before it gets destroyed.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=4YPOXR
37	Dharan Longley	6 General comments	I would like to see NCC actively collaborating with local businesses to start recycling polystyrene. Mitre 10 are doing it, as are Hope Moulded Polystyrene. So where is the central collection centre for consumers to appropriately help this process? You could so easily set up a recycling bin at the Recycling Centre. I've been lobbying for this for some years and nothing has been done.	
38	Justin Lo	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, minimising is ideal. However I do understand the current economy status at the moment which would require increased rates. It is easier on individual households to have a reduced increase of rates for daily spending.	
38	Justin Lo	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No, it should be sped up and moved forward. I.e. more spending over shorter period of time as severe events are more likely to occur within a span of 10 years.	
38	Justin Lo	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No, not at all. However i do agree with reduced spending, but not by that degree. Perhaps a 50% drop would be sufficient for preliminary purposes.	
38	Justin Lo	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
38	Justin Lo	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes I agree and support this funding.	
39	Andrea Warn	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Everything is increasing in price but our wages aren't. By increasing rates the Council is taking food from the table and impacting families, the elderly and infirm the most. I do not agree with this increase. It shouldn't be above 3 to 5% increase.	
39	Andrea Warn	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
39	Andrea Warn	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
39	Andrea Warn	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. I do not agree we should allocate funding of \$606,000 in 2023/24 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision. Whilst there is a nationwide housing shortage, we have multiple different sites in Nelson under development already and should focus on intensification of the city centre alongside these current sites. To build a new green field development in Nelson's last undeveloped Valley on a known flood plain is careless and setting these future property owners for heartache. Why are the NCC allowing 2 story housing to be built on an area that was under 8ft of water in August? I would like public notification of this decision as well.	
40	Gemma Walsh	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
41	Jeremy Butler	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No, prefer that more was spent to implement Te Ara o Whakatu plan more quickly.	
41	Jeremy Butler	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
41	Jeremy Butler	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, absolutely.	
41	Jeremy Butler	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
42	Elizabeth Dooley	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Broadly, yes, but I am concerned about how certain infrastructure repairs are managed. In Cleveland Terrace, we have just had a major waterpipe upgrade (outside my house). In the years since I have lived here, the pipe was repaired many, many times - more often as the years rolled by. It was even repaired twice in 2 days recently. I feel the decision not to replace the pipe caused too much expenditure to contractors. In other words I feel it was badly managed and we need an internal auditor to manage such expenditure.	
42	Elizabeth Dooley	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Broadly yes, but will steps be taken to ensure we don't replace something which will be taken out in the next weather event.	
42	Elizabeth Dooley	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I am disappointed that important community infrastructure is not prioritised. Libraries in particular, while serving all of us, are especially important to those members of our community who are in hardship and cannot participate in community life through a lack of money, a lack of cheap available transport and social difficulties around joining groups. Such people are welcome at libraries. If they are cold, they can come and get warm and read the paper; they can talk to someone. Children can read and relax away from, perhaps, crowded, inadequate housing. All these things are necessary if we are to have a peaceful and contented community, caring for each other in practical ways.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
42	Elizabeth Dooley	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, yes and yes. I want to walk to amenities. I want to see life on the street - humans, not cars. I want to breathe fresh air, not petrol fumes. I want to walk unobstructed, without fear of being hit by a car driven by someone not paying attention. I would love a car-free city centre where we would all be safe, whether we are disabled, young, old, intelligent or intellectually challenged. I want to interact with the people of Nelson - not a car bumper.	
42	Elizabeth Dooley	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	This is a crazy idea. There is a recession looming. This type of development does not enable the denser type of dwellings we need now. Money for infrastructure needs to be spent in the places where housing already exists. Where infill housing is possible. We need to move car yards to the outer edges of the city and build apartments on the blocks they currently occupy. The Bayview Development is sitting on land that would be suitable for a regional park, close enough to town for all of us to walk to and enjoy. It is madness to spread out like this. The river and its surroundings are far too important to allow this pointless disruption and pollution. It is so against the future NCC knows we need.	
43	Dan McGuire	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No	
43	Dan McGuire	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
43	Dan McGuire	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	See my submission	
43	Dan McGuire	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No	
43	Dan McGuire	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
43	Dan McGuire	6 General comments	<p>THINGS YOUR COUNCIL DOESN'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT: The examples in the dubious production known as Live Nelson only identify what NCC wants you to consider. Its constant attempts to put a positive spin on agendas without a word of the colossal calamities that have occurred over the last twelve years is more than a little annoying. Let's start with the new CEO: I am told that he lives in Mapua and will be travelling each day to City Hall in a ratepayer funded vehicle. This is in spite of the fact that NCC has a climate emergency policy which is primarily designed to reduce vehicle numbers – mmmh! Very bad decision that needs to be reviewed: Under the previous mayor, councillors voted for Nelson ratepayers to accept liability for any debt incurred by a combined port-airport entity. It was hoped by those who opposed this arrangement that the current council would revisit the decision, but so far there has been no review. The cost of new infrastructure for the port and airport should be borne by the port-airport entity itself. Any bad decisions that have consequences should not fall on the homes of ratepayers. Archives: This very valuable entity is currently at Isele Park but there is an agenda to shift it to a site beside the present regional museum in Trafalgar Street. Without plans or specifications, wild figures and development costs have been reported in the media which obviously are meaningless. The best solution is to improve and upgrade the existing accommodation at Isele Park. NCC already owns a lot of property in the city that does not contribute to the rate take. This situation must be reversed. Maitai Valley Residential Development: Any prudent council would wait for the court to decide before loading up the ratepayer with infrastructural costs that may not be needed. Too often ratepayers are forced to fund projects that never happen. This warped policy must be modified. Council paying for entertainment: Would it not be better for the private sector to provide entertainment? This is costing ratepayers millions each year. Public Halls: Before NCC gets excited about building any more public</p>	
44	Lisa Jennings	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
44	Lisa Jennings	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
44	Lisa Jennings	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
44	Lisa Jennings	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
44	Lisa Jennings	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
45	Elizabeth Perrone	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes I agree. This provides an opportunity to make Nelson accessible for all. The present footpaths, crossings and curbs make an unsafe environment for our disabled residents and visitors. An audit done well would highlight those areas that need addressing with prioritising and solutions included. Go Accessible Nelson	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
46	Elizabeth Parkes	6 General comments	See attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=4E02SP
49	Alyson Baker	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I am concerned that the plans for a new library are once again being kicked down the road. A new library (as is the existing library) IS a community hub, not part of one. A new building would enable the library to properly accommodate activities and services, both their own and those of other community groups and organisations. It would be a symbol of Nelson being a welcoming, informed, and informing city. I understand the strain on the budget the floods and climate change effect prevention/mitigation will have, but this wording suggests that rather than a delay, the council is, once again (for well over ten years now) going back to the drawing board regarding plans for the future of the central library. From this, together with the delay of the Nightingale Library Memorial roof and no mention of plans for Stoke Library expansion, it appears the libraries are not a priority for the council, and as they provide services for a wide range of Nelson's demographic, they should be.	
50	Mark Holmes	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, I think you are attempting to strike a good balance.	
50	Mark Holmes	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, with built-in reliance where possible.	
50	Mark Holmes	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
50	Mark Holmes	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. everything must be done to halt the built environment from encroaching on green space.	
50	Mark Holmes	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO NO NO Urban creep must be stopped dead in its tracks. NOW!	
50	Mark Holmes	6 General comments	I would like to cry foul for the:1 - inadequate description of the development. The term "Matai Valley" must be used in the headline description so as to make things completely clear to those unfamiliar with the Maori terminology ie Mahitahi.2 - complete lack of any map or diagram which demonstrates the exact location of the proposal.	
51	Karen Gilbert	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
51	Karen Gilbert	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No, we need to bring it forward	
51	Karen Gilbert	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
51	Karen Gilbert	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes 100%	
51	Karen Gilbert	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No absolutely not. This is before the environment court. I disagree with this greenfield development when we should be intensifying cbd housing. As a property owner who was flooded in the August floods and is still not back in their house, this development will cause huge problems downstream with its development.	
52	Elizabeth Gould	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
52	Elizabeth Gould	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	YES!	
52	Elizabeth Gould	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
52	Elizabeth Gould	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	YES- very important	
53	Chrissie Ward	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, but more could be done.	
53	Chrissie Ward	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, definitely.	
53	Chrissie Ward	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	There is definitely a need for a new library, in a location away from the river (flooding possibility), but I don't see why it should be part of a 'community hub' - whatever that may be. Surely it would be cheaper to just have a new library?	
53	Chrissie Ward	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
53	Chrissie Ward	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Nelson ratepayers should not, under any circumstances, be subsidising the developers of this controversial proposed development. It will offer no benefits to the community, and indeed will detract from the amenity value of the Maitai Valley.	
54	Sean Walker	6 General comments	Please see attached.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=LI00U0
55	Hemi Toia for Ngati Koata Limited	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
55	Hemi Toia for Ngati Koata Limited	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
55	Hemi Toia for Ngati Koata Limited	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
55	Hemi Toia for Ngati Koata Limited	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Maybe	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
55	Hemi Toia for Ngati Koata Limited	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
56	Serge Crottaz	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes but only if it is sufficient to fund all the infrastructure development to make Nelson a safe city.	
56	Serge Crottaz	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	YES. Central city living will prevent development of the Maitahi Bayview Development	
56	Serge Crottaz	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO NO NO.This development is unwelcome as proven by most of the 13.000 signatures on the petition and it will definitely affect my home in 25 Mill Street. How come the council is wanting to help the developers and proceed more quickly?What we're proposingThe developer will be responsible for servicing the proposed development, but there are some trunk services which Council will need to ensure are upgraded over an estimated period of three to five years. Council recognises the importance of secure water and wastewater services and safe and sustainable transport connections, and that these can be delivered efficiently and economically by integrating the proposed development into the wider utility and transport networks. The work required includes: A new water main from Nile Street East through to the proposed development site, then an upgraded main from the Council trunk main in Tasman Street and the construction of a new storage reservoir in a location above the proposed development area Wastewater services installed from the Council pump station in Sovereign Street through to the proposed development site Upgrading transport connections at the existing intersection of Nile Street and Maitai Valley Road Providing improved cycleway/footpath/bridge connections in the Maitai Valley locality.Stormwater will be provided by on-site detention. And what happens when the on-site detention is full? It will overflow into the Maitai River and flood my house as well as many other! The flooding in Auckland and the damage created by the cyclone Gabrielle should be a strong warning sign not to proceed with this development.Cost Exact cost splits between Council and the developer for these proposed developments are to be determined, but the developer will pay a share iwhat share, it should be 100%, I do not want any of my rate helping in anyway a private enterprise to make some profit from this unwelcome development! of the total costs. Council is proposing budget of \$606,000 in 2023/24 to initiate this work with the balance of any funding being	
57	Tama Easton	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I'm fine with the proposed rate rise. I'm a residential & business ratepayer & don't mind rate rises as long as the money is spent wisely on climate, community & infrastructure resilience.We have to consider inflation & previous underinvestment, so I understand that rate risers may sometimes be more than inflation.	
57	Tama Easton	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	What happens if we have another, similar extreme weather event in the next 10 years?	
57	Tama Easton	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I'd like to see a Civic Centre included in this scope - e.g. Council building, library & community hub.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
57	Tama Easton	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely. I only ask that work is scheduled in a way that minimises impact on businesses & residents in the CBD. My business is on Bridge Street & I support the planned infrastructure upgrade & park concept for Bridge Street. Cities should be for people, not cars & the sound of someone being hit by a car outside our office happens with sickening regularity. It would be good to see affordable housing options for young professionals. I have a number of staff in their 20s/30s & I'd love to see them all able to afford their own home.	
57	Tama Easton	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No opinion.	
57	Tama Easton	6 General comments	I love the concept of a 10-15 minute city & strongly support any investment towards this: https://research.uintel.co.nz/x-minute-city/	
58	Rob Graham	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, this level of rates rise seems a reasonable level in the circumstances	
58	Rob Graham	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes,	
58	Rob Graham	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No. I think the Riverside location is more fitting for such an important facility	
58	Rob Graham	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, I strongly support all aspects of this initiative	
58	Rob Graham	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Difficult to answer this question. So much will depend on the quality of urban design and the resulting built form.	
58	Rob Graham	6 General comments	I would like to express strong support for the following Council proposals: - increased investment in maintenance measures for Tāhunanui beach - initial design work on the Tāhunanui Beach lifesaving/sports facility	
59	Steve Radcliffe	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes, within reason of course, Nelson has always been very proactive with infrastructure projects, however you need to balance rates in current climate.	
59	Steve Radcliffe	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, make perfect sense.	
59	Steve Radcliffe	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes.	
59	Steve Radcliffe	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
59	Steve Radcliffe	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Totally agree, Nelson needs more housing within the boundary of central Nelson, it makes perfect sense this development goes ahead so infrastructure spend to enable that is planned and actioned.	
60	Andrew Dowdle	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes but only if they enter into the three waters entities in good faith and secure funding through there as well. Otherwise in near future rates rises will need to be significantly more.	
60	Andrew Dowdle	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes.	
60	Andrew Dowdle	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
60	Andrew Dowdle	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes as long as we enter into the Thee Waters Entity which will help fund this.	
60	Andrew Dowdle	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes I support the allocation of this funding. Important more housing stock is made available close to Nelson CBD.	
60	Andrew Dowdle	6 General comments	We must be open to entering into the Three Waters entities. Structure must change so lets be part of the solution and not hold back on being at the forefront and lead the way.	
61	Greg McIntyre	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Managed rate increase to fit with inflation are wise.	
61	Greg McIntyre	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	I would expect that the cost of the repair would be paid for with borrowed finds? So spreading the rate payer burden over 10yrs does not make sense, as the cost would be in loan repayments. Getting the repairs completed would be better, and building in future proofing would be best done sooner rather than later. .	
61	Greg McIntyre	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
61	Greg McIntyre	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	YES	
61	Greg McIntyre	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	YES	
61	Greg McIntyre	6 General comments	More funding and pre-planning on real and efficient cycle commuter trails and links that are separate to car sharing and are safe.	
62	George Gibbs	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
62	George Gibbs	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
62	George Gibbs	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
62	George Gibbs	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Definitely yes.	
62	George Gibbs	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. This is because I have reservations about this whole development proposal.	
62	George Gibbs	6 General comments	Hurry up and develop some bus lanes into and out of the city to and from Richmond so that I can get a quick trip into and out of the city, and others will be given an alternative to cars. There is room on Waimea Road and Beatson Rd for this to happen almost immediately, so get on with it. There need to be more city streets where speed is limited to 30k's per hour to make walking and cycling safer.	
63	Ellie Davies	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=CMAI3T
64	Bevan Woodward for Bicycle Nelson Bays	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, a safe active transport route across the central city (Bridge Street) would improve safety and amenity for active transport users.	
64	Bevan Woodward for Bicycle Nelson Bays	6 General comments	We encourage NCC to go harder and faster on improved active transport. This means more 30 km/h neighbourhoods, safer intersections, and protected cycleways on 50 km/h roads. We encourage NCC to reduce the amount of free/cheap all-day parking, by either charging for it or reallocating the space in order to: 1) enable space for better transport options, eg: bus lanes and protected cycleways 2) provide funding to expedite progress on improving transport options 3) encourage more drivers to use alternative options, thus reducing congestion and emissions.	
65	Tony Milne	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, I agree	
65	Tony Milne	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes. I support the allocation of this funding	
66	Rob Pooley	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes but only on the basis that its deemed adequate. If we have to pay more - increase the %.	
66	Rob Pooley	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes if that level of smoothing provides comfort, EVERY element of remedial work on infrastructure is given 100% funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
66	Rob Pooley	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No, because this level of reduction is probably not achievable. Yes if it means we get wider consultation and a more logical proposal.	
66	Rob Pooley	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, it is progress and managing and readying for change. Move forward	
66	Rob Pooley	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding. Yes because Council should be supporting all logical expenditure on this and any development delivering provision for growth. Nelson has been stalled/stymied for too long. Growth is real. Growth presents change. Manage the change and GROW.	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	⑥ General comments	See submission document attached.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=F-WGBFG
68	Curtis Moore	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes. I think it's reasonable given the current rate of inflation. Services need to continue and quality staff need to be retained.	
68	Curtis Moore	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, it was a large event that will take time to recover from. Undoubtedly something else weather-wise will arise and it will be good to have the ball rolling. Plus, mitigation works currently taking place put us in a better spot to continue making improvements.	
68	Curtis Moore	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes. I was OK with the former library site, but it seems enough people want to revisit. I think it's hard to communicate it's more than books and more of a community hub. The potential value to the city is challenging to realise, but I think it's worth the effort. It does feel as though the clock is ticking on the current site and some expediency would be appreciated.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
68	Curtis Moore	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	100%. Making the CBD more bike and pedestrian friendly is key. The incentive of central government funding should not be missed out on again. Infrastructure upgrades first, of course but then a little life in town would be essential to thriving in the future. Short sighted car parkers can be appeased.	
68	Curtis Moore	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	While I don't approve of the development, I do think the responsible thing is to be properly prepared for the possibility of construction starting.	
68	Curtis Moore	6 General comments	Rates rises, a new community hub, infrastructure upgrade, storm recovery, housing in the CBD all contribute to a more robust and bustling Neslon which is what we all want. It all costs money, as most things do. However, not spending it means wallowing downhill until no residents or visitors want to be here anymore. So, I think while we're still doing well is a good time to pick up and move forward with our current momentum.	
69	Simon Dobson for Bayview Nelson Limited	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
70	Jennifer Quaid	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
70	Jennifer Quaid	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
70	Jennifer Quaid	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Current library, whilst repairs must be made, serves the needs of users well. As a library user, I have always found the library a pleasant and functional environment. A new library is a want NOT a need by some on council.	
70	Jennifer Quaid	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
70	Jennifer Quaid	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	STRONGLY DISAGREE! strongly oppose the use of rate payers money to fund the infrastructure upgrade necessary because of an insane decision by Nelson City Council to approve an intensive housing development on the very edge of what has been a popular recreation and swimming area for over 100 years.This private plan was deceptively kept from public knowledge by NCC for several years until virtually impossible to stop.a 13000+ petition was presented and ignored.A dubious RMA commission upheld councils decision. The commissioners showed scant regard for any due diligence when one of them had no idea of the location of the swimming holes after 7 days of the hearing!Further evidence of the stupidity of the plan was clearly shown during the 2022 devastating floods. Yet the RMA commissioners refused to consider this in their decision.How much more evidence is needed before developers and council finally acknowledge it's not a great idea to build on river flats and hills subject to slipping (Gabrielle) ???How much more urban run off can the Maitai River cope with let alone the increase in volume when it floods?Now NCC has the audacity to ask ratepayers to contribute to this private development.Will rate payers money be paying for storm water outlet into popular Denne's Hole??Will rate payers money be paying for a sewer line following the western bank only a few metres from Denne's Hole??Will rate payers money be paying for further infrastructure when inevitably Orchard Flat gets developed??And so it goes onNot only will we be increasing the profits of a few developers by paying through our rates, but we're also paying the price of losing a peaceful, rural and much loved local escape available to all.I DOUBT ANY OTHER CITY IN NEW ZEALAND WOULD BE PREPARED TO MAKE THIS SACRIFICE	
71	Nigel Stevens	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
71	Nigel Stevens	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
71	Nigel Stevens	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
71	Nigel Stevens	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
71	Nigel Stevens	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes - I support the allocation of this funding. This subdivision is critical to providing a substantial increase in housing (across a range of values) in close proximity to the city.	
72	Dianne Scott	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
72	Dianne Scott	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
72	Dianne Scott	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
72	Dianne Scott	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
72	Dianne Scott	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
72	Dianne Scott	6 General comments	Before spending any more money on development near the Maitai River, please look at all available historical buildings in Nelson and see if some of them can be preserved by using them for Council facilities. We have lost way too many of our historical buildings.	
73	Jill Ford	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes definitely	
73	Jill Ford	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, I am moving from Wellington to Nelson and am appalled by the never ending increases in cost of ' restoring Wellington library' with no apparent concern by councillors on costs. So good to see Nelson council willing to take a more considered approach	
73	Jill Ford	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Definitely as urban sprawl is definitely happening in Nelson / Tasman and with it increased traffic, and increased housing costs. Which tend to disadvantage lower income households. Its also destorying the beautiful natural environment of the region. so more dense housing is a good idea and definitely needed. Also need to enable people to have much bigger housing 'footprint' eg we are renovating a house in Brougham st and were stunned to be told that foot print couldnt exceed 35%, we did get consent but this seemed incompatable with enabling more dense housing.	
73	Jill Ford	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NOt sure, But this seems contary to encouraging more dense housing near centre and just increasing urban sprawl. Matai valley is beautiful area and a shame to again destory impt ecosystems.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
73	Jill Ford	6 General comments	I am very concerned about climate change and see Nelson has committed to reducing emissions. https://www.nelson.govt.nz/climate-change/councils-climate-change-action/ As transport is likely to be a high % of the emissions in the region, more needs to be done to; go harder and faster on improved cycling and public transport. More 30 km/h neighbourhoods, safer intersections and protected cycleways on 50 km/h roads. Need to significantly reduce the amount of free all-day parking, by either charging for it or reallocating the space in order to:enable space for better transport options, eg: bus lanes and protected cyclewaysprovide funding to expedite progress on improving transport options encourage more drivers to use alternative options, thus reducing congestion and emissions. oN my two very recent visits to Nelson I was astounded to see the number of people driving into Nelson - individuals in cars, when there is a fantastic cycle route all the way from Richmond.There was also no dedicated bus lane - which means buses get caught in congestion.In order to get more people to use PT and AT it needs to be more convenient and quicker than using private vehicles. Limiting car parking is key as if there are fewer car parks, then people ahve to use PT or AT. With priority given to people who really need to drive, eg people with disabilities, tradies, deliveries.Finally organic waste - be good to have all households with council kitchen and garden waste collection and composting in very near future. There are already organisations doing this and it needs to be provided by council, as organic waste produces alot of methane!	
74	Carmel Layton	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	This rate increase is far too high with the current cost of living crisis.	
74	Carmel Layton	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
74	Carmel Layton	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
74	Carmel Layton	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No. The central city will become very dangerous especially at night if its community housing.	
74	Carmel Layton	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
75	Timothy Saunders	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	i have no issue with rates going higher so long as we get value out of the spend.	
75	Timothy Saunders	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
75	Timothy Saunders	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	As a community our central city is not moving forward with enough speed. Id don't necessarily support the existing plans for library and community hub but we need something built quickly and not another round of consultation.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
75	Timothy Saunders	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely.	
75	Timothy Saunders	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes - this is a large development and we need to make sure all transport options are available.	
76	Jean Edwards	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No. I support the aim but not the steps or methods planned.	
76	Jean Edwards	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
76	Jean Edwards	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Agree with the funding for investigation but disagree with location in city centre and the idea of adding a 'community hub': we need to be strongly thinking of moving library higher up due to climate change. And we do not need a "community hub"- we have community groups happily meeting all over the city already..	
76	Jean Edwards	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
76	Jean Edwards	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. It should have to follow due process the same as everything - and everyone- else. And Council should not have granted consent for this in the first place- traffic on Nile Street, flood-zone building sites, lack of amenities will make his isolated- to name just a few objections of the many you've already heard.	
76	Jean Edwards	⑥ General comments	Strongly disagree with the Bridge Street plans - the whole concept is wrong. Vehicles and pedestrians don't mix; & pedestrian-only areas have proven not to work and crime increases; Council should be spending money on more important issues to help people on low incomes- like helping to change top-stories of downtown stores and shops into accommodation;reducing waste charges for the public; getting more frequent and smaller buses....	
77	Chris Whitaker	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
77	Chris Whitaker	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	neither agree or disagree	
77	Chris Whitaker	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	neither agree or disagree	
77	Chris Whitaker	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	neither agree or disagree	
77	Chris Whitaker	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	neither agree or disagree	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
77	Chris Whitaker	6 General comments	I implore you to go harder and faster on improved cycling. This means more 30 km/h neighbourhoods, safer intersections and protected cycleways on 50 km/h roads. We could also reduce the amount of free all-day parking, by either charging for it or reallocating the space in order to: + enable space for better transport options, eg: bus lanes and protected cycleways + provide funding to expedite progress on improving transport options +encourage more drivers to use alternative options, thus reducing congestion and emissions. Nelson should continue to strive to be the most cycle friendly center in Aotearoa. Bicycles, not cars should be the focus for all planning around transport and associated infrastructure	
78	Philippa Peychers	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
78	Philippa Peychers	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
78	Philippa Peychers	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
79	Jarrold Peychers	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
79	Jarrold Peychers	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
79	Jarrold Peychers	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
80	Fraser Wilkinson	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
80	Fraser Wilkinson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
80	Fraser Wilkinson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes - but I do want this project to happen, and I think it's really important to Nelson.	
80	Fraser Wilkinson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely 100% yes	
80	Fraser Wilkinson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I don't support the project in general, and I believe that if it's going to happen regardless, then it's up to the developer to pay for infrastructure upgrades to cope with their development.	
80	Fraser Wilkinson	6 General comments	I fully support the Bridge St upgrades, and I hope this will spur further development and upgrades to the ageing and ailing city centre.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
81	Paul Jennings	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes - although I am less interested in keeping rates as low as possible and more interested in the level/quality of the services provided. I also would like us to invest in creating a city that the next generation thanks us for - deferring socially valuable projects, like the library/community hub, to save a few \$ per year on my rates seems like a selfish decision, though I appreciate the balance NCC has to strike	
81	Paul Jennings	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes - I would like us to ensure we take the opportunity to build back better, so am happy to invest in that	
81	Paul Jennings	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Partly - I 100% support the development of a community hub in the heart of the city that helps bring our community together and celebrates Nelson as a Smart Little City. I don't really care where it's built - and the riverside location had issues/additional costs. 'Saving' money now is of no real interest to me on a project with a 50 year return, the costs to build it will just keep increasing every year we procrastinate (like the performing arts centre). So - happy to go with the advice of the council on the best next step but I also want to see some positive and focused action on progressing the project.	
81	Paul Jennings	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?		100%
81	Paul Jennings	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Not really - but I worry I'm being selfish as I live near the Maitai and walk/ride through the area every day. We need more houses - but sprawl into one of our most prized city locations seems like a very outdated and low value approach more akin to the 1970s than 2020. However, I know that's not a NCC decision - I just don't really want to see valuable rates money going into supporting this development when intensification in our city centre is so ripe for investment. I'll give it 49/51 against support as I appreciate there will be nuance I don't understand and NCC will have obligations it needs to meet.	
81	Paul Jennings	6 General comments	Really pleased to see the delivery of city centre, transport and housing intensification projects - I feel like I get great value from my rates, but request we keep a focus on progress and improvement rather than worrying about saving a few \$ each year from our rates	
82	Vivienne Clapham	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No definitely not. This appears to be an ill conceived subdivision and I do not want my rates to support it in any way.	
83	Sarah Holmes	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
83	Sarah Holmes	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
83	Sarah Holmes	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
83	Sarah Holmes	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
83	Sarah Holmes	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. Huge traffic issues if the access is Nile street. And high risk of flooding and slips effecting the life of the river and recreational land.	
84	Moira Petersen	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Given that my rates increased 17% last year I absolutely do not want my rates to increase at all this year. A 7.2% increase would make a 24% increase in 2 years!!!!!!!!!!!!	
84	Moira Petersen	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I agree that you can spend \$200,000 to investigate where a good place to rebuild an excellent library would be.	
84	Moira Petersen	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Given that your methods for predicting the population forecast for Nelson were flawed because you did not follow specific government requirements for making that forecast, I think that you should not be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre.	
84	Moira Petersen	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I do NOT agree that you should allocate any funding at all to this travesty of planning. Given the numbers of rate payers who appose this development it is galling to then have to put their hard earned money towards something that they absolutely DO NOT WANT. We can now go to a delightful rural setting close to town for recreation. Did you see the numbers of families enjoying Sunday Hole this summer? Council and developers are obviously keen for us to go a suburban setting with noisy traffic. A key feature that attracts people to live in Nelson will be ruined. Again. I do not want this development to go ahead.	
85	Jo Ann Firestone	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
85	Jo Ann Firestone	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely I do NOT. The developer should fund 100% the cost of infrastructure. This development is currently moving forward in the Environment Court, brought and funded by Nelsonians, who do not want a housing development in the Maitai Valley. There should be no ratepayer dollars used to move this project ahead until there is a ruling by the court. AND, if the development goes ahead, the developers are liable for the costs of infrastructure and the roading changes on Nile street that will be required. Additionally, if the development goes ahead, this might be THE time to bring a degree of legal liability to a developer, not just the homeowner, in case of flood damage (since Kaka Valley is a flood plain)	
86	Ruth Newton	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I recognise the financial pressure on some households and commend the council for recognising this. However there are important community facilities which need funding or financing.	
86	Ruth Newton	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, but must be allied with strategic planning to offset impact of future events. Present planning appears naive about this.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
86	Ruth Newton	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	whereas there was outcry about the proposal of the now shelved new library the cut appears drastic. A community hub in central Nelson is essential. Given the amount of money allowed for sport(compared with arts and music) a balance must be found. The library is an invaluable resource for research,community links and activity and provides an essential public service.Nelson lost many opportunities to host major events because of a narrow and tight pursed approach to an events centre. The supposed upgrade to the Trafalgar centre has never worked. Nelson still cannot host touring companies -NZ opera,ballet,orchestra or big pop events.There is consequent loss of revenue.Do not let small thinking limit library plans in a similar way....	
86	Ruth Newton	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely! Central city living enhances involvement,safety and commitment. I know of older people who would relish small apartment living in town with access to facilities,as well as younger people and families.	
86	Ruth Newton	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I am aware that this development was subject to strong opposition and is now being challenged in the environment court.I strongly disagree with allocating funds for this infrastructure,and note that work for waste water is already scheduled by the Matai with attendant disruption for residents.In my opinion the proposed development will provide the wrong type of housing. In the Atawhai area there is already major disruption as the Bay View development on the other side of the hill conitnues because of building and infrastructure traffic and work.The developers appear to gain the most if plans are agreed.There is no guarantee that they will share infrastructure costs and council will be responsible for services,road maintence etc.The recent weather events highlighted this area as vulnerable .Most prperties if built will be 2 vehicle households,public transport etc is not planned as yet.These proposals are at variance with the committment to the Matai area as a restorative and recreational valley.	
86	Ruth Newton	6 General comments	Transport is a major priority.Whereas some changes are wecome more must be done with services to North Nelson etc.At present focus appears largely on Richmond /Tasman	
87	Allen Stevens	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, an important residential development to provide much needed housing close to Nelson City	
88	Lois Stevens	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes I support this expenditure which is important to assist the future development of Nelson.	
89	Margaret-Ann McKeown	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Definitely not. Should not be supporting a private development that will ultimately ruin our beautiful river and its environs.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
90	John Taylor	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
90	John Taylor	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
90	John Taylor	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
90	John Taylor	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
90	John Taylor	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No absolutely not. There was a clear indication that the people of Nelson do not support this development whatever the decisions of the developers and commissioners might have been. It is very obvious that the total costs of the development, in all its aspects, should be the sole responsibility of the developers. The profit of development goes solely to the developers and they should bear the sole risks and rewards. Given that the surrounding areas will in the long term be subject to enhanced flooding along with degradation of Kaka Valley/the Maitai River/ the Wood I can not see why there is any case for The Council to be paying for any upgraded infrastructure costs , including design when they ,the Council, will be responsible for all long term maintenance. Funding of Design Costs will inherently commit NCC to supporting this development and additional cost support will no doubt be asked by developers. Such support will to be paid by all the rate payers of Nelson only to enhance the profits of the developers. Finally there is a pending Environment Court case with respect to the Maitai Valley development. No Design commitments should be made until the Courts decision is made.	
91	Mike Tasman-Jones	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
92	Peter Lole	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, and if they can be even lower, that would be helpful.	
92	Peter Lole	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes - good thinking.	
92	Peter Lole	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, but as Elma Turner improvements will, as you say, extend its use by by some years, then this expense should be deferred well into the future.	
92	Peter Lole	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, but won't the three waters infrastructure element of this be paid through central government's proposed 'Three Waters' program?	
92	Peter Lole	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No, definitely not. The whole development was rammed through originally through a shonky council/public consultation process, and an appeal is pending. I think the concept of building in this area is faulty with huge environmental and recreational amenity impacts. If the development does proceed, then the developer should pay for all, or most of these pre-planning costs.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
92	Peter Lole	6 General comments	Compliments on a comprehensive document with clear communications.	
93	Simon Davis	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No - please see attached document	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=GUVAH8
94	Tony Stallard	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
94	Tony Stallard	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No. 5 years max	
94	Tony Stallard	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I don't understand the question. Was \$17.6 million set aside for the purposes described. Where is the commentary to allow meaningful submission	
94	Tony Stallard	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. better than building on a flood plain	
94	Tony Stallard	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. There is no mandate for this and no information to make any assessment. why would you contemplate spending money on a flood plain for services that are properly a developers cost and with insurance on building undertaken impossible to obtain. it is not a council obligation to do this. It is for a developer to convince the environment court the facilities are already in place. the public mandate for council expenditure on this development is simply absent. How has the figure been reached? guesswork I suspect. the money is better spent on fixing existing problems rather than on a new plan to build on a flood plain that will flood, will cost money to repair and is an unbelievably stupid idea.	
95	Michael Town	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I support the reduction of rates to these levels as a temporary measure to get through the current cost of living crisis. However, the large reduction in operational spending long term will be detrimental, so this should not continue beyond the short term.	
95	Michael Town	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, it is a balance between responding to the climate crisis and making sure people can afford to live in the city.	
95	Michael Town	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, as long as the future construction of the library is not delayed for too long.	
95	Michael Town	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, the city centre has an amazing opportunity to be a more people cussed space, and these are important steps to take.	
95	Michael Town	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, this work will benefit the wider community that currently use the area, particularly the transport connections	
96	Suzanne Bateup	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
96	Suzanne Bateup	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, along with support from central government	
96	Suzanne Bateup	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, but please do prioritise getting us a new library. We love our library!	
96	Suzanne Bateup	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
96	Suzanne Bateup	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO WE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS! The developers should be funding the total infrastructure costs. This development is currently under appeal to the Environment Court, despite half of it being on a flood-prone wetland and the other half on extremely rocky hills requiring massive earthworks and blasting into the landscape to level off building sites. The scale of earthworks, if unchecked, will most likely result in large sediment discharges polluting the Maitai River. A great number of Nelsonians have said over and over that they do NOT support this project, and we think there shouldn't be any ratepayer money used to support or to move this project ahead until there is a ruling by the Environment Court. This is the beginning of a multi-million dollar spend by Council to support the spoiling of the Maitai Valley and river to make way for around 2000 people in an area 13,000 people asked to be kept rural. If this new Maitai suburb proceeds, Council will need to spend many millions of dollars to service a cold, damp, flood-prone area in the Kaka Valley that will surely see Nelson household rates continue to rise exponentially for many years. The beauty and peaceful characteristics of one of Nelson's most desirable recreation areas and its lovely river will inevitably be lost forever and the potential increase in flood risk to residents downstream of the project remains unassessed!	
97	Geli Smitten	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No, it needs to be a lower average percentage!	
97	Geli Smitten	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Not if you let a developer go ahead and build in Kaka Valley which will contribute to further disasters!	
97	Geli Smitten	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes!	
97	Geli Smitten	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No.	
97	Geli Smitten	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not!!! After the August floods, this development should be off the table! And certainly not be funded by ratepayers!	
98	R Duncan	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=A8RMYB

