| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31  |
|--|--|--|---|
| <b>COVID-19</b><br>New Zealand avoids a widespread outbreak of COVID-19 and is at Alert Level 1<br>throughout 2021. Strict border restrictions remain in place until the end of 2021.  | A resurgence of the virus in New Zealand requiring a return to a higher Alert Level. This  | High   | Technology is in place to s<br>minimised and mitigated  |
| From the September quarter 2020, New Zealand's economy begins to recover.<br>There is a gradual recovery in demand from New Zealand's trading partner<br>economies.  | would cause major impacts on Council service<br>delivery, especially if Alert Levels 3 or 4 were<br>returned to.   |  | displaying symptoms. Cor<br>remote working capacity a<br>contactless service deliver<br>and assist in continuous s<br>continuity and response p<br>Level changes will further   |
| Population growth  |  |  |   |
| Nelson's population is expected to increase by just under 2,500 between 2021<br>and 2031 to 57,180. The rate of increase for the first three years of the LTP is<br>expected to be low. This is forecast to increase to the medium rate for the<br>subsequent seven years. The rates of growth are based on projections combining<br>Statistics New Zealand and commissioned demographic analysis data. There are<br>a large number of variables associated with the COVID-19 crisis, which will<br>impact migration into and out of Nelson, including border closures and increasing<br>unemployment.   | That growth is higher than projected, putting<br>pressure on Council services and infrastructure or<br>that growth over the term of the LTP is lower than<br>projected.  | Low  | Council is careful when ap<br>infrastructure planning, gi<br>good margin for error sho<br>projections are reassessed<br>Council's work programme<br>infrastructure is usually be<br>ability to draw on that fut<br>projected. This limits the |
| Ageing population  |  |  |   |
| The proportion of the population aged 65 years and over is projected to increase from 21.8% in 2021 to 27.75% in 2031. Conversely, the proportion of the population aged under 15 years is projected to decrease from 17.8% in 2021 to 16.3% in 2031. All net future growth in Nelson is forecast to be within the over 65 age bracket. In the other direction, young people may leave Nelson in greater numbers as a challenging job market may result in making larger cities or educational opportunities more attractive. As the population ages, it is assumed the proportion of our population on fixed incomes will increase, with a corresponding pressure on Council to limit rates increases. An ageing population also requires a different balance of services/facilities/activities and changes in spending patterns across Council activities. | The age profile may vary from the forecast, with<br>accelerated ageing putting pressure on Council to<br>change the type of facilities and services that it<br>provides. | Medium   | Risks can be mitigated by<br>prepare for these changes<br>assets and provision of se  |

o support essential services. Risk to personnel is d through contact management with those ontinued investment in, and implementation of y and organisational procedures to enable rery will help minimise the risk of transmission, service delivery. Developing and refining plans to quickly adapt to pandemic and Alert er assist in workflow and service delivery.

applying population growth estimates to its given the uncertainties, so there is generally a hould growth be higher than projected. Growth sed for each LTP and adjustments made to me to affect the new forecasts. New built for the medium to long term so there is the uture capacity if population growth is higher than e risk exposure.

by Council working with the community to es, and appropriately modifying investments in services.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Climate change   |  |  |  |
| Climate Change Hazards<br>Council relies on scientific advice from NIWA and governmental advice from the