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
98	R Duncan	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No I do not agree! I oppose Nelson City Council's (NCC) 2023-24 Annual Plan proposal to spend \$606,000 to undertake planning work in support of a proposed private development in the Maitai. I request that the Council protect the Maitai Valley from urbanisation and stand by its long held, publicly stated position 'not to pursue residential rezoning in the Maitai Valley'. (See Screenshot in attachment.)I would like to remind Nelson City Council of the value of the Maitai Valley as a unique asset to Nelson and New Zealand. As the world's population increases, cities and urban areas around the world are, at great expense, trying to retain and re-claim green space. Meanwhile here in Nelson, by supporting this subdivision, Nelson City Council would enable damage to the amenity and environmental values of this unique and highly valuable asset. It is also important to note that potential increased flood risks to residents downstream remain unassessed, and the scale of earthworks will most likely result in large sediment discharges polluting both the Maitai River and Nelson haven and the ecosystems and habitats therein.Ratepayers should not be contributing any planning infrastructure costs associated with such a contentious proposal. Alongside the 13,000 signature petition (the largest ever presented to NCC), there is plenty of evidence that a significant proportion of the community opposes this proposed subdivision; repeated submissions to council, the RMA hearing, attendance at public meetings and protests, community donations to fund the Save the Maitai Inc campaign and the current appeal to the Environment Court. Given the substantial public opposition to this particular subdivision proposal, if it DOES go ahead - against the wishes of so many, and in an area that the community has asked Council to protect - the developers (plural)[1] should pay 100% of their own costs. The \$606,000 could be better spent enabling intensification and brownfield housing construction within existing urban zoned land.[1] Note: It should be made clear to all the new	
99	John Moody	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I would like to strongly oppose the latest rates rises for our property.Whilst I understand that there are significant improvements and repairs to be carried out after the storms last year, Council needs to look at ways to lessen the impact on ratepayers, many of whom are already struggling to make ends meet.We have lived in our property since 2006, when our rates were \$2342pa. Our current rates are already \$8137, a rise from our previous year's rates of 20%!! This is an increase of approx 350% from the rates when we moved into our property...this cannot be right or fair, when the average inflation rate over these years has been 2.3%! As a couple in our 60s, living alone in our family home, we do not see how basing the rates on the value of our land is a fair way to decide on the level of rates we should be paying - we are almost certainly lower consumers of most of the Council-provided services than the average household in Nelson, where rates are significantly lower.Continually andincreasingly penalising us for having a larger section than the average household is simply unfair.Yours sincerely John Moody	
101	Linley Taylor	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
101	Linley Taylor	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
101	Linley Taylor	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.I suggest that this idea is crucial to Nelson moving forward. Please continue to emphasize that the plan is for a community hub for all, not 'just a library'.	
101	Linley Taylor	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
101	Linley Taylor	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not. That is not Council work, it is work for the developers of the project. In addition, there is a case pending in the Environment Court regarding this particular development, and Council should wait for the results of that case before deciding how to proceed with planning .	
102	Neil Donaldson	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
102	Neil Donaldson	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes I support the allocation of this funding.	
103	Dan Andrews	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Rates are expensive but I'm not too worried.	
103	Dan Andrews	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	We should fund recovery projects that are a good investment. Many are, some are not or cost a lot of money for little benefit.	
103	Dan Andrews	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Agree with investigation.	
103	Dan Andrews	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
103	Dan Andrews	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
104	Byron Munro	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Ensuring rate payers money is spent wisely is important	
104	Byron Munro	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, distributing recovery costs over a longer period ensures core functions can continue.	
104	Byron Munro	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes - securing central funding is important in keeping Nelson modern	
104	Byron Munro	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes - seeking funding to ensure that NCC can coordinate with this significant development will likely lead to better community outcomes and better NCC cost efficiencies in future.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
105	Kate Bradley	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
105	Kate Bradley	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
105	Kate Bradley	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
105	Kate Bradley	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No, The central city is the business district. I have no problem with fringe residential. But should not be councils priority at all. Infrastructure should be upgraded as needed - not as a reason to provide accommodation, especially for Kianga ora to provide homes. This would just turn the CBD into a slum area where businesses wont want to be. There are several Kianga ora homes close to the city, which are in appalling states with no rectification from government. Why would you want to encourage this?	
105	Kate Bradley	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I dont understand this question, why is this area going to be special as opposed to any other area that doesnt have transport connections (assuming you mean busses etc	
105	Kate Bradley	6 General comments	I am against the proposal to change the format of traffic to bridge street and make it either a one way street or a no traffic street	
106	Jean Gorman	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
106	Jean Gorman	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
106	Jean Gorman	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	The Council certainly can't continue with the present proposal which puts the Community Hub and New Library right in a flood zone. The Council needs to do some managed retreat from the lowest level of the town and consider the effect of sea-level rise and the effects of a Tsunami, such as that which inundated the town in 1964. The new site chosen in the city centre would have to be on higher ground.	
106	Jean Gorman	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, but should consider managed retreat as part of this planning.	
106	Jean Gorman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No, because I think that putting a development in the Kaka Valley would put people in harms way and waste money.	
106	Jean Gorman	6 General comments	The council is persisting in pushing forward a proposal which has met with huge opposition. The area is flood-prone and unsuitable for development.	
107	Robyn Fitzsimons	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No I do not. We are finding it more and difficult to manage finacially. I will be 70 next year and still having to work as a nurse (not full time) to get by.	
107	Robyn Fitzsimons	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	I don't know enough about this	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
107	Robyn Fitzsimons	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
107	Robyn Fitzsimons	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	i think so	
107	Robyn Fitzsimons	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I absolutely disagree with this!!!! It should never have been considered in the first place. Overall it doesnt appear that Council have any idea what this will eventually cost. There is also the concern that this will destroy a beautiful unspoilt area available to everyone and should be protected for future generations.	
108	Colin Ratcliffe	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No more expenditure on the Library It is working alright just where it is. Again there are more important projects for funding, and isn't usage dropping?? (latest figures that I saw that came from council) indicated so)	
108	Colin Ratcliffe	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Forget the Bridge st development. Developing it I dont think will really improve the patronage. Upper Trafalgar st is a different situation as it is closed off to traffic. It really wont work. There are more important things to spend our rates on. It is one on those "nice" things to have but is it really essential??	
108	Colin Ratcliffe	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No funding please for preplanning on Kaka Development. It may never go ahead (although I do support) it---Wait until it is consented to.-- Look what happened with the science centre.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
108	Colin Ratcliffe	6 General comments	!00k for Surf lifesaving and arts hub. That's ridiculous-- that is 12 weeks work for somebody charging \$200 an hour for each project. If it was your own money you wouldn't pay that much. Just look at the initial design concept for the moddlers pond project \$80 k for a sketch that any competent landscape architect could have knocked up in a week. I cant believe that boosting expenditure on BUS transport will help congestion. The last figures on patronage showed that the average passenger numbers were almost only 9 per trip. (Data from nelson weekly for April 2022.) One only has to look at the numbers on routes 3+ (almost every bus that I see is almost empty) Isn't it logical to use smaller busses on some of the major routes during off peak times, ----and now we read that the new big ones cant negotiate some corners. This adds to the argument to use smaller ones.As for personal use of cars for people to get to work. I don't believe that there could ever be a satisfactory solution. Apart from Nelson not being big enough to run a large bus service you only have to look at how industrial complexes are scattered all over the place. Many of the personel start work prior to 7am and earlier. There is no way busses can cater for them. People are scattered all over the City and Waimea area and they will never walk perhaps up to a km or so to catch a bus, and then many/most wouldn't pass anywhere near to where they work, so another long walk. (I regularly observe prior to 7am hundreds of cars almost bumper to bumper driving into the city along Wakatu Drive, Nayland road and Main Road Stoke, and they disperse to all sorts of places. The pie diagrams do not indicate how much goes to "climate change) Lets get realistic Nelson city produces about .0017% of the worlds greenhouse gasses. (based on a commonly used figure that --- NZ produces .17% and Nelson about one hundredth of the NZ population) However myself like so many others are doing our bit but lets face it what we are doing has a very minimal effect a drop in the ocean . The question needs to be asked---what are councils cost so far	
109	Jacquetta Bell for Friends of the Maitai	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	We support the remediation and restoration of the river, following the August 2022 floods. We have some concerns about the work done to date at the Waahi Taakaro Golf Course and further upstream towards Groom Creek. Friends of the Maitai, as a stakeholder of some standing in the community, was not consulted or included in any information sharing about this work, and we had to resort to an appeal to the Mayor to find out why the works appeared so extreme in river impact. We therefore ask that any budget allocated to further flood remediation in the Maitai catchment (and other waterways) should support, in the design phase, consultation with stakeholders, engineers and freshwater ecologists to ensure the protection of macroinvertebrate and fish habitat during the works and in the restoration of the waterway.	
109	Jacquetta Bell for Friends of the Maitai	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Regarding the Maitahi Bayview subdivision, we wish to reiterate the concerns we raised at the Plan Change 28 RMA hearing, and seek that these are addressed in the approach to providing utility and transport connections to the site, namely that all planning and works are carried out with priority to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining the amenity value of the Maitai River and Maitai Valley • Improving water quality in the river • Ensuring there is no impact of sediment and stormwater on river ecology and associated habitat • We also request that future works and subdivision within the PPC be subject to strict Resource Consents. 	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
109	Jacquetta Bell for Friends of the Maitai	6 General comments	<p>Friends of the Maitai is a community conservation group which (in its current iteration) has been advocating since 2014 for a clean, clear, flowing Maitai River. Our concerns are the improvement of water quality and the protection and enhancement of habitat in and close to the river. Our submission is therefore restricted to the questions in the consultation that relate to these issues.</p> <p>Additional points: Maitai Dam Aeration System Council first discussed the water quality enhancement from an aeration system back in 2015. It has taken a long time for this scheme to make its way into the budget process. We support the inclusion in the Annual Plan 23/24 of an additional \$300,000 for a total budget of \$1.5 million in 2023/24 to install an aeration system in the Maitai Dam. Climate Change With less than a decade to avoid the full effects of global warming, we support Council's Te Mahere Mahi a te Āhuarangi Climate Action Plan (2021) and the Climate Change Strategy (2022). It is vital that climate change be considered in all key decisions, such as where Council locates new development and how it manages climate risks in low lying areas. FOM also supports the work being done with TDC on a regional climate change risk assessment. Question 6: General comments We support Council's stated mission to 'shape an exceptional place to live, work and play, and we particularly support the emphasis on the Community Outcome that 'Our unique natural environment is healthy and protected'. Thank you for the opportunity to be heard on these issues. We look forward to the hearings.</p>	
110	Marianne Palmer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	<p>Dear Submission Department Please do NOT go ahead with your plans for infill housing, especially not in The Wood area or in the Maitai area. There are already so many sections the have been carved up for multiple houses on sites that normally would have had one home or two at the most. Houses are already crowded in throughout these areas and it's well known that the areas we refer to are at high risk of flooding (indeed, some parts have already flooded multiple times). There is a shortage of parking already and if you add numerous extra homes where are people supposed to park? The streets are already crowded with the people who already live there plus people who work in town fill these small/narrow streets (e.g. Tasman Street, Shakespeare Ave, Halifax St East, Grove Street) with cars throughout the day. We find it really had to see to get our of our driveway as do many of our neighbours and friends in our street. So many people drive huge vehicles and they block visibility. It's very annoying and unsafe. I know NCC thinks people will walk or cycle but in reality there will just be many more cars connected with infill housing! Existing people also lose both sun and privacy and that is not conducive to happy, healthy living.</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
110	Marianne Palmer	6 General comments	<p>On the matter of limiting parking of campervans, caravans, boats on trailers etc on the street, please make sure the 7 day limit (or less, preferably maximum of 3 days) goes ahead and is enforced. This may mean that NCC parking wardens need to work weekends and after 5pm in order monitor this and issue infringement notices where required. People with caravans and camper vans need to take parking into account before they buy them and either park them on their own property or hire a parking spot in a storage facility. This is what we have done in the past. Parking them on the streets (especially streets that are already crowded, narrow and/or busy) is simply not appropriate. We ended up with a caravan (belonging to someone living over a block away) parking outside our place for over a month thus making safely exiting from our driveway very difficult due to our visibility be totally obstructed. This is simply NOT okay. Also, it's high time speed limits were lowered to 30 km per hour on many narrow streets, especially streets like Tasman Street (from Bridge Street to Weka Street) where there at 2 day care centre and lots of elderly folk. Speed humps (like those that were eventually installed in Seymour Avenue after much lobbying of NCC by us and many others in the neighbourhood) would be much appreciated too, to stop the numerous speeding motorists that race down Tasman Street, especially in the weekends and evenings when there are never any Police around. Finally, we have no idea if there are any plans for Nayland Pool in the Draft Annual Plan or not, but we wish to say that we sincerely hope this remains an outdoor pool. Please don't cover it in. We love swimming there and do so regularly but wouldn't bother if you detracted from its natural beauty and our enjoyment of it by covering it in. The season needs to be extended also, to Easter and a bit beyond. We have checked with Thorndon Outdoor Pool in Wellington and the beautiful outdoor pools at St Claire in Dunedin (both of which we use where we visit our family in these cities) and they all remain open further into the year than</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
111	Kirsten Cooper for Te Ramaroa Trust	6 General comments	Funding for community groups and facilities, including Council organisations' Council is proposing funding to community groups and facilities (including Council organisations) in 2023/24 at the same level as 2022/23. This will put some community groups under pressure as they too are subject to inflationary cost pressures. This proposed change would result in a reduction of \$95,000 in 2023/24 compared to year three of the Long Term Plan. NCC is a major funder for Te Ramaroa. Without the usual cost-of-living increase to our funding, our budget will be significantly and adversely affected. Nelson has a long-standing high-level reputation as a city that produces and supports creative industries and artists - and that reputation attracts not only visitors but gives potential residents with compelling reasons to live here. To lose that reputation would be tragic. It is why meaningful support for a thriving arts community is critical. A reduction in funding of \$95,000 would have a disproportionately negative effect on the community groups and facilities who rely on that to supplement what is already a massive battle to achieve. Te Ramaroa already relies on a huge volunteer effort given in time, goods and services, and private financial contributions, so it is vital that NCC continues to support community funding. For artists and technicians, it is critical that opportunities to present work to the public at scale are made available. For the community and CBD businesses, it is a family-friendly, freely available, bright interval in the mid-winter, and provides an opportunity to reach an audience that may not yet be engaged in the visual arts. For all involved, it creates delight, curiosity, connection, empathy and pride in our community. For all these reasons, it provides not just financial but a cultural return on NCC investment. Please do not let this investment become a victim to inflation.	
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Support in principle (refer to attached document). Missed important exceptions, and some premature spending (refer to attached document).	
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Agree in principle only (refer to attached document). Significant complicating factors (refer to attached document). Needs to be considerably quicker (refer to attached document).	
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Strongly agree subject to effective scoping (refer to attached document). Do not repeat the flawed process for the last library investigation (refer to attached document).	
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Reluctant disagreement (refer to attached document). Building low carbon dwellings (refer to attached document). Knowing what we'll get from "beginning the design" (refer to attached document). Ensure projects are carbon-responsible (refer to attached document). Surely this figure is an error (refer to attached document). Is all the expenditure really needed now? (refer to attached document).	
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Strongly oppose (refer to attached document).	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	⑥ General comments	Still too much "business as usual" (refer to attached document).	
113	Christine Marie Johnston for Labels Resale Clothing	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
114	Brett Meehan for Shoe Clinic (Tenant)	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
115	R.T. Morris	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I support efforts to minimise the rates but they should not exceed inflation which is 6.7%	
115	R.T. Morris	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Severe weather events should be covered by Central Government.	
115	R.T. Morris	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes I agree with that reduction.	
115	R.T. Morris	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I do not agree with increasing density of city population I	
115	R.T. Morris	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Too many of NCC's long term plans come to nothing or expensive messes. Examples can be supplied.	
115	R.T. Morris	⑥ General comments	NCC has a marked inability to manage publicly owned assets. Examples of this can be supplied.	
116	Pat Morris	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I think the rates rise should be kept to a minimum and in line with inflation. Everyone is suffering in the current economic climate. I am a pensioner, everything I buy and have to pay for is increasing many times more than the increase in pensions.	
116	Pat Morris	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, but with help from Central Government.	
116	Pat Morris	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
116	Pat Morris	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	The funding should come from central government. All empty spaces and shops should be occupied to give the Council more income.	
116	Pat Morris	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I disagree with the development of the Maitai Valley.	
116	Pat Morris	6 General comments	Unless you can supply a good transport system, you will never get people to stop using their cars.	
117	Helen Black	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I believe 7.2% is unjustified. I find my rates for a small section, small house are subsidising people in larger houses on larger sections. It doesn't pay to be wanting to have smaller footprint when it comes to living in Nelson. I believe in only spending on necessities during a time when cost of living is high. We haven't even felt the full brunt of increase in power and food prices yet. When talking about rate rises, this much be factored in.	
117	Helen Black	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	To make Nelson affordable during a cost of living crises ought to be the primary, unsexy path forward for next year. Cut all unnecessary costs until the economy has stabilised.	
117	Helen Black	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I currently only support any developments that would make for affordable one bedroom housing/apartments for elderly and the very young. As for receiving central government funding, I haven't got much faith for that in the future, a somewhat misleading statement. Just look at the promised Three waters government funding!	
117	Helen Black	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO. Any costs involved with this huge development should NOT involve ratepayer money. I find the suggestion that ratepayers should fund design work for water and road works for any new development shocking, esp at a time when existing infra structure has been neglected for decades in Nelson. One such very small example in the scheme of things is the pavement by the letterbox 25 Sowman Street which has been a hazard for at least a year, a leak covers the footpath with algae. Are footpaths on steep hills supposed to be hazardous?Whenever the council have put money into private enterprises that were supposed to bring huge amount of dollars into the region according to 'business plans' presented to the council during the last decade, it hasn't worked out. Just look at the old business plans for the Brook Sanctuary and the fence (not the idea of a sanctuary), the gondola, Nature land, the mountain bike mecca of New Zealand are all projects that are 'nice to have' projects and sold to the council with rosy business plans that only increased the rates for the average ratepayer.As for asking/telling ratepayers that the council wants to allocate money towards a controversial development that hasn't gone through the court system is quite telling where the council stands. Very disappointing stance taken by the council.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
117	Helen Black	⑥ General comments	I'm really disheartened with the Nelson Council for basically writing off the last large recreational area (the Maitai) esp, when the rest of Nelson's recreational areas have been allocated towards mountain biking and made unsafe for an ageing population. My question to the council is how you are going to keep ageing walkers safe on our paths and tracks? Let alone enjoying a stroll outdoors. We don't need more huge developments for 2,3-4 bedroom houses. We need affordable, safe, warm, dry and sunny 1-bedroom apartments/housing for an ageing population and the very young starting out. This, together with social education, would help free up existing homes of relatively healthy, often single 60-80 year olds currently living in their 3-4 bedroom houses.	
118	Jeff Whitson	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
118	Jeff Whitson	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
118	Jeff Whitson	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
118	Jeff Whitson	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No	
118	Jeff Whitson	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO! Should this project go forward the Developer should bear all the costs and damages involved with this project. Putting a subdivision in this particular valley is foolhardy. The Maitai valley and Maitai river are already under high pressure. One only has to look at a current state of the river after a rain, not even a heavy rain...polluted. Now imagine adding all the earthworks and hardscape related to a housing development and the runoff it would create. This does not bode well for a Council who attempts to promote environmental concern.	
119	Fiona Macdonald	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
119	Fiona Macdonald	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
119	Fiona Macdonald	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No. Yet again postponing the desperately needed new library.	
119	Fiona Macdonald	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
119	Fiona Macdonald	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not. Despite repeated submissions and 13,000 people asking for this valley to be preserved and developed as a recreational area, the Council persist in supporting housing development which will put pressure on already struggling infrastructure and increase environmental pressure - building on a flood plain. The \$606,000 should NOT be spent supporting a private developer to exploit this land. This money should be going towards supporting the Nelson community - put it towards the Library. The Library is an under-resourced community asset, and a new Library would benefit the entire community and could be a focal point, re-vitalising the town centre. Or put it towards the repairs to infrastructure. Providing council (ratepayers) money to support the proposed and opposed development of the Maitai Valley is abhorrent. That money should be spent on community infrastructure and assets.	
119	Fiona Macdonald	6 General comments	This would appear to be an austerity budget and maybe that is appropriate given the events of the past few months and years but the rates paid by Nelson people should be spent on upgrading our aging infrastructure and investing in community assets. Not in supporting private developers to build houses in a flood-prone valley.	
120	Jenny Easton for Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Qualified support. We realise this is compounding the inflation rate that people are experiencing. However we can support this rate rise, if all the projects are screened through a climate lens and are making our city more resilient for the long term. We realise that from here on we will be spending more public money on repairing climate damage, and less on positive public goods. We can minimise this only by energetic action contributing to our fair share to reducing greenhouse gas emissions.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
120	Jenny Easton for Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman	<p>2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?</p>	<p>Qualified support. However, we should be prepared for an increasing intensity of ex-tropical cyclones (e.g. Fehi, Gita) every summer, which could coincide with the February King tides. Atmospheric rivers with prolonged and heavy rainfall usually occur in summer, but last year came in August. As we now know, March is the month when we should expect tornadoes, caused by global warming heating our oceans. When we consider the proposed recovery work through a climate lens we are concerned that some of the proposals to “build back better” will not be future-proofing the city against sea level rise and more frequent adverse weather events. Most infrastructure has a lifetime of 100 plus years, and their location should be determined by the modelled sea level rise and riverine flooding. There is another factor to bear in mind with infrastructure planning, and that is the disastrous effect of the Alpine Fault rupture on Nelson Region. Science tells us there is a 75% probability of the Alpine Fault rupture in the next 50 years, and an 80% chance it will be Magnitude 8+. This rupture is predicted to cause a M7 earthquake in Nelson, which will cause liquefaction to occur in all the reclaimed areas, and also parts of Tahunanui. This should influence long term decisions for infrastructure. The Regional Climate Change Risk Assessment by the consultants Urban Intelligence will report back this September and provide an interactive Risk Explorer. We strongly recommend that most of the infrastructure upgrades are paused until this important tool can be used to make sure the city does not create short term solutions that are actually maladaptations, waste money, create more debt, and don’t provide future generations with a safe, smart city. True resilience involves more than building back to restore the former state of affairs; it means building back in a way that future-proofs the facilities or assets for the long term. As we have learned from Cyclone Gabrielle, more frequent, and more extreme weather events can be expected to flood our river and stream deltas. And as we already know we should not be building in areas</p>	
120	Jenny Easton for Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman	<p>3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?</p>	<p>We support this. We are greatly relieved that the council has changed direction on a new library, and is no longer intent on placing an extravagant building in a flood-prone location. We look forward to high quality public engagement in deciding on the purpose and location of a new library and community hub providing for a wide variety of uses. Libraries have a very important role in community resilience, providing both information and opportunities for collaboration and creativity. Repurposing an existing building will have a lower carbon footprint than building a new one. The proposed bus terminal at Millers Acre was intended to take passengers to the “Riverside” library, and once the decision is made for the library and community hub, planning should include moving the bus terminal or rerouting buses. As the Civic House becomes flood prone, it could also move to a high and dry location, and preferably to a repurposed building. (If the councils are amalgamated by then, a smaller building will suffice.) The Stoke library is due to be upgraded, and we understand that funds are set aside for that.</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
120	Jenny Easton for Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	We support this with reservations. Improving the three waters and transport infrastructure in the CBD could enable more houses, but it needs some policy changes to achieve that. Unfortunately the council's Future Development Strategy (FDS) allows far too much urban sprawl over rural land, and developers can make more profit building standalone houses on this relatively cheaper land, than building attractive multi-storey buildings in the CBD. The current FDS is flawed as it does not reduce carbon emissions or provide for sufficient affordable housing. Hopefully, the RMA replacement legislation will clarify the intended outcomes and prioritise emission reduction. Intensification is essential to provide flexible, rentable and affordable housing. Nelson could become overwhelmed with Retirement Villages that can't find the staff to care for the clients. Our equivalent of Queenstown with expensive tourist housing and no affordable accommodation for the hospitality staff. It is frustrating to see all the car yards around the CBD on commercial land, and one way to change this is to reclassify them to Light Industrial, so they eventually move out of the city centre. Then either rezone the commercial land to residential, or allow housing above ground floor commercial premises. The Bridge Street Linear Park will provide an attractive green and people-friendly space for the city. The trees will provide shade and cool the urban environment, making a pleasant place to meet. A water feature would attract children and their parents into the CBD. We do not support making more car parks to offset those removed for people-friendly streets. Car parks are similar to motorways in that they encourage people to travel in single use vehicles. We are getting more buses and must encourage and incentivise their use to make them the preferred choice. This will be facilitated by providing priority lanes so they can move faster than the single occupancy vehicle lane.	
120	Jenny Easton for Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	We do not support this allocation. The decision is before the Environment Court who could well decide against this proposal after considering the loss of recreational green space for the city, the pressure on the transport routes from Nile Street into the city, flooding and other aspects of this greenfields development. This decision should wait for the Regional Climate Change Risk Assessment. The \$606,000 could be better spent on increasing the funding for community groups.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
120	Jenny Easton for Zero Carbon Nelson Tasman	6 General comments	<p>Responding to the Climate Crisis The Council’s Vision, Priorities and Outcomes are listed on page 25 of the consultation document, and climate change is not included anywhere. Surely this is an oversight, as this is the most serious, far reaching, urgent crisis that humanity is facing. It is a symptom of planetary overshoot because globally we are using more fossil fuel energy, more mineral resources and more of nature's crucial services than our planet can sustain.</p> <p>[Note: It is unclear why the Maitai River Precinct is a priority, now the new library is not going there.] Responding to the Climate Crisis should be our overarching priority, and we should be setting policies and objectives that set targets for Nelson's contribution to carbon emission reduction levels that will see Aotearoa meet the 2050 target in the Zero Carbon Act. Better still, to keep the possibility of staying under or at 1.5 degrees above pre-industrial levels we should be halving our carbon emissions by 2030. The Nelson Tasman Climate Forum has calculated that to meet the 2030 target we need to reduce our emissions by 8% plus growth per annum, and this will require very substantial changes to the way the council operates, and leads by example. This is a hefty demand, but if we are serious about wanting to stay below 1.5 degrees of global heating, we must meet it. If not, we should explain that publicly and prepare for the implications. It is also essential that we have a monitoring system to quantify our progress, as the council takes responsibility for reducing the emissions it creates. Carbon emission reductions A climate lens should be applied to all the listed priorities and other activities, with emission reduction as an overriding principle. There are some low hanging fruit that the council has not yet picked: • Solar panels on council buildings and facilities that have occupancy during the day , e.g. the libraries, Civic House, Tangratree water treatment plant, Saxton sports centre. • The diesel boiler at Council’s Wakapuaka Crematorium should be replaced with a lower emission stationary heating system • Council's vehicle fleet can be decarbonised</p>	
121	Rocky Roxburgh	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
122	Hamish Kappely	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
123	Mark Telford	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
124	Adam Fraine	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
125	Gavin Williams	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
126	Richard Hay	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
127	Justin Ives	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
128	Gaylene Weir	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
129	Shane Mickell	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
130	Rod Thomson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
131	Ellie Davies	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
132	Courtney Lott	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
133	Joanne Mary Coman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
134	Louise Sangster	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
135	Benjamin Francis Coman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
136	Michael Coman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
137	Timothy William Coman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
138	Lauren Coman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
139	Leita McKellar	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
140	Jurrian Schokking	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
141	Juliane Cormier	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Council recognises the increased pressure on its own budgets and the budgets of households and businesses and yet is proposing holding grants to arts, culture and community organisations to 2022/23 levels along with no increase to grants in line with inflation. This is a compounding reduction in support for these organisations. I do not support this cost reduction. The net effect of this reduction in funding will negatively impact on the culture, art and community sectors of Whakatū and the wellbeing and prosperity of the city overall. This is not the time to be cutting back. We should be investing in the sustainability of these organisations, which are so important to our wellbeing, sense of community and quality of life. I am requesting transparency on the exact impact of the proposed Annual Plan on the arts, culture and community sectors of Whakatū.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/mange/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=OXW12M

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
141	Juliane Cormier	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, we need to fund this recovery work WHILE working on a concrete plan towards minimising future damage to the most affected areas. Serious consideration must be given to prohibiting future builds in sensitive and/or high-risk areas, as well as the need for a sea wall near existing structures that MOST DEFINITELY WILL be impacted by severe weather in the near future.	
141	Juliane Cormier	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	who ever was on the board that supported that inane amount of \$17.8 million needs to be fired immediately. It would seem that there was already plenty of plans and information in getting to that million dollar number that could be used going forward in the investigation, without spending ANOTHER \$200,000. Given the flooding that happened near the current site, it is obvious that any new hub/library/event space needs to be moved further inland. STOP WASTING OUR MONEY ON INVESTIGATIONS!	
141	Juliane Cormier	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	This issue is one I am very passionate about. It links in with the City Centre issue as well. I moved from a very big city, San Diego, to Nelson many years ago. But San Diego wasn't always a 'big city.' When I moved there, it was a beach town with a CBD that had a homeless problem so that nobody wanted to live there, and store fronts that were closing all the time. Around the late 1990's, the local government decided to move the baseball park to the heart of the CBD - everyone thought they were crazy, but as the movie line goes: 'build it and they will come.' And they DID come - old buildings were refurbished for chic apartment housing and new complexes were built. Younger, single people, couples, and active retirees started buying up and moving in. It took some time, no doubt, but people wanted to live where the action was, and that was the CBD. Granted, Nelson will not have a giant ball field, but why can't we grow Trafalgar centre to become an Arts and Sports destination? People want to live and establish a business where the action is. Why are there so many vacant first-floor office spaces? Why can't the Council work to make it easier for landlords to turn their empty, commercial space into a chic residential space? I KNOW it can be done. I saw it done in San Diego. We need to build UP - not out. Yes, I know that many people say that Kiwis are used to having their 'house with a 1/4 acre' but that is OLD THINKING. We need to be progressive and realistic to what is here and available.	
141	Juliane Cormier	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO NO NO! STOP WITH THE Matai Valley subdivision. how much flooding is it going to take to realise that that area is not suitable for building! Wake up! NO money is to be allocated towards that proposal - stop it immediately!!As New Zealanders continue to endure heart-breaking, life taking, household and road-wrecking floods it is timely to ask again why developers would want to build hundreds of houses on a flood-prone wetland. Land that currently acts like a sponge, absorbing heavy downpours of rain and slowing the torrential flows channeled into the Maitai during heavy rainfall. Building hundreds of houses in the Kaka Valley will increase the run-off into the river and therefore increase the risk of flood to those living adjacent to it downstream, not to mention those folk who could end up living on the floor of Kaka Valley.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
141	Juliane Cormier	6 General comments	I am attaching a document about a low-income/homeless housing project that was done in Oceanside, San Diego, US. I realise that a few hotels in Nelson have been used to 'temporarily' house people, but this article shows that these units can be transformed into permanent housing - something that we need to address here in Nelson.	
142	Steph Hills	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No	
142	Steph Hills	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No	
142	Steph Hills	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No	
142	Steph Hills	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No	
142	Steph Hills	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
142	Steph Hills	6 General comments	Why. It is already hard enough for families and the increase is too high. People are struggling cost of living is too high and the pay gap to cost of living is rerrible	
143	Stephen yeats	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
143	Stephen yeats	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
143	Stephen yeats	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
143	Stephen yeats	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
143	Stephen yeats	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
144	Mary Glaisyer	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Not if it means not supporting the arts and community organisations to the extent that that they should be supported.	
144	Mary Glaisyer	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
144	Mary Glaisyer	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
144	Mary Glaisyer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. The proposed development should be re-jigged to take into account the flooding that occurred on the land upon which houses are to be built.	
144	Mary Glaisyer	6 General comments	I note that grants to arts and community organisations will not enable them to deliver at their previous level. Nelson needs the arts - the arts are what makes Nelson what it is. The arts bring people to Nelson and they spend money here. Investment in the arts pays off in terms of the economy and the happiness of Nelson residents.	
145	John Glaisyer	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
145	John Glaisyer	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
145	John Glaisyer	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes but please don't drag cost of investigation. Get a result and act on it.	
145	John Glaisyer	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
145	John Glaisyer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	This whole development needs completely rethinking. Look at the flooding that happened in the area. Mitigation by the developers will not be sufficient reason to go ahead - look at the flooding and damage to roads in the Nelson area. Some pipes just got blocked and then the damage was done. Where is the real affordable housing in this development?	
145	John Glaisyer	6 General comments	Good luck to the whole of the council in whatever they are able to achieve so that the people of Nelson and visitors can see what a good place we live in. I do not agree with the Three waters 'takeover' - I think it is best to keep our system. Expensive as it may prove to be in the long run. It is good at the moment and it is unnecessary to even consider adding fluoride to the potable water (though the latter is not much the council is able to do about it).	
146	Esmee Emerson	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
146	Esmee Emerson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
146	Esmee Emerson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
146	Esmee Emerson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, definitely.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
146	Esmee Emerson	6 General comments	Funding for arts organisations and events should be increased. Outdoor facilities and sports are already well catered for but Nelson is stagnating. It is vital that we present Nelson as a more sophisticated and cultured city for visitors and residents. Nelson used to be known as an artistic centre; we need to restore that image.	
147	Sheryl Edwards	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, I do. This would allow the ratepayers and infrastructure specialists to determine what is really required in living with climate change. Go in too soon and there might be a level of regret if we have not placed the 'bar' high enough. But also, lets use common sense; use our knowledge, what we do know ie: last years significant weather event pushed a number of residents out of their homes and placed many residents in similar danger in the Maitai, the Brook and their lower environs, The Wood and lower Nelson city. I live in Elliott st and another 6-12 hrs our houses would have been flooded as the stormwater in the high tide stopped working, leaving us wading in gumboots anxiously hoping for the rain to stop. Allowing the Maitahi subdivision is going to only increase the amount of stormwater at any given time regardless of tide and increased rainfall. In addition the amount of sediment any subdivision causes will effect the floor of the river as well as the delicate ecosystem of this river that has had much money thrown at it to enhance and improve its health as it winds itself through the floor of Nelson city.	
147	Sheryl Edwards	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I agree with reducing 23/24 funding from 17.8 to 200,000. WE have a lovely library already and in a fantastic location. I walk past here every day and enjoy seeing parents and children choosing their library books, reading together in the lovely spaces and then go next door to the play area. In addition their is plenty of parking space. It is also connected to the trafalgar park area that makes bike safe riding for parents and children. Why change what is working well?The adult section of the library appears to be used more as a wifi hub than people getting books out. What happened to wifi cafe's? You can often see people sitting with their laptops open outside of a morning before the library opens. I suspect they can still access the library wifi? To fund a bigger library just to provide more wifi spaces is not really a library space is it?When you can provide how a community hub(What have the ratepayers and residents of Nelson requested) will be used then maybe that is when you start to investigate opportunities for a community hub? There appears a number of empty business spaces around Nelson that might be rented on a as needs basis. Then there's the Trafalgar centre that rarely gets used?A little aside, the old house in Orchard Flat, Maitai Valley would be a fantastic community space, a calming environment. Plenty of space for young and old, room for a cafe, not far from town, space to park, to extend events, ie: yoga /tai chi in the park. Take a look at the restore cafe, and she how much it is patronised by the public. There is no end of ideas that such a lovely old house could be used...keep Nelson as the creative capital, thats what attracted me to the place many years ago.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
147	Sheryl Edwards	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	European countries have built central city community apartments, housing both a mixture of elderly and young, a tuakana/teina model, with community garden hubs.	
147	Sheryl Edwards	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. Given the amount of public opposition to this development, past and present surely this would suggest that any rates payers money being used to finance infrastructure to and from this development would be abhorrent. Last years weather event to repair and improve infrastructure is no doubt being passed on to ratepayers so why should we pay for connecting infrastructure to a development that will increase the possibility of another round of flooding and subsequent recovery and repair...to ratepayers. I guess we will have to pay for that to be repaired as well?	
147	Sheryl Edwards	6 General comments	Come on Nelson city councillors..look at what nature is telling us. Nelson city is and is going to be prone to flooding, there is a beautiful river that snakes it's way through a wide area. On a sunny day, we all enjoy walking our dogs, biking along the river, swimming at Dennes, Sunday and Black holes. But when the weather proves itself mightier than ourselves and our futile attempts to change the landscape, scraping away sub-soils; cutting into hillsides; raising the natural ground level; and draining swamp to put in roads we can watch from our televisions the impact of a sustained rain event on land that history continually tells us...best leave it alone! It just shows the stupidity of developers, their team's of engineers and designers...don't put yourselves in this bracket!	
148	Sarah Boulton	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
149	Rex Westley	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
151	Edwin Debes	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
152	Paul Telfer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
153	Marc Hunter	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
154	Mike Chambers	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
155	Kenneth Benjamin Trathen for Trathens Properties	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I oppose the reduction in the uniform annual general charge	
155	Kenneth Benjamin Trathen for Trathens Properties	6 General comments	I oppose the linear park proposed for Bridge Street. I oppose the removal of any carparking in Bridge Street.	
156	Lucy Charlesworth	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. More housing in the CBD would bring life back to the city and reinvigorate the city centre. Under 100 people live in the CBD at present. There is plenty of scope for intensification. This would offer a range of housing options including affordable housing.	
156	Lucy Charlesworth	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not! The proposed development consultation has been a fiasco since its inception. From the flawed 2019 FDS onwards and to the RMA 'independent' hearing. The consultation process has been a sham. Ratepayers should not subsidise this commercial venture (which is an environmental crime). The majority of submitters have been against this development and rezoning (except for during the initial 2019 flawed FDS consultation process). No part of this development should be subsidised or facilitated by ratepayers.	
157	Marc Hunter	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
158	Kane Hart	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
159	Jeff Wray	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
160	Lindsay McPhillips	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
161	James Calt	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
162	Jayde Palmer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
163	Dougal Rodman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
164	Cleveland Barrell	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
165	Chris Lowe	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
166	Brett Hahn	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
167	Chris Guy	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
168	Bill Jepson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
169	Jamie Spittal	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
170	Deb Spittal	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
171	Ben Amberger	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
172	Alan Peoples	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
173	Kylee Taylor	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
174	Mel Langdon	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
176	Tony Munnerley	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
178	Scott McKenzie	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
179	Scott Brookland	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding.	
180	Tony Downing	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I am against minimising the rates increase to 7.2% if it means cutting funding for arts, culture, and community groups. Instead, I suggest that the Council either raises rates to cover the CPI increase for these organizations or finds alternative funding sources within the budget. I believe that events like the Nelson Arts Festival play a vital part in the community well-being for our city. These events add energy and vitality to our city and should be seen as an investment in developing a healthy culture. The arts also play a vital part in attracting visitors and new residents to our city and, therefore should be supported with increased funding from the Council.	
181	Livi Pollock	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
181	Livi Pollock	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
181	Livi Pollock	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
181	Livi Pollock	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
181	Livi Pollock	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
182	Leanna Pollock	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes I support this funding	
183	Anna Fyfe for Multicultural Nelson Tasman Inc	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Multicultural Nelson Tasman sees the benefit to our ethnic communities of having an inclusive and accessible multi-purpose community hub (which includes a library). Our comprehensive feedback on this was already collected during a consultation meeting with Alice Heather and Paul Jennings in August 2022. We gave examples of libraries in other areas (and overseas) that serve as a real community hub/heart of the community, and have moved beyond being just about books, - having the capacity for venue/room hire, a commercial kitchen, multi-purpose and adaptable spaces that could be used for dance classes/rehearsals, playgroups, community workshops and meetings and more. We also reiterated that such a library/hub would need to be designed to be welcoming to newcomers of all backgrounds. Many of our ethnic communities do not see themselves reflected in the library as it currently presents, it poses challenges around accessibility and is very Eurocentric. We can only imagine someone from one of our former refugee communities, perhaps with limited English, walking past and wondering what that civic space offers for them in its current form. We would suggest, without exaggerating, that many people from our migrant and former refugee communities have possibly never been into the Nelson Library. In our feedback to the draft regional ten-year regeneration plan – Project Kokiri (in early 2022), we also reiterated the benefit of a having a vibrant, multi-purpose, well-designed and affordable space, where newcomers- migrants, former refugees and others can meet and connect. Where there might be a space for playgroups, language classes, courses, activities, perhaps a kitchen space. We would also like to see dedicated office space(s) for organisations such as ourselves, perhaps also Citizens Advice Bureau and other NGOs who serve the community directly (e.g., budgeting advice) - so that we can be accessed by the public more easily, and to ensure our limited operational funds (which we must apply for repeatedly however and wherever we can) are not gobbled up by high	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=3YPB9M

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
183	Anna Fyfe for Multicultural Nelson Tasman Inc	6 General comments	<p>Re: other proposed changes to the 202/24 year. Funding for community groups and facilities, including council organisations: We note that Council is proposing to leave funding at the same level as 2022/23 and we would strongly request that inflationary pressures are taken into consideration and that an increase is considered for the 2023/24 year. If funding does not keep up with actual costs, then cuts will need to be made in many community organisations such as ours, leading to less services, less projects and ultimately less events for the public and communities. The impacts of Covid are still being felt, with many companies increasing costs to try and recoup losses and stay afloat, (especially providers and contractors connected to the events industry). As an organisation that puts on hugely successful add well-attended community events and workshops, (to help with social cohesion, community wellbeing, cultural retention, awareness-raising and to combat racism) we have noted these increased costs from providers that we need to utilise (for example audio or staging specialists), whilst funding has not kept pace. As a charitable trust, we carry limited reserves to meet these unexpected cost increases. Additionally, we would also like to see continued funding of the valuable Welcoming Communities role (above and beyond the MBIE-funded time period) and associated funding to enable this role to have more impact and reach. Over the past year, we have seen that the Welcoming Communities role within Council, is complimentary to, but does not duplicate that work of our organisation. In respect to funding for associated community activities, by working collaboratively with the Welcoming Communities Coordinator, MNT oversaw the administration of the small “Neighbourhood Grants” (report attached from the first grant of \$5000). This proved hugely successful - meaning that we could help bolster and increase the capacity of many small ethnic community event and celebrations. To date – since September 2022, we have help pass on that funding to 16 small community</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
184	Richard Sullivan	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes and no. I support the steps to minimise rates rise, but i think 7.2% is way too high. I fully applaud the move to aligning rates increases to a cost of living increase, although it does seem cynical as this is higher than the previous method. It needs to be enshrined that it cannot be changed back again to suit. Council should work harder to cut back on inefficient spending rather than continue to fleece people in one of the lower income regions in the country. The info pack shows that while costs have risen 15% since 2010, rates rose 38% suggesting there is significant bloat developed in the system over this time. Standards of service appear no better so citizens need to ask what they are receiving for costs rising more than twice as fast as inflation. In fact the costs have risen even faster than published as the published total is a simple arithmetic total whereas the rates rise is cumulative. Actual rate increases over this period have been more like 45%. Council continues to promote and fund low productivity activities which is detrimental to incomes and proving very costly to fund. Anything that supports the lowest productivity industries like tourism or retail should be cut. Instead the focus should be on making the city and the CBD in particular an attractive place to live, work and do business. Specifically funding to the Economic Development Agency should be contingent on it focussing on higher productivity businesses and any tourism promotion should be de-funded immediately. Decisions like funding the Waimea Dam highlight the misplaced spending. This was a transfer to land owners outside the district, and any jobs it did create would likely be low paid, low productivity ones, probably filled by temporary migrant workers. Greater control over spending is needed. The cost blowouts on things like the Suter toilets, Greenmeadows, the Sanctuary and the bike racks (which don't even have charging points!) need to be reigned in.	
184	Richard Sullivan	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes. It should be capital cost and debt funded as it is infrastructure investment and not used as a smokescreen to increase rates.	
184	Richard Sullivan	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No. This is capital investment improving the infrastructure for citizens, making the city a more desirable place to live. Reducing this spend is false economy as it supports a more knowledge-based economy, should not be an operating expense (i.e funded by rates)	
184	Richard Sullivan	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. Council should focus its efforts on making the city more livable, encouraging more people and high productivity businesses in the CBD. This means making more people friendly spaces, fewer car-oriented spaces and upgrading infrastructure like broadband, e-bike charging, bike and mobility scooter access, and public transport while reducing spend on roads and carparks. City centre infrastructure includes public recreation spaces and a library.	
184	Richard Sullivan	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No, this is a ridiculous place for growth requiring substantial transport investment. Focus should be on CBD and places within a walkable distance.	
184	Richard Sullivan	6 General comments	Oppose any airport runway extension. To make Nelson a better place to live means not taking away recreation space for pavement. To encourage greater tourism (i.e low paid low productivity jobs) at the expense of local amenity is madness.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
185	Graham Watson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
185	Graham Watson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, fully support.	
185	Graham Watson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
185	Graham Watson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Very definitely do not agree with this. The developers should carry this cost, not the ratepayers.	
186	Warren Burgess	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, but I understand that to keep Nelson in shape takes money.	
186	Warren Burgess	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
186	Warren Burgess	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
186	Warren Burgess	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes ! Absolutely !	
186	Warren Burgess	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
186	Warren Burgess	6 General comments	Please get on with the city centre improvements for walking/cycling particularly Bridge Street Linear Active Transport Corridor between Rutherford and Collingwood Streets. Please reduce the speed limit on Brook St and Westbrook Terrace to 30km/hr and outside schools.	
187	Belles Pollock	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
187	Belles Pollock	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
187	Belles Pollock	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No	
187	Belles Pollock	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
187	Belles Pollock	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes	
188	Madeline Carroll	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
188	Madeline Carroll	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. I strongly oppose this pre-planning work while the development is under appeal with the Environment Court. I am extremely concerned about the size of the proposed Kaka Valley development. Extensive earthworks will result in increased sediment in the Maitai River and the Haven. The current Bay View development is already causing increased sediment in the Haven which must already be affecting fish nurseries in the Haven. The Maitai floodplain should revert to a wetland to contribute to the "sponginess" of the region. The August rain and flood event demonstrated how susceptible this area is to flooding as a result of climate change. Additional wetlands are needed to absorb the impacts of future heavy rains. Heavy traffic (predicted 6000 car movements a day) will have a very negative impact on the quality of life in this part of Nelson and in Atawhai and Dodson Valley. Extensive roading changes will be required, funded by ratepayers. I strongly believe that the scale of the Bay View/Maitai/Kaka Valley proposal will have very substantial adverse environmental, social and economic impacts. I urge the NCC to support a smaller development which will provide affordable housing close to the city centre but with reduced negative impacts.	
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	We understand and acknowledge that increasing costs are impacting on Council operations. However, we also note that as discretionary income reduces, many people choose not to engage in physical activity due to actual or perceived costs. As much as we face a cost of living crisis, so do we recognise an underlying sedentary lifestyle crisis which is impacting significantly on the region's health and wellbeing. We would ask that Council consider this before deciding on a fully inflation based rates increase.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=4JG5NG
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	We appreciated that our strong partnership with Council enabled shared thinking and collaboration to repair and remediate many spaces and places utilised by the community to be active in a relatively short timeframe following the floods. This included the Maitai Hub and mountain bike and walking tracks. We fully support ongoing recovery work that increases the resilience of spaces and places used for physical activity, including facilities, assets, and reserves. We would propose engaging closely with Council over this to help review these urban development opportunities for physical activity, utilising the Top of the South Spaces and Places Strategy received by Council in 2021.	
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	We support investigating opportunities for a community hub, as these can provide a key focal point for many members of the community to socially connect and provide a positive environment to promote and enhance wellbeing.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Consistent with our vision of providing equitable opportunities and experiences for young people to be physically active alongside our purpose of improving community wellbeing through physical activity, we support any initiatives designed to increase accessibility to high quality green spaces. We support development of any active travel options through safe provision of walking /cycleways. These not only provide the health benefits of being physically active, but also provide many additional benefits such as improved air quality, reduced traffic congestion and reduced carbon emissions.	
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Regardless of the appeal outcome on the proposed development of the Maitai Valley, we would support any options to improve active transport connections from and to the CBD and in the Maitai Valley locality. We strongly agree with one of the benefits stated, as "Greater active transport options available to the community, reducing traffic on our roads."	
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	6 General comments	Government funded projects as part of the Three Waters Reform • Irrespective of the future of Three Waters, we strongly support the Te Ara o Whakatū City centre play space project. Play is crucial for young people's learning, including cognitive, social, and physical development. Play is an outlet for creativity and experimentation that allows children to emulate what they see, try new things, practice skills, reduce fear of failure and to experience and manage risk. Changes to both the built and natural environments have reduced access to traditional play spaces, in many ways due to safety concerns, so it is encouraging that Council are proposing this significant development. • The Railway Reserve is a significant active travel thoroughfare, so we support Council's proposal to complete the lighting project and thus maximise availability and safe usage. Other proposed changes to the 2023/24 year • Transport: We continue to advocate for and support Council in their proposals to increase and improve active travel options. We fully support the Transport Choices Project particularly given this will be 100% subsidised by Waka Kotahi. • Transport: We applaud and support the proposal to accelerate the active travel corridor from Railway Reserve to Hampden Street/Waimea Road (Streets for People project). Again, given this will be 90% subsidised by Waka Kotahi we believe this can accelerate options for more of the community to access a safe space to normalise physical activity. • Parks and Active Recreation: We support the proposed operating expenditure allocation to the Tāhunanui surf lifesaving and sports facilities, to scope out and cost the ideal options. This would provide a much more fit for purpose evaluation, particularly if any facilities are considered as part of community sport and recreation hubs. • We fully support the proposed marina activity changes as they are consistent with recommendations made in the Top of the South Spaces and Places Strategy.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
190	Jenny Paddon	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
191	Rex Wesley	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
192	Philippa Eberlein for Nelson Suter Art Society	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
192	Philippa Eberlein for Nelson Suter Art Society	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes.	
192	Philippa Eberlein for Nelson Suter Art Society	6 General comments	I do not agree with the proposed spending of \$100,000 to investigate a new arts hub while taking \$95,000 away from the existing arts community. Why not support the existing facilities, such as the Suter Gallery, which is enjoyed by local, national and international visitors. Inflation will put many community groups under pressure. Along with the non adjustments of grants their reduced income will lead to less support for the art community. If the Suter Gallery had to cut staff or days that they open it would have a knock on effect to the NSAS members.	
193	Kay Harris for Nelson Sheepskin Shop	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
194	Glen James for Glen James Jewellers	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I consider that Council should not be bashful in setting rates at whatever figure is needed to pay for the needed infrastructure etc. The special focus on percentage increase is not particularly helpful: it might be better to compare rates payable on "typical" residential properties in Richmond - and see how "cheap" Nelson's residential rates are.	
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No: in light of the imminence/importance of Climate Change, 10 years is too long It should be funded over 7 or 8 years - by the current ratepayers (i.e. me) rather than by their children	
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Agree with deleting the dollars for a new Library Oppose allocating \$200k- or any sum - in investigating opportunities for a community hub. My reasoning is that once these "investigations" start, they never stop, and Council always refuses to say "cancel that project". We cannot afford more "community hubs". See para 6 below	
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely not. Where is this suggested upgrade referred to in any 10 Year Plan - I cannot see it. I consider that Council is being actively misleading in the way it has presented p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan: it seems to be trying to "sell" a complete change to Bridge Street by saying it is to improve infrastructure, and that the Government will pay. Council seems to want to spend some millions on planning, and well over \$10 million on construction (in subsequent years) of a proposed Bridge Street Active Transport Linear Corridor going from Collingwood Street to Rutherford Street; but very little in the way of detail is provided . It appears (from the photo/plan on p15 of the Annual Plan) that it is a re-emergence of the Bridge Street closure set out in the 2021 Spatial Plan - which also had no details. It is to be noted that that Spatial Plan was adopted by Council in 2021 (for only token amendments) and no publicity has been given to any withdrawal/cancellation. In 2021, when queried on that absence of details, Council said it was "only a vision" and would be fully "consulted on" at a later date. I ask: is this meant to be the required "consultation". If so; I say that it is deficient as & bull;no details of what is proposed are given (eg no cars?; one way?; no parking?; very restricted parking?); and & bull;no details of funding the eventual costs is givenThat Spatial Plan had most carparking removed from Bridge Street, and very little through traffic of any sort. The present plan seems to be very similar: but implies otherwise by saying (p14) "opportunities to offset any loss of parking elsewhere in the city will be explored as part of this project". I'm sorry but those are weasel words, and the inclusion of the word "any" (in relation to loss of parking) is positively misleading. In light of Council decisions on Parking Strategy in 2022 (ie "a sinking lid" on car parking in the CBD, and "manage parking demand by increasing fees"), I am confident that any Linear Corridor will result in the overall loss of many/most car-parks: but there is no suggestion of that detailed in the Annual Plan. Below is an extract from the 2021	
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Neither for nor against- as I do not have the requisite background knowledge	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	⑥ General comments	<p>1 Stopping of the annual reduction in differential between Commercial and Residential rating Over a decade ago, Council brought in a scheme which provided that the Commercial area should pay 25% of the total General Rate: and additional "differentials" were added to the General Rate charged to achieve that split. There was no "rating justification" for the 25% - but nobody contested the decision in Court. Over more recent years, the Land Value of Residential property increased much faster than Land Value of Commercial land (especially in the CBD), and so that differential increased to a stage where the General Rate was several times the Residential General Rate. The craziness of the position was partially realised by Council about 5 years ago, and the 10 Year Plan provided that the 25% of total rates would be reduced by half a per cent each year. That reduction meant that in the current Financial Year the Commercial rates are set so as to realise 22.6 % of the total General Rate. Even then the differential in 2022/23 was such that Inner City Commercial (vacant or occupied) paid a General Rate 4.57 times the Residential General Rate - based on Land Value In this year's Annual Plan, Council proposes cancelling that half a per cent per year reduction - see p 30-31 of the proposed Annual Plan As a result Council proposes that Inner City Commercial (occupied or vacant) will have to pay the Residential Rate - plus a differential of 379% - in other word nearly 5 times the Residential Rate: the General Rate is always based only on Land Value. As to effect, please look at this table of two properties I have an interest in. My home is behind the Cathedral and has a high Land Value; and my Trust owns a commercial property in the CBD. The figures are: -Commercial Property Land Value \$620,000 General Rate \$9,883-Residential Property Land Value \$1,360,000 General Rate \$4,667In other words, the Commercial property pays about double the General Rates that my Residential property pays -even though the Residential property (Land Value) is worth more than twice as much : that is</p>	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=LCXB8R
196	Faye Wulff	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
196	Faye Wulff	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
196	Faye Wulff	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
196	Faye Wulff	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No I don't think enough thought has been given to the fact that the inner city is on a flood plain and a estuary.	
196	Faye Wulff	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
196	Faye Wulff	6 General comments	I would like to submit my thoughts and speak to the Arts hub investigation Council is proposing to allocate \$100,000 operating expenditure in 2023/24 to investigate opportunities for a new arts hub to support the arts sector and implementation of He Tātai Whetū – Whakatū Nelson Arts and Creativity Strategy. Council analysis of community arts facilities has identified potential gaps for Nelson that warrant further investigation. I am attaching a file with my submission.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=613NW3
197	Julie Catchpole for The Suter Art Gallery Te Aratoi o Whakatu	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
197	Julie Catchpole for The Suter Art Gallery Te Aratoi o Whakatu	6 General comments	see attached submission	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=L3QR0
198	Ali Boswick	6 General comments	See Attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=Q4JOA2
199	Scott Burnett for Forest & Bird	6 General comments	(see attached document)Introduction to Forest & BirdNew Zealand's largest and oldest conservation organisationThe Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society (Forest & Bird) is New Zealand's largest and longest-serving independent conservatin organization with over 100,000 members, supporters and volunteers. Our mission is to be a voice for nature - on land, in the sea, and in our fresh waters.Forest & Bird's constitutional purpose is to 'take all reasonable steps within the power of the Society for the preservation and protection of the indigenous flora and fauna and the natural features of New Zealand.'Independent and funded primarily by members and supportersWe are a registered charity, with our funding coming primarily from members and supporters; we receive government grants only for specific practical projects. Our nearly 50 volunteer branches throughout New Zealand work on the ground to restore nature through activities such as running pest control programmes, native plant nurseries, field trips, and public talks.Hundreds of projectsWith hundreds of projects operating at a variety of geographic scales, our portfolio of conservation projects is the largest of any single NGO in New Zealand. Through our Kiwi Conservation Club Hakuturi Toa (KCC), we engage children and their families, inspiring them to enjoy, understand, and love the natural environment and to care for it. We have more than 5000 children in KCC, and many ex-KCC members have gone on to establish science and conservation careers.Forest & Bird Youth is a national network of 14 - 25-year-olds who are acting for nature as youth for youth. They are actively organising practical projects, lobbying MPs and Ministers, and running digital campaigns.We have an active branch in Nelson-Tasman that has been involved in a multitude of community conservation projects and and which regularly advocates for increased protection of wildlife and ecosystems.An advocate for natureForest & Bird advocates for policy development and law reform, and represents nature in the Environment Court,	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=B4L1AQ
200	David B. Jones	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	i fundamentally agree with this proposal	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
200	David B. Jones	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Nelson needs a fully functioning library given its central role and inclusive cultural objectives. this must be re-established as soon as possible	
200	David B. Jones	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes i support this proposal, with the request that a significant proportion of the 1000 extra units of housing be made financially accessible to low income.	
200	David B. Jones	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Given that the council states there is a "housing crisis" i would want the developmewnt to include housing accessible to low income. could be with the involvement of "housing trusts".	
201	Geoff Cooper	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	The Plan to spend \$606,000 of council money on an item currently being appealed in the environmental court is wrong. and smack of irresponsibility at best, as it preempts the decision of the environmental court itself. The whole idea of building submissions in flood prone areas is under scrutiny nationally, and even globally. There is no guarantee that this sub-division will go ahead, so spending this money now will be a reckless use of council money. I would appreciate an explanation of why council feels the need to spend this money rather than the developer spending it, as they plan to make profits from this sub-division.	
202	JR Richardson	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I support the intent. However, I would question some of the decision-making around where these savings are generated.	
202	JR Richardson	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes.	
202	JR Richardson	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
202	JR Richardson	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes.	
202	JR Richardson	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I am not informed enough to have a firm view.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
202	JR Richardson	6 General comments	My core purpose of submitting relates to the proposed retention of arts and social funding despite recent inflation. Nelson City Council obviously values its ability to champion the arts - as evidenced by the use of The Opera In The Park imagery on the cover of your document, but it continues to undervalue the many small organisations and creatives that generate and deliver the bulk of our regions artistic outcomes - who in most (if not all) cases, do so with greater financial efficiency than the council itself could ever manage. Failing to recognise inflation is a failure to support these organisations. This will see less delivery of outcomes to retain their people or the loss of people to other industries that can support them accordingly. If inflation adjustments are off the table, I would expect that the measurements that which council reviews to re/validate funding for arts organisations be proportionally reduced - because you can not have more, or even the same, for less. In today's inflating climate, the same IS less.	
203	Alastair Cotterill	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I will discuss in Ncc Chambers when i speak hence that 7.2% needs to be reviewed.	
203	Alastair Cotterill	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	i will discuss when speaking still some calculations	
203	Alastair Cotterill	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Doing some more investigating on this to reach my conclusion and this will be done before I speak.	
203	Alastair Cotterill	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	As long as no special housing. will discuss in more detail when speaking.	
203	Alastair Cotterill	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes i will discuss when speaking	
204	Richard + Mary Talbot	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
204	Richard + Mary Talbot	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
204	Richard + Mary Talbot	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
204	Richard + Mary Talbot	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Depends!	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
204	Richard + Mary Talbot	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	We don't believe NCC should be using rate-payer funds of \$606,000 to contribute towards the Maitahi development in the Maitai Valley. When this development is so controversial, we believe it is entirely the developers responsibility to fund the infrastructure required.it is only after expensive enquiry & examinations by the "Save the Maitai" Group (we attend hearings) that the developers were confronted by the fact that the storm water infrastructure in particular had not been addressed.In our opinion this development for housing in the lower areas should not be going ahead. Global warming means this area will never be truly safe from flooding and the insurance industry will not be willing to support houses on a flood plan.	
205	James Genever	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I would support rates increases if the plans include positive actions to improve the livability of the city, specifically:1) measures to protect permanently the green spaces in the city vicinity*2) enforce tasteful architectural standards in the CBD area for higher density housing there, as well as for future commercial and civic buildings3) infrastructure improvements to support increased focus on building in the CBD* Point 1 above applies especially to the heritage Maitai/Kaka Valley area which should be preserved in perpetuity without new housing subdivisions and actively nurtured for its natural beauty, its growing recreational importance and functional importance to Maitai River floodwater management and disaster prevention.	
205	James Genever	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
205	James Genever	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes and please make sure we develop buildings to be proud of in Nelson to increase the aesthetic charm and livability of the city.	
205	James Genever	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely yes.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
205	James Genever	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely NOT. As a long-term property owner in the Upper Hardy St / Centre of NZ area (our family has owned the same house since 1970). I have strong memories of the 1970 flood event and I know that significant overflow streams from the Maitai River travelled via Pitt St and the Botanic during that event. We were spared in 2022, but all common sense says that major weather events are increasingly more likely. So, to expand housing developments in this area (particularly that proposed on the floodplain) would be a big gamble for the ratepayers. Why can this \$606,000 cost not be assigned to the developers if indeed it must go ahead? How can we be confident of protection from flooding with increased runoff from impermeable surfaces, not to mention the degradation of water quality in the rivers and silt/pollution flowing to the Bay. There seems to be a clear risk of a ballooning cost for the type of 'very severe weather event recovery work' mentioned above in this survey. Also, the cost of increased traffic noise and pollution in this quiet and attractive neighborhood is abhorrent. We should have high hurdles for developers in this location at the very least. Has NCC ever given a balanced presentation to ratepayers of the payback for the city of such a development in such a sensitive location? Indeed, it seems more like a 'pet project' being promoted with superficial arguments and with a threat writ large of legal impropriety by councillors, if not voting in favor. On balance I do not see a self-evident benefit to the ratepayers. It would be a much more powerful legacy delivered by this NCC council if we can say in 50 years that the unique treasure of the Maitai was preserved for generations of Nelsonians and not a 'crown jewel' of the city thrown away. Think for a minute of the priceless view for visitors and locals from the top of the Centre of NZ. An ocean panorama in one direction and a beautiful unspoiled rural valley in the other. So all in all, I oppose strongly the idea of ratepayer dollars being spent liberally to support the destruction of a	
205	James Genever	6 General comments	See attached image of the Maitai Valley	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maintenance/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=GH4K06
206	Colleen Shaw	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes but I feel that priorities should centre around adaption and mitigation due to climate change.	
206	Colleen Shaw	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes with the focus having to be on managed retreat and building infrastructure that will withstand the severe weather events that will become more common.	
206	Colleen Shaw	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I believe that libraries are important community resources and my understanding is that Nelson's very well used by a variety of demographics. This being the case it needs to be supported and allowed to function at a high modern standard. I am relieved that the original plan to build a new library in a vulnerable area which in time will be inundated by sea level rise. I'm not sure however that \$200,000 will be substantial enough. I support the idea of a community hub including the library in a central city location.	
206	Colleen Shaw	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
206	Colleen Shaw	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No as this development is before the Environment Court presently. My hope is that they will decide against this proposal.	
206	Colleen Shaw	6 General comments	I feel the council should lead the way in keeping the climate crisis in focus for the many citizens and businesses who would prefer to disconnect from it. This should be reflected in policies that promote transport sharing, economical bus transport, easy access (ie not requiring driving) to goods and services from residential areas, safe bike routes, and promoting behaviour change in individuals and the business community that would lower greenhouse gas emissions .	
207	Anne Pokel	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Council recognises the increased pressure on its own budgets and the budgets of households and businesses and yet is proposing holding grants to arts, culture and community organisations to 2022/23 levels along with no increase to grants in line with inflation. This is a compounding reduction in support for these organisations. I do not support this cost reduction. The net effect of this reduction in funding will negatively impact on the culture, art and community sectors of Whakatū and the wellbeing and prosperity of the city overall. This is not the time to be cutting back. We should be investing in the sustainability of these organisations, which are so important to our wellbeing, sense of community and quality of life. As stated in the Consultation Document "Council sets fees and charges so as to allocate a reasonable cost sharing between the ratepayers and the people or businesses using the service. There is no perfect balance between the two but the greater the public good element, the more the cost is funded by general rates." These organisations largely exist for the public good - they make our city more inclusive, bring visitors and new residents into our communities, boost the local economy, create jobs and build social cohesion. I do not support the minimisation of the rates rise to an average of 7.2% if this is at the expense of arts, culture and community groups and call on the Council to either: - Increase rates in order to meet the CPI increases for these organisations - Fund this from another area of Council budget and/or increase debt	
207	Anne Pokel	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
207	Anne Pokel	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No i do not agree with such a drastic cut in funding to an essential community service. I do however support the development of a community hub including the library, as long as this development is undertaken in response to the community's needs and the location chosen in consultation with mana whenua as well as taking into consideration the impending effects of climate change on our city and region	
207	Anne Pokel	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes in principal, as long as the acceleration takes into account the community's housing needs and leans on sustainable, regenerative building practices	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
207	Anne Pokel	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
207	Anne Pokel	6 General comments	I do not support the Council's plan to hold grants for Arts, Culture and Community organisations at 2022/23 levels alongside the withdrawal of their long-term approach of adjusting of grants in line with inflation. This is a compounding decrease in funding to these organisations at the most difficult time and when their work is most vital. Council recognises inflationary financial pressures on its own budgets, households and businesses but not arts and community organisations. Why are these organisations being treated differently? These proposed cuts, which while small in terms of the Council's overall budget, will have an outsized impact on our arts, culture and creative sector, and community organisations. The net effect of this reduction in funding will hugely negatively impact on the culture, art and community sectors of Whakatū. Organisations will struggle, may go into debt, and will result in a reduced programme and ability to serve our communities. Our city and region will be a less culturally rich, socially cohesive and diverse place as a result. The negative impact on these organisations will have far reaching impacts both this year and ongoing. Our city is rebuilding and recovering. Arts, culture and community organisations are key to our recovery and regeneration. It is time to invest in our communities and our arts, culture and creative sector – which are needed more than ever as our communities rebuild after years of COVID 19 disruption and major flooding events. I am requesting transparency on the exact impact of the proposed Annual Plan on the arts, culture and community sectors of Whakatū. I call on the Council to adjust current grants to arts, culture and community groups in line with inflation and to make a commitment to continue to do so. I do not support the reduction of \$175,000 in financial support for the Nelson Arts Festival in 2023/2024.	
208	Tilman Walk	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No, I think it makes little to no difference to almost all Rate payers if its 7.2, 8.2 or 9.2 % rates rise. Rates have risen below inflation in the last decade. We need to get going to build a better Nelson. Small minded approach does not help for that. Council -as the major rightfully writes- is far to small a player to actually do something about cost of living. Right! So this can not possibly be a major aspect guiding the policy. Empty sections that speculants hold would be good to be subjected to a 500 % rise to get building on them going, Mostly Empty sections, oversized sections, they all can afford to pay more or subdivide or sell to somebody who can. Subdivision needs to be cheaper. Small houses on small sections holding lower income people could stay lower. The idea to safeguard the Nelson rich with their property empires under the disguise of "cost of living" is simply put a thinly veiled joke, but not a funny one.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
208	Tilman Walk	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	It has been raining for millions of years. The technical failure of clear-fell logging, minimizing water retaining capacity on our steep hillsides above inhabited valleys together with canalising and floodwalls in higher sections of the streams without super high floodwalls in lower sections (defying simple geometry) is NOT a severe weather event. The weather has been up and down for millennia. Its a technical disaster and the logging companies and past engineering consultants should pay for it, not the ratepayer. Spreading the cost to future generations is grossly unjust. The current people have done the damage, they need to pay.	
208	Tilman Walk	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Who needs a new library. We need a new pool. Our pool is technical way more insufficient than our library. We need a floodwall along QE 2 Drive. We need to solve the engineering disaster along rocks road. We need affordable housing in the city. There is a lot to do. Another Temple for books is certainly not a priority imho. We do not need another architecturally designed overpriced building for a community center. Redo mostly empty Trafalgar center on the lines of the Blenheim integrated sports center. There are best practices galore, just copy and paste. No need to reinvent the wheel.	
208	Tilman Walk	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely yes!	
208	Tilman Walk	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely yes.	
208	Tilman Walk	6 General comments	After the killing on Champions road, how does NCC plan to act to avoid more deaths along its illegally narrow "cycle lanes" wedged between 50 km/ fast traffic and parallel parking? The cycle lanes do not comply with NZTA rules. This is an assault on cyclists that has resulted in a dead cyclist. How does NCC plan to cope with the liability its illegal cycle lanes create? Is there a budget to change cycle lanes to the minimum legal widths? https://www.nzta.govt.nz/roads-and-rail/traffic-control-devices-manual/part-5-traffic-control-devices-for-general-use-between-intersections/cycling-facilities/general/	
209	Richard Bruvick	6 General comments	Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=JRF306
209	Richard Bruvick	6 General comments	Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=9S VFPR
209	Richard Bruvick	6 General comments	Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=QU D21B

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
210	Jacqui Tyrrell	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Spending money at this stage is inappropriate. The Maitai Valley is perfect as a recreational area for Nelson, a rare place where a relatively unpolluted river runs through a beautiful valley - something rare and wonderful that we should treasure and preserve for future citizens to visit and refresh their spirits. But as a place to build houses it is seriously flawed. The Flood made this clear. The council has a huge responsibility to act in the interests of Nelsonians as a whole, present and future - and spending money now on infrastructure for a subdivision that should never have got this far along is pure irresponsibility. In my opinion it is immoral to spend ratepayers' money on supporting a private development which will presumably make money for the developers, but which represents pure destruction of a precious place. Surely by now even councillors are realising that places like the Maitai Valley are vital for the health of the community.	
210	Jacqui Tyrrell	6 General comments	I'm not currently living in Nelson, but family members are. I grew up in Nelson and it's the place I think of as home.	
211	Lynaire Donaldson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes I support this reduction and new focus.	
211	Lynaire Donaldson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes I support this funding.	
212	Robert Owen	6 General comments	Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=BCAKRH
213	Emma Patton	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
214	Lucinda Jimson	6 General comments	RE: Submission to the Nelson City Council by Tasman Bays Heritage Trust, requesting retention of the financial commitment towards the construction of Nelson Provincial Museum's new ARC (Archives, Research and Collections) Facility in the Long Term Plan 2021-2031. Tēnā koutou am writing on behalf of Tasman Bays Heritage Trust Nelson Provincial Museum to formally request the retention of Nelson City Council's financial commitment of \$3.165m towards the construction of the Museum's new ARC Facility in your Long Term Plan 2021-2031.As you are aware, Tasman Bays Heritage Trust is the CCO Nelson Provincial Museum, the regional museum of Nelson Tasman. Nelson Provincial Museum holds one of the strongest regional collections in New Zealand. Recently valued by Dunbar Sloane at \$20 million, the collection comprises approximately 200,000 objects, 1.2 million photographs (including the UNESCO inscribed Tyree Studio Collection) and 150,000 original, rare and one-off paper documents (manuscripts, maps, books etc) that provide a unique and personal insight into our region's rich history. One of the Museum's core functions is to preserve and protect these treasures for our current and future generations, and to make them increasingly accessible to the public including manawhenua iwi.As has been discussed with the Joint Shareholders Committee over many years, the current Research Facility, located in Isele Park in Stoke, is at the end of its natural life and is no longer able to house the Nelson Tasman region's collections in a safe and culturally appropriate way. As far back as 1995 the Nelson Provincial Museum's Storage Requirements Report identified storage capacity as having 'reached a critical point with no space to expand for adequate safe storage of the existing collections.' Overall, the Isele Park building is no longer adequate for its use as a research facility with public access and has a limited functional life.The building is 50 years old, and will not meet rising earthquake standards (33% NBS) The building leaks and is at risk from flooding from Poorman's Valley Stream in a	
215	Shirley Vercoe	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
216	Brent Topine	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
217	Amy Banks	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
218	Stephen Todd for Federated Farmers of New Zealand	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	1. The Nelson Province of Federated Farmers (Federated Farmers) welcomes the chance to submit the Nelson City Council (the Council) Annual Plan 2023/24. Rates are among the top ten operational expenses of a farming business. They are a source of considerable financial pressure for all farmers. Federated Farmers makes submissions on Annual Plans and Long-Term Plans to ensure councils exercise fiscal prudence, and consider affordability, fairness, and equity issues regarding rates. We support councils making use of the full suite of funding tools available i.e., differentials, targeted rates, the UAGC and alternative funding sources. We acknowledge any submissions made by individual members of Federated Farmers. 3. Federated Farmers would be keen to meet with Council to provide continual feedback on any likely issues of significance for the rural community, and particularly any changes proposed to the funding policy. 4. We would like to be heard in support of our submission. Summary of key recommendations 5. Federated Farmers key recommendations are summarised as follows: That the Council keep the average rates increase to no more than 7.2% in the 2023/24 Annual Plan and reduce expenditure to ensure there is no need for loans to cover revenue shortfall That the Council continue to ensure that rural landowners are not rated for any services they do not receive (such as water treatment and supply, wastewater treatment and disposal, stormwater drainage services and kerbside collection of rubbish and recycling). That the Council continue to use targeted rates for localities that get mainly exclusive benefit of specific Council activities. The Council should utilise the full legally available maximum UAGC of 30% permissible under section 21 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 for funding Council activities and services used by equally by all property owners, as this is most equitable mechanism for assigning a fair and equal ratepayer burden on ratepayers for such services. The Council should continue not to charge rural ratepayers for services that they do	
218	Stephen Todd for Federated Farmers of New Zealand	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Reduction in spending on the new library 21. Federated Farmers supports the Council deferring investment and reducing expenditure on infrastructure such as the new library to constrain debt to keep rates lower. As the library is not considered to be essential infrastructure deferring and redesigning this project will not cause infrastructure to fail and does not put communities and the environment at risk.	
218	Stephen Todd for Federated Farmers of New Zealand	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Road 22. The quality of the rural roading network is a hugely important and often contentious area for farmers and councils. The roading network is a major cost to local government, particularly for councils with relatively small populations. There are expectations from all sectors that roading will deliver a minimum level of service and a safe travel experience. 23. A good rural roading network is essential for transporting farm resources and produce, for allowing rural families to travel, and for other industries, particularly tourism. In turn the roading network overall is fundamental to the economic and social wellbeing of the wider community.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
218	Stephen Todd for Federated Farmers of New Zealand	6 General comments	Three Waters Infrastructure19. Federated Farmers note that the Draft Annual Plan for 2023/24 indicates there are variations in the planned work programme for Water Supply, Wastewater and Sewerage, and Stormwater and Drainage activities. As rural landowners get no benefit from these Council activities, we expect rural landowners not to be charged any rates for these.20. We expect that the Council will continue not to charge rural ratepayers for services that they do not get, such as public water supply treatment and connection, public wastewater disposal and treatment, stormwater drainage assets (except where these form part of a road network used by rural communities) or kerbside collection of rubbish and recycling. In certain situations where ratepayers in rural areas do get the benefit of these services, we recommend the use of targeted rates and/or user pays charges for such properties.24. We note that the Draft Annual Plan proposes roading infrastructure upgrades for urban areas. Farmers pay a considerable amount to the roading rate, and we wish to see additional value brought from the rate to Nelson's rural areas. While maintenance of unsealed roads is important, Federated Farmers encourages the Council to continue to improve and seal more of Nelson's unsealed rural road network (excluding paper roads). Sealing improves road safety, reduces road damage from vehicle use and weathering, and improves rural connectivity which helps support rural communities. Rural local roads need to continue to be maintained to a reasonable standard to keep these social and economic lifelines. River Works25. Feedback from our Federated Farmers members in the Nelson region have expressed concern regarding river works in light of climate change and the increased prevalence and intensity of flood events. River management is crucial for the protection of surrounding land, including farms, dwellings and townships. Federated Farmers members have reported that the rivers are visibly built up with gravel. It is crucial that gravel extraction is done where there is aggradation	
219	Glenn Turner for Natureland Wildlife Trust	6 General comments	See attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/management/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=7GD1W4
220	Colin McBright	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No I do not support the council's decision to have a rates rise of 7.2%. Last year the council decided to increase rates for some households by up to 30%. This placed great financial hardship on many people even before the current financial crisis. It is now not fair to place a further rates increase on these households. In fact, most will be looking for a rates DECREASE this year. This may require significant budget cuts to achieve	
220	Colin McBright	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	I agree with the proposal to fund the severe weather recovery event over ten years.	
220	Colin McBright	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I agree with reducing the funding for the library from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and investigating a new location for the library.	
220	Colin McBright	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I don't agree with accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre. The council needs to pay off its significant debt and reduce rates before it looks at any new major investments.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
220	Colin McBright	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No I do not agree that the council should allocate \$606,000 to undertake planning work for the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision for the above reason.	
221	Jacqui Keay	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
222	Jason Jones	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore I oppose any reduction in parking i oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements	
223	D Prebble	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore I oppose any reduction in parking i oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements	
224	Andrew Spittal for CCKV Maitai Dev Co LP	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes. Also, please see attachment.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=WBP11H
225	Louise Devine for Gibbons Holdings Ltd	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes - we support the council minimising rates increases	
225	Louise Devine for Gibbons Holdings Ltd	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	All flood protection infrastructure needs to be maintained to original design specifications in accordance with requirements on Council.	
225	Louise Devine for Gibbons Holdings Ltd	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	We agree with reducing the funding allocation to the Library.	
225	Louise Devine for Gibbons Holdings Ltd	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Infrastructure upgrades should be commensurate with the demand for the new units in the city.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
225	Louise Devine for Gibbons Holdings Ltd	6 General comments	The plan appears to delay the Washington Road stormwater work - do not agree. Bus service - a transparent business case on this would help ratepayers decide whether the service justifies support	
226	John Van Gosliga	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Dear Nelson City Council, On behalf of Aboki Hairdressers, we urge you to keep the Bridge Street layout parking and access the same as it is now. This is not the time to make changes, especially since businesses like ours rely on our customers being able to easily access our services. In addition, we would like to express our concern about the lack of mention regarding increasing car parking spaces in the current economic climate. This is something that has needed to be addressed in Nelson for quite some time, and was on the agenda when we bought our business 28 years ago. All we seem to hear about is the potential removal of parking spaces, which is alarming considering a significant portion of our customer base drives to us from considerable distances. Providing sufficient parking spaces and ensuring good traffic flow in and out of the city is essential to retaining our customer base and supporting the local economy. We understand that there are concerns about recreational space limitations in the CBD, but we would like to highlight that there are plenty of under-utilised parks and green spaces around Nelson CBD and we understand the importance of green spaces and parks for community events, family activities, and play areas. We would like to see these spaces continue to be used for these purposes, and not just as parking areas. But we don't need to add any more. Furthermore, we would like to bring to your attention the future planning for electric vehicles (EVs) and hydrogen cars. With the expectation of these types of vehicles becoming more affordable and popular, it is important to start developing the infrastructure needed to support them. Currently, there are very few EV chargers and no fast chargers in Nelson. This could become an urgent situation as we see more people in cars again. We encourage the council to take this into consideration when making decisions about parking and access in the city. Implementing changes to the layout and access of Bridge Street would severely impact our clients' ability to reach us, which would in turn reduce our clientele and affect the number of stylists we	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
227	Faye Baker	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Dear Nelson City Council, I am writing to you to express my concerns about the potential limitations being placed on parking in the city. As a resident of Nelson and a frequent visitor to the CBD, I urge the Council to retain or even increase the number of parking spaces available. The businesses in the CBD rely on parking to bring customers to their doors. It is vital to the success of these businesses that there is easy access to their stores. In the current economic climate, where businesses are struggling, it is more important than ever to support them. Limitations on parking will only make it harder for businesses to attract customers and thrive. Furthermore, Nelson has a significant elderly population, and ease of access is essential for them. The Council should take this into consideration when making any changes to the current parking layout. I understand that space in the CBD may be limited, but there are under-utilised spaces that can be used for parking. Additionally, the Council should consider increasing the number of green spaces and parks in the city. These areas can be used for community events, family activities, and play areas, making the city more attractive and enjoyable for residents and visitors. In conclusion, I urge the Council to consider the importance of parking and the impact it has on businesses and the community. We need to retain or even increase the number of parking spaces available to support businesses and the elderly population who rely on them. Thank you for your time and consideration.	
228	Max Clarke	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore I oppose any reduction in parking I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infrastructure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements	
230	Bikram Magar	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
231	Nicholas Catling	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
232	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust and self	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No.I consider that Council should not be bashful in setting rates at whatever figure is needed to pay for the essential infrastructure etc. Realisation of capital from sales of unneeded property could help keep rates increases to a politically acceptable level - see para 6 and 8 i and ii below The special focus on percentage increase is not particularly helpful: it might be better to compare rates payable on "typical" residential properties in Richmond - and see how "cheap" Nelson's residential rates are.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=QU D21B
232	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust and self	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No: in light of the imminence/importance of Climate Change, 10 years is too long It should be funded over 7 or 8 years - by the current ratepayers (i.e. me) rather than by their children	
232	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust and self	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Agree with deleting the dollars for a new Library Oppose allocating \$200k - or any sum - in investigating opportunities for a community hub. My reasoning is that once these "investigations" start, they never stop, and Council always refuses to say "cancel that project". We cannot afford more "community hubs". See para 5 below	
232	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust and self	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely not. Where is this suggested upgrade referred to in any 10 Year Plan - I cannot see it. I consider Council is being actively misleading in the way it has presented p 14 & 15 of the Consultation Document ("ConsultDoc"). It seems to be trying to "sell" a complete change to Bridge Street by saying it is to improve infrastructure, and that the Government will pay. Council seems to want to spend some millions on planning, and well over \$10 million on construction (in subsequent years) of a proposed Bridge Street Active Transport Corridor going from Collingwood Street to Rutherford Street; but very little in the way of detail is provided . It appears (from the photo/plan on p15 of the ConsultDoc) that it is a re & shy;emergence of the Bridge Street closure set out in Linear Park scenario included in the 2021 Spatial Plan - which also had no details. It is to be noted that that Spatial Plan was adopted by Council in 2021 (with only token amendments) and no publicity has been given to any withdrawal/cancellation. In 2021, when queried on that absence of details, Council officers said it was "only a vision" and would be fully "consulted on" at a later date. I ask: is this ConsultDoc meant to be the required "consultation". If so; I say that it is deficient & bull;no details of what is proposed are given (eg no cars?; one way?; no parking?; very restricted parking?); and & bull;no details of funding the eventual costs is given(To avoid misunderstanding as to this Submission, I acknowledge that the Act does not require full details in a ConsultDoc: but it does require sufficient information to be disclosed -or made available - as to enable the public to make an informed Submission) That 2021 Spatial Plan had most carparking removed from Bridge Street, and very little through traffic of any sort. The present plan seems to be very similar: but implies otherwise by saying (p14) "opportunities to offset any loss of parking elsewhere in the city will be explored as part of this project". I'm sorry but those are weasel words, and the inclusion of the word "any" (in relation to loss of parking) is positively misleading. In light of Council decisions on Parking	
232	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust and self	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Neither for nor against -as I do not have the requisite background	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
232	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust and self	6 General comments	See attached document	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=F8RBJS
233	Fiona Wilson for Nelson Regional Development Agency (NRDA)	6 General comments	<p>Introduction: Nelson Regional Development Agency (NRDA)NRDA is the regional development agency for the Nelson Tasman region1. Our mission is to unlock the economic potential of Nelson Tasman to enable our people and places to thrive. We do this by leading inclusive and regenerative economic development, supporting our businesses and by shaping and amplifying our profile to attract people, business, and investment to the region. NRDA is a combined Economic Development Agency and Regional Tourism Organisation.NRDA is a Council Controlled Organisation, owned by the Nelson City Council, with funding contribution from Tasman District Council. NRDA operates under a three year Statement of Intent and within the strategic framework of the ten-year, 2021-2031 Nelson Tasman Regeneration Plan (Project Kōkiri 2.0).NRDA supports Council to deliver economic wellbeing and development, to enhance the sustainable economic vitality of the Nelson Region. In doing so, our functions and activities also impact cultural, social and environmental wellbeing.NRDA leads the oversight, delivery and reporting of the Nelson Tasman Regeneration Plan. All NRDA activity aligns with this Plan. This includes investment attraction, regional profiling, business advice, sector support, including blue economy and visitor economy, and supporting regional skills and workforce development.We welcome the opportunity to submit on the Nelson City Council Annual Plan Consultation Document 2023/24. We would like the opportunity to speak to our submission during Council's hearing process. Our key request in this submission is urging Council not to reduce investment in economic development in a time of economic challenge, which is the effect of Council's proposed zero inflation on NRDA funding for 2023/24.Feedback on Draft Annual PlanOur challenging economic contextOur region faces significant economic challenges, many of which have worsened since the 2021-2031 Long Term Plan was developed. These include: & bull; Lowest average wage - 22% below NZ average & bull; Third worst</p>	<p>https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=IJTNXH</p>
234	Glenys MacLellan	6 General comments	See Attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=PUWNRG
235	Neil Deans	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=DD2YJF
235	Neil Deans	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
235	Neil Deans	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	We prefer libraries to be separate from other community spaces. They seem to work better and are safer.	
235	Neil Deans	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
235	Neil Deans	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes if the development must proceed.	
235	Neil Deans	6 General comments	We request that the Council allows money in the 2023-2024 Annual Plan to investigate, plan and cost placing a Stormwater pumping system in the network that pumps Elliott Street's Stormwater to operate at high tides and other times when necessary to reduce the flooding risk for Elliott Street and nearby streets. The project can then be put in the Long-Term Plan next year. See attached document.	
236	Katharine Malcolm	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, but I think consideration should be given to more than one library to replace Elma Turner Library. This is for neighbourhood resilience and carbon emissions reduction - people should be able to walk or bike to their nearest library - the only indoor space they can spend time without spending money. I'd like to see satellite libraries at Atawhai and Victory included in the proposals presented to NCC for consideration at the same time as a new place for the central library.	
236	Katharine Malcolm	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes.	
236	Katharine Malcolm	6 General comments	More emphasis should be given to improving safety for cyclists on our streets.	
237	Giles Burton	6 General comments	Please see Attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=11 OHJT

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
237	Giles Burton	6 General comments	<p>Proposal to fund Nelson Buskers Festival 2024 in the Annual Plan Nelson Buskers Festival [NBF] has been a much-loved part of Nelson City Council’s Summer Events programme for many years, bringing world-class acts to Nelson Whakatū streets to entertain locals and visitors. The 2023 event was watched by more than 5,000 people in Nelson, across three main streams. The ‘circle’ shows at the Top of Trafalgar Street, the Family Variety shows on the Church Steps, and the ‘Adult’ Cabaret shows at The Boathouse. The buskers also played to another 1,000 or so people at the Māpua Wharf performances, not supported by NCC. For many years, NBF has been funded as a core event in the Summer Events programme, delivered by an external contractor for the NCC Events Team. Sadly, the Festival no longer fits the revised remit for funding as a NCC Summer Event. This proposal is for the Nelson Buskers Festival to be granted funding from the Annual Plan. If included in the 2023/24 Annual Plan, we would then apply for the Nelson Buskers Festival to be included in the Long Term Plan. Since 2016, Giles Burton / Three Bridges Productions Ltd has been contracted to deliver the Buskers Festival, and would like to continue organising what we think is a great community event. A quick overview NBF brings five acts to Nelson, to perform over one weekend in January. The acts are selected from the best performing around the world, and always include one from New Zealand. We work closely with Auckland Buskers Festival, sharing acts to help with travel costs. The Festival has three main elements. • ‘Circle’ shows at the Top of Trafalgar Street, where each act does a full 35 – 45 minute show. These run from Thursday to Saturday during the day. Koha. • Family-friendly variety shows on Saturday and Sunday evenings, where each act performs a 20 – 25 minute highlight routine. These are held either on the Church Steps at the top of Trafalgar Street, or on Fairfield Park. Koha. • ‘Adult’ cabaret shows on Thursday and Friday nights at The Boathouse, in which the acts perform 15 – 20 minutes of R18 material. Ticketed.</p>	<p>https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=ERTXTC</p>

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
238	Doug Saunders-Loder for New Zealand Federation of Commercial Fishermen	6 General comments	The New Zealand Federation of Commercial Fishermen (Federation) is a national organisation representing the interests of small-to-medium fishers across New Zealand. These fishers are significant contributors to the country's economic well-being and food security, supporting jobs/the seafood sector in regional Aotearoa and putting kaimoana on tables nationwide. The Federation works to ensure these hardworking Kiwis are supported at the local level, with council policies that ensure they can work in a coastal environment that is safe and conducive to a healthy, sustainable blue economy. The Federation welcomes the opportunity to submit to Council's Annual Plan 2023/24, and is doing so to support fishers in the region and to provide feedback from an industry body that is committed to supporting New Zealand's seafood sector and the environmental, economic, and community benefits it provides to the country's coastal regions. In March 2023, the Federation surveyed its members to gather qualitative and quantitative data on the effects of land-based activities and severe weather events on the marine environment, fishing and their businesses. The survey had a short lead-in time to allow the Federation to include the results in this submission. Twenty fishers from across New Zealand participated in the survey, and the Federation is confident the sample size is representative based on the conversations we have with fishers on a daily basis as they inform us about what they are seeing and experiencing on the water. Seventy-five percent of fishers "strongly agree" that the quality of water where they fish is worse after heavy rain or storms, due to silt, slash or other debris rubbish, describing long plumes of sediment or potentially hazardous logs in the water. Furthermore, 50 percent "strongly agree" and 20 percent "agree" these levels of silt, slash and other debris have had a negative financial impact on their business. This includes fishers active in the Challenger Region, which encompasses the Marlborough Sounds, Tasman and Golden Bays, and the West Coast. In light of these results, the Federation is	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Neutral	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Neutral	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No.	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Neutral	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	6 General comments	Our general comments are expressed in the attached letter.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=EUOWYC

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
240	Erin Stevens	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I support the allocation of funding for pre-planning work for the Maitahi Bayview Development.	
241	Sophie Bisdee	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Unsure	
241	Sophie Bisdee	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes I agree	
241	Sophie Bisdee	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes I agree	
241	Sophie Bisdee	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I definitely do not agree to allocating funding for the Maitajhi Bayview Development. I do not understand why despite so many people being opposed to this subdivision the council is still keen to allocate funding to it. The Maitai is a sanctuary for so many - it will be completely destroyed in terms of it's quiet space. We have put houses up nearly every valley - lets not support property developers making more money. Let's put warm apartments in the central city and keep our nature space as sanctuary to walk and bike to.	
242	Lucinda Blackley-Jimson for Tasman Bays Heritage Trust Nelson Provincial Museum	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
242	Lucinda Blackley-Jimson for Tasman Bays Heritage Trust Nelson Provincial Museum	6 General comments	Please see attached submission to raise the CPI increase for Tasman Bays Heritage Trust Nelson Provincial Museum from 0% to 5% for the 23/24 FY.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=K7ED80
243	Nick Ward	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes -especially today with the high inflation putting extra pressure on low income families.	
243	Nick Ward	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes as this will take the pressure off the NCC funding other important services.	
243	Nick Ward	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
243	Nick Ward	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes - Anything the Council can do to encourage inner City living especially with many more central city Apartment Blocks and with improved public amneties and pollution free cheap public transport has to be a good thing.	
243	Nick Ward	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No as I don't believe the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development in the Maitai should proceed. I think it is ill advised for the NCC to back this subdivision with so much ratepayers money given the environmental and social impacts this would have on this beautiful and precious sport and recreational part of Nelson. I strongly believe for so many reasons that this development is simply in the wrong location and should never have even got this far.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
244	Sabina Gilberg	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Hello, I would like to let you know how upset I am that the council is considering to support the developer planning to build in Maitai Valley. How come you are happy to spend more than \$600,000 towards a project that has not been confirmed and will most probably negatively influence the river, our recreation area and increase the flood risk. It is unbelievable in my eyes to spend council money on this now when rates are already so high already when it should be paid by the developer who is going to be the one benefiting from all this. I do not agree and am worried and upset as I am sure there will be much more to spend for upgrades etc. We need to intensify living where there already are facilities in place. Once the Maitai has been built up we will not get it back for recreation. Please, dear Mayor and councilors please think ahead with the environment in mind, intensifying first and if there needs to be a new subdivision it needs to have schools, kindies, shops, DRs, pharmacies included in the plan. Looking at Richmond you see where the traffic goes otherwise. Thank you for taking this into account. With urgent greetings Sabina Gilberg	
245	Anton Blampied	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No - Rates should be put on hold.	
245	Anton Blampied	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
245	Anton Blampied	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes but no library	
245	Anton Blampied	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No	
245	Anton Blampied	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
245	Anton Blampied	6 General comments	-----	
246	Ari Fon for Search and Rescue Nelson Inc	6 General comments	Please refer to attached submission.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/mamage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=ND6J5W
247	Jo Watson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
247	Jo Watson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, very definitely, this should have been done initially rather than pushing through an unnecessary vanity project at enormous cost to ratepayers.	
247	Jo Watson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes - this is the future!	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
247	Jo Watson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No funding should be allocated to assist this project. Should the appeal fail at the Environment Court, the developers stand to make a substantial profit - why should ratepayers be contributing to that profit? Nelson may need housing but I am doubtful many/any of this housing will end up being "affordable". Additionally, there should be a nationwide rethink on developments going ahead on flood plains - regardless of whether developers say they can "mitigate" issues. Will ratepayers also be responsible in future when disaster strikes this area and others downstream?	
248	Derek Lawrence	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	This is a decision that will prove to be on the wrong side of history. Last year this area flooded, it is a flood plain now and the predictions are that once in a 100 year weather events will be bi annual by 2050. The problem will only get worse. For all the reasons that have been reiterated again and again this is a bad idea propelled by developer greed and should not proceed.	
249	Shane Drummond	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No there is a problem that has to be tackled & Residents rates should not be kept artificially low.	
249	Shane Drummond	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	No view expressed	
249	Shane Drummond	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I can't understand what is proposed so make no comment	
249	Shane Drummond	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes; but I oppose any plan to pedestrianise Bridge Street or remove carparking from Bridge Street	
249	Shane Drummond	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No view expressed	
249	Shane Drummond	6 General comments	I oppose the plan to stop reducing the percentage that Commercial ratepayers have to pay in respect of the total General Rate. It is grossly unfair to shops and commercial users to pay such large differentials in addition to the Residential Rate I oppose the idea that Residential users living in the Commercial Zone should only pay Residential rates instead of the relevant Commercial General Rates which are nearly 5 times higher	
250	Grace Sutherland for NA	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
250	Grace Sutherland for NA	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, and without delay	
250	Grace Sutherland for NA	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes. I have already put forward a Nelson Mail a proposal to move the "hub" (library, NCC Offices) to where we currently have Morrison square	
250	Grace Sutherland for NA	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. A recent experience of walking down Trafalgar St after dark, and discovering how poorly lit pedestrian areas are. So, lighting, curtaining. Seating and more pedestrian access area, without cars.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
250	Grace Sutherland for NA	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes but, the junction of Nile St and Maitai Rd has already proven to be the bottleneck. So upgrading of that junction along with with Clouston bridge already a priority. But NO to adding more congestion with the proposed Maitahi Bayview development. Lower section of that valley should be developed for flood retention for the future.	
251	Mohit Malik for Mobilic Limited	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
252	Patrice Foulter	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements. Don't restrict parking. Traffic flow unsafe. Isn't this a revisit?	
253	Supriya Sharma for Mobilic Limited	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
254	Sarah Andrews	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
255	Steven Weiis	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
256	Richard Kingsford for Actiontech Limited	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
257	Mohit Kalekar	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movementsI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
258	Yoon Smits	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor.The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parkingI Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan.I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
259	Denise Tebbs	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
259	Denise Tebbs	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I do not agree with the Council's decision to re-zone the land regarding the above proposed subdivision therefore I do not agree that NCC should allocate funding of \$606,000 in 2023/24 - especially as its being under appeal to the Environment Court. This development could adversely affect the health of the Maitahi river and its aquatic ecosystem by run-off from driveways, roads, roofs, and storm-water going into it.Since the flooding in August 20233 the river is much shallower and rise faster than in the past and has the potential to damage properties downstream of the proposed development	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
260	Anne Remington for Little Boutique	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
261	Julie Forbes for Little Boutique	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	
262	Rita Symas	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes. Nelson demographics show older residents on private sections unable to pay maintenance, rates, insurance, plumbing. Those living off pension now struggle for food. insurance polices will go council will be expected to cover costs.	
262	Rita Symas	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes. Only do long-term stuff - nature will always win - eg stop back at Matai will cause more problems.	
262	Rita Symas	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No. I do not support a library in "new format" its not a necessity. Fix the ceiling an keep current library. Meeting rooms are not priority (there are public rooms for this) stay with the basics.	
262	Rita Symas	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	No. The city carpark needs to be left alone. Nelson needs shops - shops need customers. Old people can't climb onto buses and carry heavy items. Nelson City will die and businesses will close.	
262	Rita Symas	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes. The road to Atawhai is necessary. Transport in Nelson is appalling and this is an escape plan. This plan needs to be expanded.	
262	Rita Symas	6 General comments	Families and young children need somewhere nice to live. Children (nor adults) do not want high rises buildings. No gardens in these, no birds etc.) (No privacy) (short answer).	
263	Ivy Kerr for Shosha	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	I Oppose the proposal (on p 14 & 15 of the proposed Annual Plan) to spend money on investigations to make Bridge Street a Linear Active Transport Corridor. The proposed Annual Plan gives insufficient detail as to what that means: therefore- I oppose any reduction in parking- I oppose any reduction in car movements I Oppose any suggestion similar to the Linear Park idea put forward in the 2021 Spatial Plan. I do not oppose the suggestion of installing infra-structure under Bridge Street: provided that that is done without long term loss of car parking and without long term restriction on car movements.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
264	Valerie Jean Croucher	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
265	Matt Taylor	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
266	Bruce Vercoe	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
267	Matt Herbert	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
268	Hayden Heal	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
269	Kent Strange	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
270	Richard Gill	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes i support the allocating of this funding	
271	Dean Greer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
272	Melissa Van Gosliga	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Dear Council Members,I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal to close Bridge Street tottraffic. As a member of the community and a representative of a local business,Aboki Hairdressers located in Buxton carpark (current owner for 28 years with 20staff) , I believe that such a move would have a negative impact on our town.Nelson residents are accustomed to being able to drive up to the door of a shop,and we need access to be easy. Closing Bridge Street to traffic would make itharder for businesses to operate and reduce the number of clients we receive. Inthe wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, many businesses are struggling to stayafloat, and any reduction in client numbers could result in job losses.Instead of closing Bridge Street to traffic, I urge the council to focus on improvingthe space we already have. There are many issues that need to be addressed,such as the smell of urine in the walkway from Buxton carpark to Bridge St andmaking the spaces we have already more vibrant.Rather than spending money onnon-essential projects, like the blue painted lines, the council should prioritiseimproving the existing infrastructure to make the area more welcoming and vibrant.Please keep the remaining carparks intact.The main problem we have with Bridge st is the giveaway coming towards or awayfrom the area between TSB and Westpac, cars here often go straight ahead withoutstopping and don't realise its a give way, maybe a stop sign would be better placehere.In conclusion, I strongly oppose the proposal to close Bridge Street to traffic. Thismove would make it harder for businesses to operate, reduce the number of clientswe receive, and further reinforce the negative perception of the area. Instead, I urgethe council to focus on improving the space we already have and making it a moreinviting and vibrant place for our community.Thank you for your attention to this matter.Sincerely,Melissa van GosligaDirectorAboki Hairdressers Ltd	
273	Geoff & Sue Cooper	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I wish to object to council spending in excess of \$600000 planning for the Proposed Kaka Valley subdivision when said sub division is in fact being appealed in the environment court. It is wrong, and pre empts the decision the court will make Cheers Geoff	
274	Aaron James Mallinson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
275	Lana Henna	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, i support the allocation of this funding	
276	barbara and tim Robson	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes although times are hard they're going to get harder - but long-term climate change (Emergency!) must govern all decisions. Emission reduction and long-term resilience building - ie using a Climate Lens must be paramount.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
276	barbara and tim Robson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes but as per the above - the 'build back better' must mean taking into account that all decisions recognise that 1 in 100 year events are happening much more frequently than that. They must take into consideration not just SLR but also subsidence (See Searise data) - and also the very challenging fact that the unpopular 'Managed Retreat' issue has to be fore-front of decisions made now. (The fact that it's also 'Insurance Retreat' may mean that the public will become more resigned to the 'sooner rather than later' need.) Re-building Better should also consider the thinking behind the value of '15-minute towns' in terms of both social connection, cohesion and emission reduction. In the interests of safety and to avoid the real danger of 'maladaptative' response, it makes real sense for NCC to wait until the Regional Climate Change Risk Assessment and its 'Risk Explorer' tool is released. Question 3.	
276	barbara and tim Robson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes to the decision to halt the plan of building a 'state of the art' library in an inundation zone! A no-brainer! (but why is 'developing the Maitai Precinct still a priority - and Climate Change is not directly stated as a Vision or Priority?) Informed consultation on this hugely important public facility is welcome. But the question of whether 'one big one' or a number of smaller, regionally based libraries is one that should be fully explored - when considering future-proofing and fully exploring the idea of 15-minute cities versus the merits of a big multi-purpose hub.	
276	barbara and tim Robson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Support to a certain extent. At the moment developers have licence to build more profitable but bigger-footprint houses (The FDS and the current RMA) allow this. Climate-friendly, affordable housing requires creative intensification. There are many examples of this kind of development globally. Reclassification and rezoning of certain business premises (eg car yards!) would open up much needed space for, affordable housing. Whatever the decision, the building's (or buildings') footprint and potential for multi-purpose function should be well considered. This would include looking at the repurposing of existing buildings. The idea of the Bridge St Linear Park is an excellent one. BUT there should not be compensatory car-parking provided for those who may lose current ones. The Council's public and active transport initiatives are to be commended - and the public must be encouraged to use them rather than to default to hopping in a car (ev or otherwise!)	
276	barbara and tim Robson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. Given that the Environment Court (hopefully!) decides against this proposal then the Council should wait. This money could be used more usefully for 'the greater good' by supporting community groups that may be losing out by their funding being kept at current levels.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
276	barbara and tim Robson	6 General comments	SUMMARY:SUMMARYThis is a Climate Emergency. Council has a responsibility to help the 'Business as Usual' brigade to accept that Climate Change will keep happening and extreme weather events cannot be mitigated using BAU methods. Behaviour Change must be encouraged in every way possible - including taking away carparks, creating express bus-lanes and developing safe-cycle routes urgently. But Council can also nudge people towards behaviour change by supporting and publicising the Climate Forum's 'Take the Jump' campaign which has the byline 'Less Stuff More Joy'. NCC has an impressive team of councillors and staff with the expertise to lead this needed change. We thank you for this opportunity to submit and implore you to be good ancestors and make these hard decisions now.	
277	Asher Wilkins	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
277	Asher Wilkins	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
277	Asher Wilkins	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
277	Asher Wilkins	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
277	Asher Wilkins	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
278	Zoe Byrne	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Minimising is goodTry for lower	
278	Zoe Byrne	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No new Library Replacing the youth centre would be a good ideaThe Library should be separate and stay where it is	
279	Ren Kempthorne	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
279	Ren Kempthorne	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
279	Ren Kempthorne	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
279	Ren Kempthorne	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
279	Ren Kempthorne	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. The proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision is not a smart idea for a 'smart little city', nor would be any new suburb. Especially not in the green belt, of which the Maitai Valley forms an invaluable part and would be adversely affected by the proposal for Kaka valley. In a previous submission I proposed that any necessary new housing be built in Buxton Square, close to the central city.	
279	Ren Kempthorne	6 General comments	Please don't block the screen with 'Shape Nelson'. In 'Our Nelson' the info on the proposal 5 above is printed in white on black background and scarcely legible.	
280	Johny O'Donnell	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No, when a whole community is struggling, I believe Council is in the best position to ensure investment continues and our city can move forward.	
280	Johny O'Donnell	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
280	Johny O'Donnell	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No, but I should declare that I was involved in the project for a short period of time to support iwi partnership on the redevelopment. The need for a library and community hub has not changed, we've just decided to kick the can down the road rather than face that challenge head-on. I am sympathetic to the need for a reset on what had become a plagued project for the city but the drivers have not changed and our city should be ambitious when it comes to liveability and community wellbeing investments. I note that Marlborough District Council, who had fully committed to a similar rebuild, were ready and in a position to receive over 50% of their funding from central government. As a city, when once-in-a-generation investment opportunities were provided by the government, we weren't ready for projects such as this one. Let's not repeat the same mistakes by tip-toeing cautiously into our future as a city. We need to be prepared to invest in ourselves if we expect anyone else to. Council plays an important role in building investor confidence in our city.	
280	Johny O'Donnell	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, absolutely and I support further investment in Te Ara o Whakatū across the city. The urgency of this work cannot be understated. Without sustained investment and drive to deliver against this strategy, it risks becoming a vision collecting dust, not a turning point in our urban design and liveability as a city.	
280	Johny O'Donnell	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes absolutely, particularly supporting active and people-friendly transport solutions that mitigate the concerns of local residents in the area of increased noise and traffic issues.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
280	Johny O'Donnell	6 General comments	I am disappointed that the proposed effective cuts to community organisations across the city have not been included in the requested feedback from the community. The awareness of this decision and the impacts it will have is frighteningly low and I fear the Council has pushed ahead with a decision without fully understanding the implications. I am particularly concerned about the cuts to the Nelson Arts Festival Trust, of which I was recently appointed a Trustee. The \$175,000 of cuts comes after the delivery of one of the most successful arts festivals in my lifetime and has a cumulative effect when combined with the no CPI adjustment. Such a significant cut to the Arts Festival is a questionable move, especially given the Council's genuine and wholehearted commitment to He Tātai Whetū (Arts and Creativity Strategy). The funding cuts will result in a loss of programming for the upcoming festival, delay the strategic work of the Trust, and take them from a secure financial position thanks to their sound practices of maintaining reserves, to one where the organisation is operating year to year and risks becoming more vulnerable in the medium-term. Organisations with prudent financial policies should not be penalised by Council in this way. During my work on the arts strategy, I had the opportunity to speak with many of our outstanding arts organisations in the city, none of whom I believe have the financial headroom to absorb the massive inflationary increases under their existing funding arrangements. These are even more pronounced in the arts sector where supply has dried up due to COVID, many people have left the sector and revenue-generating opportunities have been massively constrained by the COVID restrictions over the past few years. Make no mistake about it - this is a sector facing a serious crisis - which is a cultural crisis for Nelson in its own right. Further adding to that pressure is irresponsible when Council is the only player in the landscape who is well positioned to absorb the cost increases and make CPI adjustments to their funding. Furthermore, as a region our investment in	
281	Catherine Dobbie	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, a 7.5 % increase is reasonable.	
281	Catherine Dobbie	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
281	Catherine Dobbie	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No, the \$17.8 million should be invested and kept aside for the future library building, not vanish into other projects. The money spent on consultants should be kept to a minimum as these people are often paid far too much for what they do, If you do pay \$200,00 for such people the council needs to be very transparent about who they are,(no conflict of interests), and what their hourly rate of pay is. I am concerned that the eventual library will not be a proper library but just a minor part of whatever 'community hub' is envisaged. The library should be stand alone. There are already plenty of places in Nelson for people to engage in sports and other leisure activities and to meet friends.	
281	Catherine Dobbie	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, but I think buildings with heritage value or interesting architecture should be repurposed, not be demolished to allow the building of tiny flats with no architectural merit, even if it costs more money. In the long term the inner city would be a more attractive place to live. What happened to the Masonic Lodge was awful.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
281	Catherine Dobbie	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No, this is a private development by people with plenty of money. They should pay for all the infrastructure required. I do not want one cent of my rates to go towards this ill thought-out project. The council was gutless in voting for a development on a flood plain to go through in the first place.	
282	Karen Jordan	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes. A balanced approach, prioritising in times of inflation and given the emergency expenditure.	
282	Karen Jordan	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes. Rationale clearly presented and compelling.	
282	Karen Jordan	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Absolutely. There is no popular mandate for the original investment. Any future investment options should be based on fully transparent evidence of need, likely user groups and beneficiaries. Data from recent and current usage should be fully disclosed. Assumptions around benefits should be fully evidence based and that evidence fully disclosed during the consultation. Whole asset life cycle costs should form part of the appraisal and again be transparent in the consultation. Clear evidence of lessons learned from previous architectural design errors should also be evident. A very good decision to step back from this unpopular commitment.	
282	Karen Jordan	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Absolutely. Rationale is compelling.	
282	Karen Jordan	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes. Cost reflectivity is essential to ensure a fair and reasonable contribution from developers where legally entitled to seek it. Ratepayers should not be subsidising entrepreneurs and/or social developers for that matter (the costs should be borne where the responsibilities sit). The cost reflectivity principle should be applied and demonstrably so.	
282	Karen Jordan	6 General comments	It would be useful to see standards of service performance in light of the operational budget cuts (and given the acknowledgement that in constraining the rate increase, operational service may degrade). Understanding where and by how much performance degrades (without putting in a big overhead to capture the information and hence add to the burden) would be helpful. The leadership statements and objectives of collegial and cohesive working together for the benefit of Nelson is extremely positive and welcome.	
283	Noah Hosie	6 General comments	I supports the proposed funding in the 2023/24 Annual Plan for the development of a new Nelson Surf Life Saving facility. The current facilities, spread across 4 locations, do not adequately support our club members and our community. With new facilities this would allow opportunities for the Nelson Surf Life Saving club to provide additional beach patrols, promote further youth development, increase Search and Rescue ability, provide better water safety to support our members and community. We have the best beach but the worst facilities in New Zealand. Noah Hosie	
284	Ian Hobden	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, or lower. Stop nice to have projects and stick to essentials as households have to.	
284	Ian Hobden	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
284	Ian Hobden	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
284	Ian Hobden	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
284	Ian Hobden	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes	
284	Ian Hobden	6 General comments	Council are doing a good job overall. The cost of the proposed new library under the previous administration was ill thought out and hugely excessive for little old Nelson and was to be put in an inappropriate place. I actually think the current one is adequate.	
285	Noah Hosie for Nelson Surf Life Saving Club	6 General comments	The Nelson Surf Life Saving Club supports the proposed funding in the 2023/24 Annual Plan for the development of a new Nelson Surf Life Saving facility. Our current facilities, spread across 4 locations, do not adequately support our club members and our community. With new facilities this would allow opportunities for the Nelson Surf Life Saving club to provide additional beach patrols, promote further youth development, increase Search and Rescue ability, provide better water safety to support our members and community. We have the best beach but the worst facilities in New Zealand. Noah Hosie	
286	Arnott Potter	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
286	Arnott Potter	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
286	Arnott Potter	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No. I think the money should be retained in the budget to fund the urgent development of a new facility	
286	Arnott Potter	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
286	Arnott Potter	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. There is considerable opposition to this subdivision and any costs associated with it should be born by the developers	
287	Mary O'Brien for CCS Disability Action	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes. The Council should consider the implications of rate increases on people with low incomes.	
287	Mary O'Brien for CCS Disability Action	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes, we strongly support this with the proviso that a new community hub is inclusive. broadening the use of the building in a central location will extend the its use to become more of a community resource center.	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
287	Mary O'Brien for CCS Disability Action	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes with the proviso that infrastructure housing etc are inclusive as described in our attached document.	
287	Mary O'Brien for CCS Disability Action	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes - as above early plans should address accessibility and inclusion.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=G3ERMP
288	Janet Tavener	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No - I believe that more money needs to be spent on the investigation for a community hub including a library. \$200,000.00 is not sufficient to undertake such a review. A new library and community hub is urgently needed in Nelson and money needs to be set aside firstly to develop a concept plan, then purchase land and design the building and then to begin construction.	
288	Janet Tavener	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. And I especially support the improvements to Bridge Street	
288	Janet Tavener	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. Firstly I do not think that the council should be giving planning permission for this subdivision as there is huge community opposition. If the subdivision has to go ahead then all costs associated with it should be the responsibility of the developers who stand to make a lot of money from the development.	
288	Janet Tavener	6 General comments	I understand that there is a proposal to build another housing development in the Maitai Valley at Orchard Flat. I would like to suggest that the council purchases this land to ensure that it can remain reserve land in perpetuity for the benefit of all Nelson residents.	
289	Jill Julian	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes sounds like a reasonable rise	
289	Jill Julian	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
289	Jill Julian	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	as a strong supporter of libraries in communities I want to make sure the funding for a new facility is not kicked down the road in favour of other demands. I liked the concept of the proposed library which has been deferred. I am a little concerned what 'community hub, including a library' may become. Further consultation on this project is essential when the time comes.	
289	Jill Julian	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes we cannot overlook this funding and need to get on with these projects	
289	Jill Julian	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes gathering more information regarding this project is important.	
290	Peter Kemp	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I am a tenant in a house owned by a private landlord. Any rates increase is inevitably passed on to me by the landlord, in the rental. I have just had a rent rise notice of \$100 per week, effective June 13. If the rates are further increased, and this is reflected in further rent rises, I'll be in considerable hardship.	

839498445-14391-1

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
290	Peter Kemp	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	I'll leave this one to the wisdom of the council.	
290	Peter Kemp	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	It's not clear in the question what the 17.8 million is referring to ... but I assume it's talking about the proposal to build a new library on the Burger King site, or nearby there. That proposal should certainly be re-evaluated.	
290	Peter Kemp	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, alongside more usable public transport and regulation that would keep private cars out of the city centre.	
290	Peter Kemp	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I think the focus should be on (1) Central city development, and that the Maitahi Development should be scrapped. (2) The latter should be scrapped in favour of developing Richmond as an attractive city with excellent rapid transport to and from Nelson.	
291	Monica Pausina	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes.	
291	Monica Pausina	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes.	
291	Monica Pausina	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
291	Monica Pausina	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. Central city living makes sense on all levels. It results in less commuting and therefore less carbon, it tends to be high density and this helps curb urban sprawl ("build up, not out") and it creates a vibrant, lively city centre.	
291	Monica Pausina	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. I'm very strongly opposed to this. The proposed Maitahi Bayview Development in the Maitai valley is strongly opposed by thousands of residents. The Maitai is Nelson's LAST untouched valley and a beautiful rural area easily accessible for the city's residents, with wonderful swimming holes, walking and cycling and picnic areas, in a peaceful environment. A huge subdivision would drastically alter its rural quality and its peaceful quality. As Nelson continues to expand ever outwards, this last pristine valley becomes more and more precious. Half of the area proposed to be built on is on a flood-prone wetland and the other half is on extremely rocky hills requiring massive earthworks and blasting into the landscape to level off building sites. The scale of earthworks, if unchecked, will very likely result in large sediment discharges polluting the Maitai River. In addition, I'm concerned that there has not been adequate assessment of the flood risks of the proposed subdivision in terms of building on the flood-prone Kaka valley wetland, nor of the flood assessment risks for the large residential areas downstream of the proposed subdivision. I feel very strongly that the developers should carry 100% of the costs of the work for water services and roading required to support the proposed new suburb because the developers stand to make literally tens of millions of dollars building it. and because the people of Nelson don't want this subdivision in their treasured, beautiful rural recreation valley.	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
292	Ngairé Warner for Nelson surf life saving	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
292	Ngairé Warner for Nelson surf life saving	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
292	Ngairé Warner for Nelson surf life saving	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
292	Ngairé Warner for Nelson surf life saving	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes and make it easier for property sites to incorporate multi dwellings with less council red tape and subdivisiom fees	
292	Ngairé Warner for Nelson surf life saving	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
292	Ngairé Warner for Nelson surf life saving	6 General comments	I fully support the building of a surf life saving building to house equipment and provide a permanent watch tower to keep our tahunanui beach a safer place for the community and a more appropriate place for life savers to learn/train/develop and allow Nelson to host national comps which generates more local spensing and show cases our fantastic beach	
293	Stephanie Phillips	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
293	Stephanie Phillips	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
293	Stephanie Phillips	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million NO Investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location? YES The library project is a once in a generation city defining project. We are creating a home for ALL residents and guests. We should be building on the work to date which we were told was at brief building, public consultation phase I support funding for this process. The existing budget is not a target it just allows public consultation to be on the building facilities and its location. Therefore the current budget is sufficient for both scoping of suitable sites and high quality innovative public engagement for a truly transformational community Library hub.	
293	Stephanie Phillips	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
293	Stephanie Phillips	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Don't know	
294	Monika Clark-Grill	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	I do not support Rate Rises to that extent, neither the raises to NCC fees at a time where the cost of living has gone up so much. There surely must be ways for NCC to cost save that have not been accessed.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
294	Monika Clark-Grill	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
294	Monika Clark-Grill	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No - At this point with rate and fee rises and need for basic infra structure repair and renewal a new library is definitely not a priority and should be put on ice until the city can afford it. \$200.000 can be spent better elsewhere.	
294	Monika Clark-Grill	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
294	Monika Clark-Grill	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NoThis development is not wanted by a great number of Nelsonians. It is in the wrong place taking away the peaceful ambience of the last rural valley close to the city, that serves many Nelsonians as a recreational sanctuary. The development is planned to be partially on an existing wetland and otherwise on steep difficult slip prone terrain. At the August floods the bottom of Kaka Valley was flooded, and would be so again in a similar event. Slips occurred all around Kaka Valley. It is most likely that during the earthwork process sedimentation will harm the river, and later once built, stormwater will contribute to river pollution and downstream flooding. It is not in the interest of Nelsonians to financially support this development.	
295	Philomena Donlon	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes. My rates went up 46% last year and I am still trying to find a way to reduce them.	
295	Philomena Donlon	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Only if we learn lessons from that event and do not continue to allow building in flood/slip prone areas	
295	Philomena Donlon	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, much more preferable than question 5. My garden floods regularly due to failing storm water drains along our street and in the general area.	
295	Philomena Donlon	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	A big NO to this. Since the floods last year, this should be completely off the table.	
296	Sean Trengrove	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
296	Sean Trengrove	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
296	Sean Trengrove	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Dear City Council of Nelson,I am writing to express my concerns about the proposed reduction in spending on the new library project. While I appreciate the need to be fiscally responsible, I believe that the proposed budget of \$200,000 for engagement, property investigation, funding strategy development, and a business case review is inadequate.As you are aware, building a modern library is a complex undertaking that requires careful planning and consideration of various factors. This includes exploring alternate sources of funding, identifying a suitable location in Nelson's CBD, engaging with the community, studying the functionality of a modern library, deciding whether the new library should be part of a bigger community hub or larger civic centre complex, examining whether affordable housing can be part of the project, and deciding whether the project should be a mixed-use development with retail, commercial office space, and residential space along with a library.Based on my understanding of the project's potential, I believe that the proposed budget of \$200,000 is insufficient to undertake all these explorations adequately. For instance, a comprehensive location study alone would require significant resources to assess the suitability of various sites and their accessibility. Similarly, conducting community engagement efforts would require substantial funding to ensure that the views of all stakeholders are taken into account.It is also important to consider the specific needs of Nelson's community when planning the new library. Nelson is a vibrant and growing city with a diverse population that values education, culture, and innovation. A new library that meets the needs of this community would require an investment in research and exploration to ensure that it is a valuable asset for years to come.In light of these concerns, I recommend that the council allocates a budget well in excess of \$200,000 in order to cover the necessary explorations specified in 1-7 below. This would ensure that the council has the resources it needs to undertake	
296	Sean Trengrove	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
296	Sean Trengrove	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes	
297	Jacinda Stevenson	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Working at the coalface with many needy members of our society I think you've actually done incredibly well to limit the increase to 7.2%, but this will still make a hugely negative impact on those in many parts of the Nelson community and especially on our elderly who most are on a fixed income and those in emergency & social housing, but the real concern is the families on lower incomes who miss any funding support from Government. They are hardworking and this extra cost isn't allowing them to get ahead, let alone keep their heads above water.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
297	Jacinda Stevenson	<p>② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?</p>	<p>Yes, this is a huge cost to all ratepayers and I totally support the recovery work been spread over the next ten years. What is of real concern for me, in living on one of the areas which was adversely effected is that, so much of it could of been avoided if action had been taken earlier. The Tahunanui Slump is well documented and researched but I believe inaction by previous councils is partly to blame. Don't get me wrong Mother Nature had her plan but considering it has been a well known fact that there are Springs all over the Tahunanui hills and the lack of infrastructure in place has accelerated the damage which could of been previously mitigated.</p>	
297	Jacinda Stevenson	<p>③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?</p>	<p>Yes, totally support this. The PR on this was so wrong from the beginning, should never of been titled a 'Library' whatever is been added to the innercity should be for all. It does concern me that it will take \$200k to investigate and we'll have yet another glossy report with no action. The lack of any major infrastructure in Nelson over the past 20 years is not something we should be proud of. It really is as simple as getting buy in by your residents and moving forward together. So often you ask for consultation , such as with this Annual Plan, you haven't previously engaged with the people, sure you get a few submitting online, but when every document seems to have a predetermined result, people quickly become frustrated. The addition of the caravan at the Nelson markets is a big step in the right direction, get out into the communities and talk to the residents. A month timeframe over holidays isn't an adequate time for something as important as the Annual Plan.</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
297	Jacinda Stevenson	<p>4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?</p>	<p>In principle yes, I do agree but I really question is it what Nelson wants or are we been tempted by the carrot offered from Government and not actually looking at the fit for our city? I actually think it should be the area of Trafalgar St between Bridge and Hardy streets, but yet again this was presented poorly at a time (Covid) which didn't get buy in from those it mostly affected. Yes, by all means get what we can from Government so the burden of those important infrastructure upgrades doesn't solely fall on ratepayers. I'm incredibly disappointed in the plastic looking playground at the top of Trafalgar St, which I believe is an absolute embarrassment in its design and execution. Nelsonian's deserve so much more and if this is what is planned for Bridge St then I have some major reservations. We've lived through the blue line debacle of Bridge St at huge cost, we have the wee park between Farmers and a beauty premises, always covered in rubbish and broken glass, can we really do a larger scale 'linear park' and keep it to a standard where it is a desired place to hang out when it is a known fact that the 'nightclub' area is a high crime area which has high standard of vandalism! support central city living if done in a way that supports growth and a pride in our city, it should not be at the detriment of any businesses who have one hell of a ride over the past few years. We are frequent buyers of Arkabas in Bridge St and they are really struggling, paying full rates, working isolated now since the survival through Covid not able to employ others, exhausted in trying to survive...then you allow a property owner near by to have pop up food stalls, not just once a week but several times in direct competition. We need to support businesses, because a city full of just inner city living needs them and wouldn't survive without it</p>	
297	Jacinda Stevenson	<p>5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?</p>	<p>Again in principle I do, but I feel I'm not in a position to comment, as a lay person, seems very high. We are all so passionate about our region, but so over reports after reports which never get actioned on. The NFAP is an obvious example of this. The link between the Inner city and Tahunanui and our mighty Waterfront is an absolute joke. The cost (excess of \$500m of which NCC need to find a substantial amount) and timeframe (at least 10-30yrs away) is so out of touch, we have a narrow footpath which mobility scooters can't use as its too narrow, so in turn they use the bike lane on a busy State highway mere cm's away from 50 tonne trucks (I have the photos to support) Residents and Visitors alike deserve to have easy access between the world class Tahunanui beach & Waterfront and our city centre, regardless of their mode of transport, but especially walking whether able bodied or not. We as a city are not meeting peoples fundamental rights! By the time reports or preplanning are completed they're often not relevant as we have new Government policies such as the Climate Reforms currently been written, having attended a meeting last winter (2022) at the Tahunanui Community Hub, talking to one of its writers Dr Rob Bell he said no Major infrastructure will be permitted to be built in the Coastal setting unless it is at least x amount of metres above the mean highwater level.....</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
297	Jacinda Stevenson	6 General comments	I totally support the development of a shared sporting facility for a mix of codes in Tahunanui on the Tahunanui beach reserve. I do believe the absolute neglect of the Coastal reserve to the west of the main beach is an absolute disgrace, and having photographed the rapid loss of land in this area over the past few years, walking most days I would request that there is urgent action to mitigate further loss. It is of a great concern to many in the Tahunanui flats residents, who feel this peninsula of land is the final barrier between their homes and livelihoods. Historically there was mapping showing the ebb and flow of this area by NCC, this hasn't been updated in years and I'd like to see how many metres have actually been lost with aerial maps in yearly intervals. A NCC staffer telling me she believed it was over 20m and that was last year, I'd say another 10m has been lost too since then. I'd also like to see this current NCC acknowledge the decision we had reversed not to add an extra lane through the heart of our Tahunanui Beachside community at the Rocks Rd/Tahunanui Lights with Waka Kotahi. The Safety of our community and those not only living, but also visiting should be the priority as agreed by Mayor Rachel Reese and with a majority vote by her council as well as our local MP Rachel Boyack. Also, I'd like to query why Tahunanui Community Hub has had its funding from NCC reduced by half, from \$20000 to \$10000 when we are the one suburb in Nelson that houses the majority of not only Emergency and Social housing residents in many of our motels. In a suburb which already has many high needs residents, with absolutely no explanation. I thank you for the opportunity to submit.	
298	Graham Hughes	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=2B13IR
298	Graham Hughes	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
298	Graham Hughes	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
298	Graham Hughes	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes	
298	Graham Hughes	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No	
299	Tim Morrison	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes	
299	Tim Morrison	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
299	Tim Morrison	⑥ General comments	I note that funding is allocated to Tahuna beach improvements. The beach is a disgrace and a blight on Nelson when rubbish and debris covers it. No attempt is made at cleaning it. This should be done especially at peak summer. There are machines designed for this purpose. Other beaches eg in Australia clean their beaches. We cannot make claim to be a world class beach if we leave it in an untidy state continuously. I understand that forestry is largely to blame and that cleaning will be an ongoing requirement. Perhaps the forestry companies should be approached to contribute? Hopefully some of this proposed funding will be allocated to cleaning.	
300	Wendy Hunter	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
300	Wendy Hunter	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
300	Wendy Hunter	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
300	Wendy Hunter	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
300	Wendy Hunter	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes but only after court appeals have been heard	
300	Wendy Hunter	⑥ General comments	Support new surf life saving club building and other sporting bodies to use a bit like greenmedows in stoke, Tahunanui needs more good infrastructure with community focus. Support council working with Ngati Koata to ensure long term access to recreation in particular mountain biking	
301	Daniel Levy	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes, I support accelerating the required work to facilitate central city living. I agree that inner city residential intensification will be greatly beneficial from a climate change perspective, as it will slow down urban sprawl and allow more Nelson residents to use active transport. A larger community of inner city dwellers would also revitalize our central business district.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
301	Daniel Levy	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I disagree that we should allocate \$606000 to undertake preplanning work for the proposed Matai valley side of the Maitahi Bayview Development. This proposed project should never have been supported by NCC as in several consultations the majority of submitters strongly opposed the development. The development is in clear breach of the NRMP which calls for the protection of Nelson's Green Belt in the Maitai Valley and calls for the improvement of water quality in this recreation area. it will be impossible to adequately mitigate the negative impacts of the enormous increase in traffic through the recreation reserves, nor the water, air, noise and light pollution that will result from the development. The inevitable urban storm water run-off will adversely impact downstream biodiversity and the appeal of downstream swimming holes. The increased flood risk for down stream residents has been inadequately modelled and is unacceptable. Green field development that is not contiguous with existing residential areas is also unacceptable from a climate change perspective and our future carbon reduction obligations, particularly due to the scale of infrastructure works required. With NCC having declared a climate emergency any financial support for this work is unjustified. The proposed landfilling of the Maitai/Kaka floodplain and bulk earthworks required in the catchment is also in breach of the NPS-FM which calls for prioritizing the health of rivers and this proposed development will not give effect to te Mana o te Wai. Hence, rate payer's money should not be used to support this project.The proposed upgrade to the Maitai/Nile st. junction, presumably traffic lights, will not be an upgrade in my opinion and will generally cause inconvenience to road users for most of the day. I do not support ratepayers funding this.	
302	Chrystal Pitcher	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
302	Chrystal Pitcher	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
302	Chrystal Pitcher	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
302	Chrystal Pitcher	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
302	Chrystal Pitcher	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No, I do not agree or support allocating funding to undertake pre-planning work for the proposed Maitahi Bayview subdivision.The development is under appeal to the Environment Court, so such a decision for funding is pre-emptive on Council's behalf.In the event it goes ahead then the developer needs to fund 100% of any infrastructure they will benefit from. Given the potential flood risk this development will increase for Nelson and the changing climate I do not support the proposed development of Kaka Valley and do not think Council should go ahead and support it either.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
303	Cleve Cameron for Big Street Bikers	6 General comments	Please see attached submission	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=D24GIT
304	Len Fitzsimons	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	would like it to be less	
304	Len Fitzsimons	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I wonder how much money has already been wasted by Council on this project.	
304	Len Fitzsimons	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No I certainly do not!	
305	Andries Paul Jonkers	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I do NOT agree to council funding \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the Maitahi Bayview development. SHOULD the development go ahead (which is still far from certain) the developer should pay for this in it's entirety	
306	David Clark	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO!	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
307	Stefania Naldi	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Council recognises the increased pressure on its own budgets and the budgets of households and businesses and yet is proposing holding grants to arts, culture and community organisations to 2022/23 levels along with no increase to grants in line with inflation. This is a compounding reduction in support for these organisations, at a time when the sector is still suffering from the long term effects of COVID, and at a time when we need creativity and creative solutions to improve our quality of life and keep Nelson a place worth living in. I do not support this cost reduction. The net effect of this reduction in funding will negatively impact on the culture, art and community sectors of Whakatū and the wellbeing and prosperity of the city overall, and it will have an even greater impact on those community groups and organisations already operating on a shoe-string budget while trying to look after the most vulnerable, and often forgotten members of our community. This is not the time to be cutting back. We should be investing in the sustainability of these organisations, which are so important to our wellbeing, sense of community and quality of life. As stated in the Consultation Document 'Council sets fees and charges so as to allocate a reasonable cost sharing between the ratepayers and the people or businesses using the service. There is no perfect balance between the two but the greater the public good element, the more the cost is funded by general rates.' These organisations largely exist for the public good - they make our city more inclusive, bring visitors and new residents into our communities, boost the local economy, create jobs and build social cohesion. I do not support the minimisation of the rates rise to an average of 7.2% if this is at the expense of arts, culture and community groups and call on the Council to either: - Increase rates in order to meet the CPI increases for these organisations - Fund this from another area of Council budget and/or increase debt - like the \$17.8 scrapped from the community hub project.	
307	Stefania Naldi	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	What is going to happen when the next big weather event happens within those 10 years?	
307	Stefania Naldi	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I would if that budget was redirected towards those community organisations that already offer the services of community hubs across the wider city. I do not agree with yet another "investigation" (especially with that price tag), when the last one suggested a number of alternatives (most of which were sure to cost less than \$17.8 million. Please just go back to the original consultation and look harder at what's already there.	
307	Stefania Naldi	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Only if the cost can be recouped (maybe 50/50) from the developers who will benefit from having infrastructure ready to go for their construction projects.	
307	Stefania Naldi	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. It seems like a huge amount of money for such a controversial project. Also see answer to question above... the developers should be responsible for covering half of the costs. That would stop or reduced the number of developments in treasured rural areas, with poor access and high flood risk. If we put infrastructure in there, what happens at the next flood? We'll be left with the clean up build, even though the houses shouldn't have been there in the first place.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
307	Stefania Naldi	6 General comments	I do not support the Council's plan to hold grants for Arts, Culture and Community organisations at 2022/23 levels alongside the withdrawal of their long-term approach of adjusting of grants in line with inflation. This is a compounding decrease in funding to these organisations at the most difficult time and when their work is most vital. Council recognises inflationary financial pressures on its own budgets, households and businesses but not arts and community organisations. Why are these organisations being treated differently? These proposed cuts, which while small in terms of the Council's overall budget, will have an outsized impact on our arts, culture and creative sector, and community organisations, which in turn will affect those working in these organisations (who are also members of the community and could be ratepayers too). The net effect of this reduction in funding will hugely negatively impact on the culture, art and community sectors of Whakatū - this sector is one of the aspects of Nelson living that makes this little city attractive and appealing to both visitors and its residents. Organisations will struggle, may go into debt, and will result in a reduced programme and ability to serve our communities. Our city and region will be a less culturally rich, socially cohesive and diverse place as a result. The negative impact on these organisations will have far reaching impacts both this year and ongoing. Our city is rebuilding and recovering. Arts, culture and community organisations are key to our recovery and regeneration. It is time to invest in our communities and our arts, culture and creative sector - which are needed more than ever as our communities rebuild after years of COVID 19 disruption and major flooding events. The events put on by these organisations are what keeps our sleepy city vibrant and bring the community together. I am requesting transparency on the exact impact of the proposed Annual Plan on the arts, culture and community sectors of Whakatū. Especially straight after the arts strategy was approved not even 6 months ago. It feels like	
308	Ali Howard	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	yes	
308	Ali Howard	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
308	Ali Howard	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	
308	Ali Howard	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
308	Ali Howard	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Absolutely not. No money should be spent by the ratepayers on any pre-planning. Allocating \$600,000 in this Annual Plan is putting the cart before the horse & the council must wait until the result of the Environment Court appeal before committing any ratepayer money on this. If the appeal is not successful and the subdivision goes ahead, then ALL infrastructure services including planning and trunk services work should be paid for by those benefitting from the service which would be the land developers.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
308	Ali Howard	6 General comments	Prior to June 2020, I had faith in New Zealand's local democratic system. I was known to defend the council when other people moaned. Since the detailed information of the proposed large housing subdivision in the Maitai was broken I, along with hundreds and hundreds of residents, have written thousands of pieces of feedback to every relevant submission sought by council protesting against this proposal, which if it went ahead would be to detriment of Nelson. Nelson residents have written letters to councillors & staff, spoken to council staff, requested meetings with councillors (which were denied!), attended and spoke at council meetings & watched many many other council meetings, have been denied access to council meetings, petitioned council with a 13,000 signature petition and even resorted to protesting outside council offices to try to get the point through. Despite this massive effort and time spent by residents, what I have seen by Nelson City Council is obfuscation, denial, blatantly misleading the public and in the end plain ignoring of public opinion, whilst at the same time saying and writing "we want your feedback", "your feedback is important to us" blah blah. My faith in local democracy has been lost and I wonder why I am here writing yet another submission which I feel will ultimately be ignored!	
309	Gaire Thompson	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes but need Togo further	
309	Gaire Thompson	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes and get the maximum possible from insurance and Government.	
309	Gaire Thompson	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	I don't see the need for the proposal to waste another \$200,000 on this as the current library adequate for the current needs. As for a place for groups to meet there are other Council facilities and community halls available to hire.	
309	Gaire Thompson	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	If this is just to accomodate the proposed two blocks of 8 floors of social housing I object to it as this would be extremely disastrous for Nelson. If required for likely smaller private developments and necessary I would support getting the Government funding.	
309	Gaire Thompson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No should leave till consent has been granted as we can all remember the extreme waste of money for the Cawrhron proposed site which didn't eventuate. On this I would also ask why the Cawthron are exempt from paying rates as a commercial organisation.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
309	Gaire Thompson	6 General comments	*The council shouldn't be pandering to Maori for so many things that affect all citizens and visitors. Very pleasing to see the signage welcoming visitors to Nelson now in English as well as Maori. *why was millions spent purchasing the bus depot in Bridge St? * I am totally opposed to the proposed work on Bridge Street to reduce parking, restricting movement etc.also a unnecessary cost. * I am against the increase in CBD rates above residential rates which also should be kept to a minimum as households are facing large increase in their costs. * Our Nelson should be scrapped and the money saved would save ratepayers close to 1% I believe. The other news media would be only too happy to hopefully provide a balanced coverage on any worthwhile items. *I am very concerned at the increase in our debt to\$199.6m * I am sure that the Stoke Memorial hall could be strengthened to 34%or better for a lot less than has been suggested and should be done and available for public use. * I am also very concerned that the \$12m that the council received from the sale of its pensioner cottages is just being given away in lump sums rather than just the interest return being given to these projects.. *	
310	Ana Fierek	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes	
310	Ana Fierek	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
310	Ana Fierek	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	yes	
310	Ana Fierek	6 General comments	I do not support cuts to the Nelson Arts Festival funding. We need to promote arts & culture in our region.	
311	Melenie Parkes	6 General comments	Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=D24GIT

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
312	Belinda Davies	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I do not agree that any ratepayer money should be allocated to this foolhardy proposal. The developers should shoulder all costs. They are the only ones who will benefit from this development. The community will lose a priceless asset and reap only costs - and well into the future. Imagine how much greater the damage would have been to home owners in the lower Maitai catchment - and costs would have been to us all - had the proposed Maitahi Bayview subdivision been either in development or completed at the time of the August '22 floods. Excavation work required for housing on the upper slopes would inevitably have sent huge quantities of silt down into the Maitai, into the Haven and on into Tasman Bay. On completion, the runoff from the increased quantity of hard surfaces - roads, driveways, roofs, paths - and corresponding decrease in vegetation able to absorb floodwater would have been equally devastating and costly. We need to adjust more appropriately to a new climatic reality.	
313	William Samuels	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Please see attached written submission.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=LKHKL
313	William Samuels	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Strongly agree. Central city living is desperately needed to bring people and investment back into our CBD, so any steps that can accelerate the adoption of this type of development should be taken. Note however that this should also be coupled with planning changes to ensure that any medium density housing follows the principles of 'quality intensification,' rather than just being haphazard development. There's a fantastic opportunity to make meaningful change and improvements to our city through the provision of central city living, but it needs to be undertaken in a considered manner.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=UC3901
314	Susan Ledingham	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes, this seems reasonable. It is important that ratepayers are not overburdened with large increases in rates, especially at a time of high inflation. However, it is also important that funding is not eroded through not being inflation-adjusted. If grants / funding are left at the existing level, with no inflation adjustment, you are effectively cutting funding.	
314	Susan Ledingham	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes, this seems sensible. However, is another recovery fund being initiated, so that when the next severe weather event occurs (probably before we have paid for the August 2022 recovery work!) there are funds to draw on?	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
314	Susan Ledingham	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	No, emphatically not. In my view, this amount is far too small to carry out the necessary investigations. I recommend increasing this amount to at least \$700,000. I believe that the proposed budget of \$200,000 to cover engagement, property investigation, funding strategy development, and a business case review is completely inadequate. In effect it would mothball or at least stall the project, because such a low level of funding would not allow the preparations for the project to be carried out appropriately and to 'keep the ball rolling'. While acknowledging that other library building issues are currently being dealt with, this is relatively short term and the investigations of the wider future project must be given resources to continue so that we are ready to proceed with the next stages of decision-making. As you will be aware, planning and building a modern library is a complex undertaking that requires careful planning and consideration of many and varied factors. This includes exploring alternative sources of funding, identifying a suitable location in Nelson's CBD, engaging with the community, studying the functionality of a modern library, deciding whether the new library should be part of a bigger community hub or larger civic centre complex, examining whether affordable housing can be part of the project, and deciding whether the project should be a mixed-use development with retail, commercial office space, and residential space along with a library. It is important to remember that building a 21st century library is an investment in the future of Nelson's community, which is already vibrant, well-educated, increasingly diverse and willing to commit to an exciting future living in this city. A well-planned and executed library project would not only benefit the community but would also be an attraction to visitors to the city, promote economic growth by supporting Nelson's emerging knowledge economy, provide a vital 'heart' to our CBD, help to encourage and enrich a central city residential trend, which in turn has many proven benefits. Many other examples of 21st century libraries are available for	
314	Susan Ledingham	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes. Central city living is beneficial and available government funding should be utilized to the fullest extent possible.	
314	Susan Ledingham	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Not sure. In my opinion, this expenditure is less important than that for the investigation under Question 3.	
314	Susan Ledingham	6 General comments	Community development, both infrastructure and services, that is intended for the public good is FAR MORE important in times like now, when sectors of the community are struggling with rising costs and we are experiencing great levels of hardship and more signs that social cohesion is being eroded.	
315	Susan Drew	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	No it's too high. There needs to be cut and refocus on what is really essential, Save \$606,000 by removing Maitai allocation.	
315	Susan Drew	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	yes	
315	Susan Drew	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	yes	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
315	Susan Drew	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	yes	
315	Susan Drew	⑤ Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO not at all. I am totally opposed to the development for all the reasons I have already submitted in the Save the Maitai campaign such as spoiling our beautiful Maitai valley and river, more traffic movements, removal of a sink for heavy weather events so potential increase in flood risk for properties downstream, etc.The project is still not fully approved - it sits with the Environment Court. I cannot believe that our city council gave this project the tick given all the opposition to it. The August floods also showed that this is a flood prone site. The project should be self funding - its a private development and no council money should be spent.	
316	Nita Knight	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Congratulations to the Mayor and Nelson City Counsellors on their work to keep the rates increase as low as possible. There is a need for the NCC to receive more funding especially due to the expenditure required for flood recovery. I am concerned however that such an increase will negatively impact many families and cause hardship.On Pg 31 Council proposes that the overall increase in rates required for 2023/24 will be 7.2% plus an allowance for growth. Does this mean the rate increase could be higher than that proposed?Are the water annual charge and water volumetric rate included in the existing rate or are they extra charges?	
316	Nita Knight	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes.	
316	Nita Knight	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
316	Nita Knight	④ Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Definitely. Building owners need to be encouraged to create more apartment living within the existing inner city footprint. This will make the city more lively and attractive to visitors and those who live in other parts of the NelsonTasman region and help local businesses to prosper. There is however a need for more parking available.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
316	Nita Knight	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	I don't believe there should be a new subdivision in the Maitai Valley and therefore there should be no money spent on pre-planning. This area is vital as a natural recreational resource for the city. Urban sprawl into the Maitai will significantly reduce the quality of that environment. Due to the rapid expansion of housing over the last five years, traffic congestion is now a major problem and barrier to essential daily activities. Consideration must be given to the impact of future natural disasters that will likely occur and the ability of Nelson to cope in the event of the aftermath. Are thorough risk assessments being carried out to take these into account and ensure safety for the local population? I believe it is vital to accurately assess the benefits, risks and costs of any further development. Urban growth does not automatically increase wealth and livability for the local population or improve the finances of organisations that manage cities. Inevitably it adds to pollution, degradation of air quality, rivers and natural habitats and increased traffic congestion. It requires colossal investment in infrastructure that must take into account climate change considerations.	
316	Nita Knight	6 General comments	Thank you for your consideration of this submission.	
317	Anne Chilcott	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No I do not agree with this.	
318	Shaun McKenzie-Pollock for North Nelson Cycleway Collective	6 General comments	Kia Ora, I am part of a group endeavoring to progress a cycle/walking track from the Wakapuaka sandflats through to The Glen. North Nelson Cycleway Collective has over 200 members keen to further this project. There have been previous initiatives however progress appears to have stalled. The health and environmental benefits of cycling and walking are self-evident. There currently exists a reasonable fear of cycling along SH6 as it is inherently dangerous. There have been two serious car vs. bike incidents that I personally am aware of, one of which resulted in the death of a very experienced cyclist on the Glen Road-SH6 corner. With the growing aquaculture park and increasing population in Nelson North, more commuting via bike would not only help alleviate city traffic congestion but also Nelson's parking shortage. There is strong support from all of the aquaculture park businesses that we have talked to. Clearly all stakeholders need to be involved including Iwi, landowners/Wakatu Inc. as well as NCC, Waka Kotahi, and D.o.C. Our group feels that it is a very opportune time to progress this project and would greatly appreciate the N.C.C. support in this. SPATnz have kindly offered a venue to hold a meeting if there is an opportunity for constructive dialogue with the Mayor and other relevant Council officials. I thank you for your time in considering this issue. A link to some notes regarding background work on this initiative is as follows: https://docs.google.com/document/d/14o-JdCBCIU5OFxiDOxfmmoo3H4I_dQwgwrKYDVK00jc/edit nga mihi, Shaun McKenzie-Pollock 136 Glen Road	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
319	Paul Tunnicliff for Waahi Taakaro Golf Club	6 General comments	See attached document.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=F8YIAC
320	Tony Haddon	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No I do not agree. This subdivision has been opposed by a great many people for many years. The RMA commissioners approved it only after a demonstrably flawed planning process. The commissioners were not able to consider the effects of the august floods. Neither were they able to consider upcoming changes to national freshwater management. The folly of building on flood plains is now widely recognised. Sedimentation has been recognised as a leading cause of degradation of river quality. Council's own earthworks expert has stated he is uncomfortable with what is proposed. It's a very expensive proposal to service, doing so will commit ratepayers to massive expenditure that will have very limited benefit. Maitahi Bayview does not appear in the Long Term Plan. There are more pressing needs for funding, such as futureproofing existing infrastructure. For example, how close was the Wakapuaka wastewater treatment plant to being inundated by cyclone Gita ? I request that council withdraws all support for urbanisation of the Maitai, and inform the developers their project will have to be self funding.	
322	Tanja Wagner (Elzenheimer)	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No. NO. NO	
323	Gwen Daly	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	NO. I do not want NCC to spend \$606,000 as pre-planning on the proposed Maitahi Bayview development. It is still under appeal with the environment court. Any pre-planning costs should be met by the developers. The land is not suitable for housing not least because: A) because of flood risk (and future cost to NCC) and cost of heating homes in a valley B) because of loss of unspoiled nature C) the increased traffic in an unsuitable area and D) the cost full stop. NCC has to find the money to fix Nelson land and infrastructure after last years floods and damage. We do not have the money. Surely the developers need to bear this cost. Kind regards, Gwen (Daly).	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
324	Annette Milligan	⑥ General comments	Submission to Nelson City Council Re: Annual Plan 2023-24 Tena koutou, As you consider the Annual Plan, on behalf of the Board of Trustees of Te Ramaroa, I wish to make the following submission: While we understand the considerable fiscal pressures on the Nelson City Council at this time, our view is that there are some areas of investment, without which, Nelson would not be a vibrant and connected city. The well-being of a city is dependent on the quality of essential infrastructure and utilities, but these are not the only elements of what makes a city a healthy place to live. A city in which people are connected and engaged, a city in which people have their spirits lifted, especially in times of pressure, a city in which every citizen feels that they belong and can see themselves represented, are equally vital in the health of the city. Te Ramaroa (formerly known as Light Nelson) is an important part of that which makes Nelson such a special place to live. The biennial festival of Te Ramaroa is one of those events. It is the largest free event in Nelson, it engages a very large number of people from diverse communities and it brings a life into the Nelson CBD at a time of the year when activity is low_ Te Ramaroa already runs on the tightest of budgets and is dependent on a large number of volunteers and the goodwill of many individuals. Te Ramaroa is deeply concerned at the suggestion of there being no increases in funding to meet increased costs relating to the rate of inflation for community groups, including Te Ramaroa. These groups, including our own, are already fiscally constrained and have to meet the increased costs somehow. This will place significant pressure on ourselves and other groups. Te Ramaroa makes a significant contribution to the city and presents superb value-for-investment by NCC. It is our view that there are other ways of meeting this nearly \$100k cost, and that consideration must be given to the immense value events such as Te Ramaroa bring to Nelson. Free Entry Te Ramaroa's free entry makes it family-friendly and accessible to a truly broad	
325	Zinnia Foster for Hospitality New Zealand		Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=B6UY4X
326	Peter Olorenshaw for Nelsust Inc	① Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes	
326	Peter Olorenshaw for Nelsust Inc	② Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes we agree in principle that the costs of the recovery from this event be spread over a number of years, although we are concerned that these severe events will happen with increasing rapidity and a shorter time period would be more prudent.	
326	Peter Olorenshaw for Nelsust Inc	③ Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes the rebuilding such an expensive building on poor flood prone land in a time of high ratepayer cost of living and severe rainfall event recovery was never a good combination. Additionally we would like the option of more community libraries being established so children could easily get to one under their own steam no matter where they lived.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
326	Peter Olorenshaw for Nelsust Inc	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes this government money is too good to pass up. However as noted elsewhere we think the CBD living is a lazy target, it's pretty easy and is already happening. What is missing is the missing middle - the middle density areas between the CBD (and what is zoned Inner City Fringe) and the donut of close in residential areas that should really be a townhouse zone of intermediate density. What we currently have is actually a LOW density residential zone on the other side of Nile St to the Betts apartments in the Inner City Fringe. You only need to look at older houses at the bottom of adjacent Shelbourne St to see what zoning is appropriate here before town planners insisted we must sprawl. Here you see townhouses on compact lots with 2 story high side walls. This is the appropriate density for this area and actually we think has broader appeal than being pancaked in apartments: each place with their own piece of ground to grow a few espaliered apples some lettuces plucking greens and tomatoes and herbs. Hathaway Court North of Trailways is another example of this	
326	Peter Olorenshaw for Nelsust Inc	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	No we think intensification should happen first in existing urban areas using existing infrastructure. We have given examples of intensifying the donut of townhouse zoning around the urban areas and with allowing partitioning of existing dwellings into multiple dwellings. And even if the Mahiathidevelopment should go ahead it should stand on its own two feet and pay for its own infrastructure upgrades. Here is the bottom of Shelbourne St built under previous planning rules	
326	Peter Olorenshaw for Nelsust Inc	6 General comments	See attached document. Appendix : Partitioning Real Life Example \$116,000 for new dwelling created Submission See attached document.	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maintenance/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=VNPOYW

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
327	Grace Bennett	<p>4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?</p>	<p>Dear Nelson City Council Members, As an employee of Aboki Hairdressers, I am writing to express my concern regarding the proposed closure of Bridge Street to traffic. Our salon has been a part of the Nelson community for many years, and we have built a loyal clientele who rely on easy access to our business. The proposed closure of the street would limit parking options and make it more difficult for our clients to reach us, which could have a severe impact on our business. I understand that the council's intention may be to create a more pedestrian-friendly and appealing city centre. However, I believe that this can be achieved without closing a street that directly leads to our business, by utilising the street that has already been closed off at the top of Trafalgar st. The reduction of parking spaces and added difficulty of access could result in a decrease in foot traffic and sales, which is especially concerning given the current economic climate. As a salon employee, I am also concerned that this decision would affect the jobs and livelihoods of our team of 20 people. I urge the council to consider alternative solutions that would maintain access to Bridge Street for vehicles while still achieving the goal of a more vibrant and pedestrian & friendly city centre. These measures would help ensure that businesses like ours are not negatively impacted and that Nelson's city centre remains accessible for everyone. I appreciate the council's efforts to improve the city centre, and I hope that my concerns will be taken into consideration. As an employee of Aboki Hairdressers, I am committed to providing our clients with high-quality service, and I hope that the council will work with us to ensure that our business can continue to thrive. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Grace Bennett</p>	
328	Stephanie Lubas	<p>4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?</p>	<p>Dear Nelson City Council, I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed changes in the traffic layout along Bridge Street and adjacent streets. As an employee at Aboki Hairdressers, I believe that such changes could be detrimental to our business and other businesses in the area. Many of our customers and for the businesses around us clients and patrons prefer to drive to our door, particularly the elderly population who have difficulty walking long distances. Any reduction in parking spaces or changes in traffic flow will make it harder for them to access our services, we don't believe Buxton carpark provide enough parking and we risk losing their business as a result. Furthermore, implementing such changes could have a severe impact on our business operations, as any work is done, potentially resulting in job losses on our team of 20. Given the current economic climate post-COVID-19, Nelson Business cannot afford to add any additional challenges to the Nelson business district. I believe we currently have great access to green spaces around the CBD maybe they could be further utilised without much disruption. In conclusion, I urge the Council to carefully reconsider the implications of any proposed changes on local businesses and the community and any proposed changes to the traffic layout along Bridge Street and adjacent streets. Thank you for considering my submission. Sincerely, Stephanie Lubas Employee of Aboki Hairdressers</p>	

Item 5: Hearing of submissions on the Annual Plan 2023/24 Consultation Document and the proposed Schedule of Fees and Charges: Attachment 2

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
329	Kura Stafford for Te Taihū Iwi Implementing Te Mana		Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=XU DUOF
330	Blake Jukes Fitzgibbon	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
331	Jamie Hunter	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
332	Ali Boswijk	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	See attached document	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=AI 88E1
332	Ali Boswijk	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	See attached document	
332	Ali Boswijk	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	See attached document	
332	Ali Boswijk	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	See attached document	
332	Ali Boswijk	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	See attached document	
332	Ali Boswijk	6 General comments	See attached document	
333	Dean Greer	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
334	Duncan King	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
335	Michael Op Den Buysch	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
336	Jesse Theobald	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
337	Tim Ward	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
338	Jay Aaron Chapman	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
339	James McDougall	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
340	Shaydon Sears	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
341	Braden Russ	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
342	Zac Hannah	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
343	Sam Cooper	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
344	Billy Smith	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
345	Liam Baird	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
346	Stephan Vercoe	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
347	Todd Adlam	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
348	Karl Vercoe	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
349	Maurice Fitzgibbon	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
350	Ali Boswijk for Nelson Festivals Trust	6 General comments	see attached document	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=VLX5IV
351	Anne Rush for Make/Shift Spaces Incorporated	6 General comments	See attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=AJEAOO
352	Ronald Thomson	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	This may not be the appropriate submission form. - i could not find the online submission portal.I am apposed to the council spending \$600,000 to undertake design work & roading modifications for this development.This is a development that will end up biting the council. every week there is commentary about local bodies needing to be cautious before allowing new builds on flood plains, the houses will be expensive and difficult to insure. The whole development will lead to environmental degration. Do not spend rate payers money on it.	
353	Margaret Henley	6 General comments	Funding for community groups and facioloities,including Council Organisations: 'This proposed change would result in a reduction of \$95,000 in 2023/2024 compared to year three of the Long Term Plan" My submission is: Te Ramaroa enables free entry for families, visitors from all over New Zealand as well as overseas tourists. It comes in winter to brighten our city and give us all something very special to look forward to and the numbers of attendees speak for themselves. Please do not reduce this important event s funding.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
354	Josh Henry	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Yes, I support the allocation of this funding	
355	Gretchen Holland	5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?	Submission to Annual Plan 2023 – 2024 Intro – my name is Gretchen Holland and I have submission fatigue! My submission is regarding the unwelcome Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision. 13,000 people submitted to Council against this proposed new suburb. I strongly disagree with the proposal to allocate funding of \$606,000 in 2023/24 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed subdivision. I struggle to understand how the Council and developers haven't already worked out what share the developers will pay of the total costs. Surely going into something as big as this development the developers would need to know this cost up front. The developers should be paying for all of the infrastructure costs. After all they are the ones who will be benefiting from it. I don't want my rates to help developers to proceed more quickly so they can make their money more quickly. I don't want the Maitai Valley and Maitai River, one of Nelson's favourite recreation areas, to be lost forever by building on the Kaka Valley flood plain. Too much has happened since our August 2022 weather event when that flood plain and road access were severely flooded and badly damaged. At the Plan Change 28 RMA hearing the Commissioners weren't able to consider the ramifications of the August 2022 floods even though the floods occurred before their recommendation was made. Every week media reports on some expert or agency warning of building on flood plains, of flood mitigation measures that have failed, of destroying wetlands. Some of these articles even showed photos of Nelson homes flooded in August 2022. Some of the Headlines were – 'Insurer IAG calls for an end to building homes in flood zones' (Stuff 18 Aug 2022). and 'We haven't learned much: Environmental advocate lays down challenge to councils over flood mitigation' (RNZ 8 Apr 2023) and 'Mangere mum faces crippling debt after flooding ruins her state house' (Stuff 16 Apr 2023) and 'Kainga Ora criticized for continuing to build on flood-prone land' (RNZ 27 April	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maitai/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=MNOG5T
355	Gretchen Holland		Please see attached	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maitai/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=FU7LC4

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
356	Michaela Smith	<p>4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?</p>	<p>Dear Council Members, As an employee of a business located in Buxton Carpark, Aboki Hairdressers se the adjacent street to Bridge Street, 1 am writing to express my opposition to the proposi to clo to traffic. This decision would have a significant impact on our business and the other businesses located in the area. Our customers rely on easy access to our businesses, and closing Bridge Street to traffic would make it harder for them to reach us. With limited parking in the area, customers already struggle to find parking, and this proposal would only make the situation worse. Moreover, the closure of Bridge Street would make it harder for our suppliers and delivery drivers to access our businesses, leading to potential delays and disruptions in our operations. This could have a severe impact on our ability to meet customer demand and provide the services that we do. Instead of closing Bridge Street to traffic, we urge the council to consider other ways to improve the area. This could include increasing parking options and enhancing the appearance of the area to make it more attractive and welcoming for visitors. By improving the area, we can encourage more people to visit our businesses and support the focal economy. In conclusion, we strongly oppose the proposal to close Bridge Street to traffic. This decision would negatively impact our business and the ability of our customers, suppliers, and delivery drivers to access our businesses. We urge the council to reconsider this proposal and find alternative solutions that can benefit the community and our businesses. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Michaela Smith Employee of Aboki Hairdressers</p>	
356	Michaela Smith	<p>6 General comments</p>	<p>The Closing of bridge Street isn't in the best interest of business owners, we will lose foot traffic to the business. why should we struggle more for the benefit of the drunk people who will ruin bridge street once it has been changed. I mean that is the Club Street.KEEP IT THE WAY IS IS Just waterblast the footpath. does need a bit of a clean</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
357	Eden Burr	<p>4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?</p>	<p>Dear Nelson City Council , I am writing to express my concerns about the proposed closure of Bridge Street to traffic. As an employee at Aboki Hairdressers, I am deeply worried about the impact that this closure will have on our business and our clients. Our salon has been a fixture in Nelson for many years, and we pride ourselves on providing excellent service to our loyal customers. However, with the closure of Bridge Street, it will become much harder for our clients to access our salon. This will undoubtedly result in a decline in our customer base, which will have a significant impact on our business and the livelihoods of our team of 20. In addition, I believe that this closure will have a detrimental effect on the entire Bridge Street area. Nelson residents prefer the ease of parking outside a shop, and with the reduction of car parks in the area, it will become even more challenging for people to visit and shop in the area. This is particularly concerning, given the impact that the COVID-19 pandemic has had on local businesses. I urge the council to reconsider the closure of Bridge Street and instead focus on enhancing our city in other ways. Closing the street will only serve to drive away customers and damage the economy of the area. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Eden Burr Aboki Hairdressers Employee</p>	
358	Judene Edgar	<p>6 General comments</p>	<p>Kia ora koutouThe arts and events sector suffered a significantly greater employment and economic contraction than other sectors as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic. Declining household incomes, increasing inflation, reduced tourist numbers, and behaviour changes on the part of audiences are continuing to impact on the arts and events sector. With so many major events impacting the region, country and internationally, it can be easy to forget that we were still experiencing closures, restrictions and cancellations over the past 12 months. In our report to Nelson City Council for the year to 31 March 2022 we reported: Due to Covid cancellations only 53 events were able to proceed. We had 37 community performances and 16 professional performances. Total number of days in use was 78. Of the shows we had, our audiences got to enjoy ballet, Shakespeare, comedy, youth theatre, musical theatre, lectures, film recordings, singing, bands, touring shows and theatre. While this year was significantly better, we are still a long way from being back to operational peak with preliminary numbers to 31 March 2023 showing: 202 scheduled days of use vs 191 days of actual use (normally 300+) 119 scheduled performances vs 101 actual performances. The arts and events sector are still at a fragile, rebuilding phase and any further hits could have a significant negative impact on us. To this end, the proposal of Nelson City Council to not inflation-adjust our annual community grant has the potential to have a very real impact on us. On top of this reduction in income is the pressure of 6.7% inflation that is already impacting on our budget. While there is the option to pass these costs on to our users, increases of this magnitude would push shows away from the Theatre Royal as theatre companies are similarly at a rebuilding phase, having had significantly reduced income over the past three years, with some experiencing no income and</p>	<p>https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manager/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=4V6VE6</p>

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
359	Ngaroma Blair	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Dear Council Members, As an employee of Aboki Hairdressers located in Buxton Carpark adjacent to Bridge Street, I am writing to express my opposition to the proposal to close the street to traffic. This decision would have a severe impact on our business and the other businesses located in the area. Our clients rely on easy access to our business, and closing Bridge Street to traffic would make it more difficult for them to reach us. Furthermore, the limited parking spots in the area are already a challenge for our customers to find parking, and this proposal would only make the situation worse. The closure of Bridge Street would also impact the ability of our suppliers and delivery drivers to access our business, which could lead to delays and disruptions in our operations. This could have a significant impact on our ability to meet client demand and provide the services that we do. As we are still recovering from the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, the proposal to close Bridge Street would only add to the challenges that businesses like ours face. We need the support of the council to recover and grow our business, and closing the street to traffic would do the opposite. Therefore, we urge the council to reconsider this proposal and focus on alternative solutions that can enhance the area's appearance and attract more visitors. This could include increasing parking options and implementing measures to make the area more appealing to visitors. In conclusion, we strongly oppose the proposal to close Bridge Street to traffic. This decision would negatively impact our business and the ability of our customers, suppliers, and delivery drivers to access our business. We urge the council to reconsider and find alternative solutions that can benefit the community and our businesses. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Ngaroma Blair, Employee of Aboki Hairdressers	
360	Michael Dover	1 Do you support Council's steps to minimise the rates rise to an average of 7.2%?	Yes.	
360	Michael Dover	2 Do you agree we should fund the August 2022 severe weather event recovery work over ten years?	Yes.	
360	Michael Dover	3 Do you agree with us reducing 2023/24 funding from \$17.8 million to \$200,000 and a new focus to investigate opportunities for a community hub, including a library, in a new city centre location?	Yes.	
360	Michael Dover	4 Do you agree we should be accelerating infrastructure upgrades in the city centre to enable central city living and receive central government funding?	Yes.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
360	Michael Dover	<p>5 Do you agree we should allocate funding in 2023/24 of \$606,000 to undertake pre-planning work on the utility and transport connections to the proposed Maitahi Bayview Development subdivision (Maitai Valley) to inform consultation in the Long Term Plan 2024-34?</p>	<p>No. I strongly oppose this proposal to spend \$606,000 supporting the unwelcome proposed private development in the Maitai, despite it being under appeal to the Environment Court; despite half of it being on a flood-prone wetland and the other half on extremely rocky hills requiring massive earthworks and blasting into the landscape to level off building sites. The scale of earthworks, if unchecked, will most likely result in large sediment discharges polluting the Maitai River. This is the beginning of a multi-million dollar spend by Council to support the spoiling of the Maitai Valley and river to make way for around 2000 people in an area, 13,000 people asked to be kept rural. Council says it will need to build a new water main from Nile St East to the site; upgrade the mains water supply pipes along Tasman St, and upgrade wastewater services from the pump station on Sovereign St in The Wood to the proposed new suburb. Some of the money is also tagged to plan road intersection modifications on Nile St in order to accommodate the estimated increase in traffic of 6000 car movements each day. This means installing traffic lights and road widening, etc. Council says the costs will be split between the developer and the Council and that the \$606,000 will help them work out the correct costs to the developer. Please note the following points: Since appeal to the Environment Court is yet to be determined Council needs to wait before spending any ratepayer money. In any case, the consortium of developers should be paying 100% for this expensive infrastructure from which they will clearly benefit. If this new Maitai suburb proceeds, Council will need to spend many millions of dollars to service a cold, damp, flood-prone area in the Kaka Valley while the potential increase in flood risk to residents downstream of the project remains unassessed. The so-called 'affordable housing' that we all agree Nelson needs, is proposed to be built in the most flood prone area of the Kaka Valley (see photo of recent flooding there, attached). This effectively means Council is prepared to submit the most</p>	<p>https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/maitai/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=JU9YOD</p>

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
1	Ray Siatkowski	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Over the last decade the FTE staff number at the marina has ballooned, resulting in the need for the marina fee increases. As staff increases are primarily needed for expanding commercial income sources from the marina site, those sources themselves should fund the increased staffing costs, not the fees paid by citizen marina users.	
2	Stephen Portsmouth	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	People who wish to use the services of the council should do so at their costs and not of those of the taxpayer. If a fee increase is necessary to keep the burden off the taxpayer then that is a fair increase.	
4	Ian Bowman	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I agree to the increase in marina fees as proposed, however the comparisons, particularly with Havelock and Picton, do not provide the full picture. In these two marinas the facilities and security in particular are very much better than in Nelson. If the fees are increased I would expect better facilities and security than is available at present.	
8	Peter Wall	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	A very detailed section with many complexities - have to accept Council's advice here.	
9	Thomas Wahlgren	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I would very much like to remind you about August 2022.....	
11	David Haynes	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Double or triple the boat ramp charges - do you think those tradies on \$120/hr with their \$250k hard top Stabicraft's and \$70k Ford Ranger's are going to be fazed by a \$10 or \$15 charge? Good to see you're proposing to dump the mimimum discharge to land charge - I pay \$60 currently and get absolutely zero from it. It's rentier behaviour.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
14	Benjamin Plows-Kolff	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	The primary reason for my submission is around the schedule of fees and charges related to the Food Act. My partner and I own the Dutch food cart that operates at the Nelson Market every Saturday. As we are renting we had a change of address last year which we duly notified council of. We were shocked to learn that we were going to be charged \$85 to have this basic detail changed. As both of our careers are regulated by a professional body - Teaching Council and the Physiotherapy Board - we already have some experience with regulatory bodies. In both cases, we are able to update our address details ourselves on the regulatory site's website for no cost at all. Being a small food cart that operates 1 morning a week for a very modest income, being charged \$85 (which a proposed increase to \$102) is unjustified. I'm aware there may be some coordination with MPI around this however that still does not justify the fee and certainly indicates an area where efficiency could be massively improved by allowing food vendors to update these basic details themselves. To put it in perspective, the cost to change something like our address for our food registration is well over half of what I get paid for a day of work at my regular job - I have to work close to full day just so that someone can change some digits on a computer screen. That is absolutely not a fair exchange of energy. Furthermore, there is no clear information on NCC's website about charging that amount just to update address details. Under "Activity" there is a very ambiguous term labelled "Amendment to Registration" which I assume is meant to include updating your address details. If you are going to charge for something as basic as updating an address, then I ask that you state that explicitly. The second point I would like to make is that your food plan registration fees are exorbitant for a small business like ours. Maybe if we were a large scale franchise like McDonalds, the costs would be justified for the income made.	
15	Frans Dellebeke	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Stop talking and get on with it.	
19	David Rodd	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Regarding the price increase for berth holders. We feel the price increase is too high as a good majority of the berth holders are on average incomes. With the cost of living being very high at the moment and the Council putting house rates up we feel any rises should be small. Nick Smith [Mayor] is trying to keep house rates down even they are 7.2 per cent. Pleased to hear you are lowering hardstand fees. It might make people have their boats anti fouled or washed more often. Good for the environment. Sincerely David Rodd.	
24	anthony oakly	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	keep them reasonable	
25	James Purves	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I support moving ramp usage charges immediately from \$5 to \$10 rather than to \$6 then to \$15 over the next several years. Regular increases will prolong the moaning about the increase. Quicker increase will produce more revenue sooner. After all the fee does cover all day parking for a vehicle & trailer in addition to provision of the launch ramp. Pretty good value I reckon. Realistically, if you can afford a boat you can afford the fee. Good luck.	
28	David LYTTLE	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I don't have any objection the proposed marina charges provided they are fixed for at least 3 years at the new rate	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
31	Vicky Jackson	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	In general I agree with the proposals. However I would make a suggestion about the Marina charges for longer term visitors. Visitors with yachts, particularly overseas yachts people, should not be limited to a stay of three months. The new Marina Plan and long term proposals are all about attracting visitors and new boats. As a previous longer term yacht visitor to Nelson, now a Nelson citizen, a stay of only three months in the off sailing season is not long enough. Through the summer or the winter, a yacht is probably wanting to stay for five months or so. To keep out of cyclone season in the tropics and/or to do longer refurbishment work on the yacht. This may be in the water or on the hardstand. Either way three months is not attractive. In addition a longer term visitor rate should be established. This should be based on a price per month or per three months; not daily or weekly. These kind of visitors are a captive market if it is made attractive for them to come. They will stay, use local suppliers and trades people, spend money in the city and tell others what a great place Nelson is.	
33	Wei Siew Leong	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Council should be looking very hard at what it is spending money on in a time of economic crisis. It is not OK to keep on expecting people to find money from nowhere to fund expenditure that only benefits a few.	
34	Christian Lang	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Nelson Marina Fees.I believe that you must manage and reduce the marina and hardstand expenditure for the next few years. I have my boat there since 2005. This is the biggest increase in fees since then. We should postpone any further improvements and unnecessary costs until the economy improves and our income catches up. Some of us do not have expensive boats, just love the sport of sailing. Please do not take this away from us. You cannot compare Nelson Marina fees with Auckland or other cities. Nelson wages and the value of boats here are much lower.Perhaps you can use the boat valuation to set fees for permanent moored boats, just like you do with properties. Or perhaps a higher per metre fee as the boat size increases. But first reduce costs please.Thank you.	
37	Dharan Longley	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Fluoridation of Nelson's WaterI strongly oppose the fluoridation of Nelson's water, despite the central govt manadate forcing NCC and all local authorities to do so.This mandate is a flagrant violation of inalienable human rights, the cardinal principle of which is that we have the sovereign right to choose what we put into our own bodies.It is NOT any local or central government's role to do this. Please see the attached documents detailing why Nelson should reject this.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
43	Dan McGuire	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	<p>THINGS YOUR COUNCIL DOESN'T WANT TO TALK ABOUT: The examples in the dubious production known as Live Nelson only identify what NCC wants you to consider. Its constant attempts to put a positive spin on agendas without a word of the colossal calamities that have occurred over the last twelve years is more than a little annoying. Let's start with the new CEO: I am told that he lives in Mapua and will be travelling each day to City Hall in a ratepayer funded vehicle. This is in spite of the fact that NCC has a climate emergency policy which is primarily designed to reduce vehicle numbers – mmmh! Very bad decision that needs to be reviewed: Under the previous mayor, councillors voted for Nelson ratepayers to accept liability for any debt incurred by a combined port-airport entity. It was hoped by those who opposed this arrangement that the current council would revisit the decision, but so far there has been no review. The cost of new infrastructure for the port and airport should be borne by the port-airport entity itself. Any bad decisions that have consequences should not fall on the homes of ratepayers. Archives: This very valuable entity is currently at Isele Park but there is an agenda to shift it to a site beside the present regional museum in Trafalgar Street. Without plans or specifications, wild figures and development costs have been reported in the media which obviously are meaningless. The best solution is to improve and upgrade the existing accommodation at Isele Park. NCC already owns a lot of property in the city that does not contribute to the rate take. This situation must be reversed. Maitai Valley Residential Development: Any prudent council would wait for the court to decide before loading up the ratepayer with infrastructural costs that may not be needed. Too often ratepayers are forced to fund projects that never happen. This warped policy must be modified. Council paying for entertainment: Would it not be better for the private sector to provide</p>	
47	Max Suckling	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	<p>Feedback submission 2023 I agree except with a minor change to #2, reduce liveaboard cost increase to 5% due to the security they provide everyone with plus the general sense of community they provide. These should be factored into their costs as counterfactuals. Increase permanent annual recreational and commercial berth fees by 10%; Increase live aboard charges by 5% Increase visitor berth rates by 20% and include a new rate classification; and Increase public boat ramp launching fees by 20%. Cheers Max D34 since 2014</p>	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
48	Dave Jones	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Dear Nelson Marina management. Thank you for your email and the invitation to make a submission. No doubt bringing your travel lift hard stand charges into line with other marinas will increase your revenue considerably. The same approach to the visitor dock would also boost revenue. For example many marinas give a discount for a stay longer than a week and or a month. Also visiting yachts where nobody lives aboard a considerable discount is & agrave;lso given. Surly in this situation when, no power is required and no rubbish collection there should be a discount The previous marina management Nelmac gave this discount, why was it changed. I should not have to remind you visiting boats bring a lot money which benefits Nelson City. I understand that there is a plan to develope Nelson marina to a world class marina. All well and good but at what cost? What is the point when only the rich and famous can can afford a berth this marina. Cheers, David Jones.	
50	Mark Holmes	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Seems reasonable, but maybe be more assistance for those eligible for rates rebates .	
62	George Gibbs	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	No comment.	
66	Rob Pooley	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Its simple. If the proposed changes are transparent, fair, equitable and deliver quality growth management - I support it.	
67	Ian Williams for The Vic Public House and Burger Culture	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	On the proposals regarding fees and charges, we refer specifically to pages 40 and 56 regarding charges under the Food Act 2014. For the same reasons as in paragraph 2 of my attached submission regarding fairness and affordability, we see no justification for increases in these charges of between 10 and 20% (most are proposed at 20%), and believe that they should be capped at the same CPI rate of 7.2%.	
73	Jill Ford	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Not sure - but given how much its costs for inspections for a renovation be great not to increase these costs.	
76	Jean Edwards	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	he outlined charges in the chart don't make sense- you've missed out the years/dates!	
81	Paul Jennings	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Neutral - they are what they are and I trust NCC to deliver the best value it can in the current environment	
95	Michael Town	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Fees/charges should be covered mostly by those receiving the benefit, not subsidised by all rate payers. I support the minor/moderate increase in fees proposed.	
100	Paul Robinson	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Proposed amendments to the fees and charges under the Navigation Safety Bylaw 20196.1 The proposal in relation to fees and charges under the Navigation Safety BylawAnnual Licence Fee for marina berths, pile berths, commercial berths and live aboard fees Support option 3I note discussion around charging for power and water in the future. Presumably the berth fee would incorporate a set amount of use with excess use being charged. For our vessel there is no power use when we are not on board as batteries maintained by solar. Temporary berth charge Support option 2Marina Berth Fee - Visitor Rate Support option 3Public Boat Ramp Fee Support option 3 I don't understand why the marina is subsidising the ramp when everything else is user pay	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
112	Lindsay Wood for Resilienz Ltd	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Boat ramp charges (refer to attached document).	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=N5UCR3
115	R.T. Morris	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Fees & charges should be limited to inflation.	
116	Pat Morris	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I am against the increases in charges at the marina, it means I and many others will have to give up boating.	
155	Kenneth Benjamin Trathen for Trathens Properties	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I oppose the cancellation of the promised reduction in the percent of total rates payable by commercial ratepayers	
189	Ed Shuttleworth for Tasman Regional Sports Trust	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	As managers of some of Nelson City Council's key sport and recreation facilities we see the direct impact of annual fees increased for the hireage and use of community facilities. The increases to court hire charges at Saxton Stadium and other venues' hire fees for the coming year will have a direct impact on participants as these increases will need to be passed on by sports codes and venue users to cover these extra costs. Additionally, the timing of notification and commencement of these charges (July 1) cause issues for sports codes as these come into effect part way through the winter season when budgets have already been set and registrations levies already advised for the season. These increases doubly affect participants who have already been hit with general rate increases in a time when cost of living is rising rapidly. This may quite likely have an impact on facility usage which will subsequently reduce facility income levels for Council - a catch 22.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
195	John Malcolm Fitchett for J&K Issue Family Trust	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Not that should be repeated CLOSURE In closing I make certain observations - possibly going beyond what one would normally include in a Submission on an Annual Plan - as to road closure(s) or possible changes to reading patterns; or - as to traffic direction or possible changes; or - as to car parking proposals; or - as to timeline for implementation (other than a vague 30 years); or - aAs already acknowledged, everyone wants a vibrant and alive city but it is impossible to make specific comments as to a "Vision"/ without being clearly told -in the consultation s to costs; or - as to the effect of differential rating on the CBD and generally whether any of the multitude of drawings/diagrams/pictures and/or words could be relied on as setting out what was proposed. Being so puzzled, I wrote to the Council and a copy of that letter is attached as p 1 to 4 to this Submission: but only as to the portion in black type. I was then invited to a meeting earlier this week, At that meeting I was given answers to most of my written questions. Those answers were shown in red type. Councillors will see that the response to most of my questions was "Unknown"; but it was acknowledged that one of the over-riding principles of the consultation was that within the whole of that CBD (ie the area bounded by The Ring Roads of Trafalgar/Halifax/Collingwood/Rutherford Streets) pedestrians would have absolute priority over cars and other motorised transport I was told that the consultation papers set out "The Vision" that was being consulted on: and that I could not rely on any of the pictures/words/diagrams as setting out what was proposed. My response was one of surprise: I did not consider that that had been clearly set out in the consultation documents, and I said that it seemed to me that really what Council was asking its ratepayers was "would you each like a free ice-cream" After leaving the meeting I made enquiries, that remains my opinion. I have read both the 95 page Booklet	
200	David B. Jones	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	My main interest in this place is the arts hub. I believe that \$100,000 to "investigate opportunities" & "potential gaps" of community arts facilities is non committal!! I would expect, after having so much consultations with the community over the last year that a substantial plan and discussion document would be in process. Encouraging talents & supporting needs of the local population in the arts is paramount to a healthy social/cultural community. (A child in Arts (sports) is kept out of court- was as apt (as sport) The community needs Accessible resources for: A) performance arts, rehearsal space to develop skills in music, theatre & Dance. B) Workshop space for tutoring the arts. C) Ongoing inspiration: - Craft & Design projects - artists in residence/lecture - Project development grants - exhibitions of new artists work	
202	JR Richardson	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	As above.	
203	Alastair Cotterill	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Economic conditions are tough, possible recession and need to be reviewed.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
208	Tilman Walk	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Put rates and investment up. Get more people to live in Nelson to get more rates. We do not have enough ratepayers to pay for the combined District/Regional council obligations no matter how thin you stretch investment plans. There is one sustainable solution. More ratepayers. Higher buildings. Higher population density. Everything else is a temporary band aid not exactly worth discussing. With interest rates going through the roof the time of complacency (smart little city....) is up. We can not survive on hope and deficit.	
218	Stephen Todd for Federated Farmers of New Zealand	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Fees and Charges12. Federated Farmers understands that most fees and charges have been adjusted to recoverCouncils costs and to offset any resulting rates burden. We however note with concern theincrease in resource consent deposits and some fees and charges which are considered to be toohigh.13. NCC has increased the cost of most activities for which farmers seek resource consent from\$1,575 to \$1,860. Annual fees for activities such as discharge permits are also proposed toincrease. While we support a user-pays regime for services, this needs to be in the context ofconsumer choices about expenditure as farms often do not have a choice as many aspects offarming activity are becoming increasingly regulated, requiring resource consents. We prefer aregime whereby the Council recovers consent costs from its ability to levy additional charges foractual and reasonable costs on each consent application under section 36 of the ResourceManagement Act 1991. This gives applicants an opportunity to scrutinise the costs and ensurethat these represent efficient and fair fees for farming activities.14. The Council's hourly charge-out for processing resource consent is proposed to increase from\$170 per hour to \$197 per hour, this is an increase of 16%. The Councils reasoning for thisincrease is to cover resource consenting costs that are not chargeable to resource consentapplicants such as answering public enquiries, training and reporting or responding to objectionsto conditions or costs5. While this approach may be administratively efficient, it is inequitablebecause it fails to accurately actual and reasonable consent processing costs as it is nottransparent. Federated Farmers recommends that NCC revise the resource management chargesto reflect the expertise and time spent processing each resource consent application.	
225	Louise Devine for Gibbons Holdings Ltd	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Consenting fees increasing more than CPI - the Council needs to try and employ(or train) more planning staff and reduce the reliance in outsourcing to consultants and this may help reduce the cost increases.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
229	Monique / Steve Swart / Hawes	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	The proposed increase above does not reflect any improvement of actual services. E.g. Services offered at the Nelson Marina are not consistently the same. Examples are inconsistent cleaning of Bathroom Facilities, heating in Bathroom Facilities only available at bathrooms near the Marina Office, more washing machines, dryers, parking places available close to the Marina Office, Wifi only available close to the Marina office. CTV Cameras have been put in next to the toilet entrances but it has not stopped males entering the toilets for females, or the other way around which makes you wonder how much security these cameras really provide if the Marina Staff has not been able to stop this. There seem to be no control system in place in regards of how many nights people stay on board whilst only paying standard rates. This seems unfair towards people who pay live aboard fees and see standard rate holders staying on their boats longer than they should which no doubt influences the general Fees charges, such as power, water etc. The Rubbish collection has hugely doubled since the special Rubbish sheds have been built as it seems that boat holders on regular rates regularly dump their home/ household/ garden rubbish there too and it is a breeding place for rats which is not great for berth holders who are parked close to these sheds. We would also note that there are new power stands with meters currently being installed, We assume that the power will be charged separately at some stage in near future without a reduction in live aboard fees, effectively increasing live aboard fees by up to 80% once you include the current proposed 10%. In Summary we would request no pay increase unless the Marina Service can improve towards especially the Live aboard berth holders reflecting fairness, safety, hygiene/ cleanliness for all berth holders and consistency in service. What exactly is the live aboard fee supposed to cover??? as the only extra expense over a normal berth holder is power and	
239	James Donaldson for Nelson Centre of Musical Arts	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Neutral	
245	Anton Blampied	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Marina fees should not be increased. 1/ There has been no real improvement at the Nelson Marina for over 5 years for boat owners. 2/ The only improvement is free wi-fi internet done for Freedom campers. Nelson Marina is in a bad way. Example: 1/ Boats are in the wrong places - boats in wrong size berths, yachts should be separate from motor cruisers ref to bad weather 2/ Marina needs dredging as you can not keep a racing yacht with a 3-meter keel due to grounding. 3/ Steeling & damage to boots are on the increase. 3/ Removing rubbish bins made life hard for boat owners. 4/ Safety - No safety ladders on jetties! 5/ No private parking for boat owners! 6/ No support for the yacht club! 7/ Finally no cleaning of jetties - ref removing seaweed which transfers onto boats. Final point On 27th June 2022 - information Evening Marina Masterplan - Berth Holders (at the Trafalger Centre). A promise was made that berth fees would not go up, made in front of over 200 people.	
250	Grace Sutherland for NA	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Accept proposal - trusting "homework" on the figure has been done.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
262	Rita Symas	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Honest, transparent quotes are needed.Thank you council for keeping rate as low as possible.Continue to budget.Fluoridation, roading, Hospital are all higher priorities.	
280	Johny O'Donnell	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Inevitable and unavoidable	
282	Karen Jordan	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Supported. Costs should be recovered and a transition to full cost reflectivity implemented, notwithstanding these difficult times.	
290	Peter Kemp	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	This is the part of my submission that I wish to speak to. It concerns Section 6.2 on page 41 of the Consultation Document, "Annual Licence Fee for marina berths, pile berths, commercial berths and live aboard fees." First, I am a long term marina berth holder for a very old boat, moored on pile berth 33, which I have held for 11 years. My boat, the Grey Duck, is 72 years old this year. I paid \$6000 for her 11 years ago. She is a very basic boat. I am also a superannuitant, on a fixed income, apart from an annual inflation adjustment. I have no private superannuation, and thus no additional income. I am 76 years old this year. I live alone. The Grey Duck, is a very important element of my mental health, providing me with recreation, exercise, an absorbing hobby, and a community of peers. I believe I am not the only old timer in the marina about whom this could be said. For me, the preferred proposal, to increase the fee by 10%, takes no account of my ability to pay. I think there's a case for there to be a "community facility" aspect to the philosophy of the marina. For low income people who love the sea, their boating is similar to others' tennis or bowling or rugby or mountain biking. They are provided with publicly funded community facilities for their recreation. Mountain bike trails do not require fees, nor do skateboard parks, or rugby grounds or even libraries for that matter ... My fear is that if at least some section of the marina is not regarded as a community facility, a basic and affordable one (perhaps income tested), there will be increases in the berthage fees that will force low income folks out of the marina. Speaking personally, if that happens I would have to leave Grey Duck on anchor somewhere (I cannot afford a mooring), which would be a hazard to both Grey Duck and to other craft in the haven, given the wind strengths we often experience. I'm sure the council would prefer to make safety a priority, for all craft operating out of Nelson, rather than allow hazardous situations	
302	Chrystal Pitcher	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	For fees under the Urban Environment bylaw for Burial plots for adults and children and Burial interments for adults, children and infants I support option 2 that fees remain the same for this. Funeral costs are expensive enough now and subsidies need to remain for this. I do support increasing fees for just crematorium and ash plots which are lower in price.	
307	Stefania Naldi	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Also appalled that the option to retain Annual environmental monitoring based on RMA is only option 3 and it is suggested that environmental monitoring is suspended either in full or in part is ludicrous.Looking at the proposed hikes in fees and charges (some of which are very close to 20%), I am very surprised that the funding to the arts cannot be adjusted for CPI.	

Submitter Number	Submitter	Question	Answer Text	Supporting document link
309	Gaire Thompson	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	I don't believe that the proposed charges are necessary, need more in house savings, it would be a further disincentive from people doing things. This next year is going to be hard on households and bussiness. There should be encouragement for people to install wood burners rather than aircon as would recycle forestry slash and tree trimmings from residential properties.	
316	Nita Knight	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	Applying higher charges can limit progress and the development of new and existing businesses.	
321	Graham Hill for Nelson Marina Berth Holders Association	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	See attached submission	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=RORVHN
332	Ali Boswijk	7 Feedback on proposed changes to fees and charges	See attached document	https://submissions.nelson.govt.nz/manage/getFile.aspx?src=i&r=1&dRef=AI88E1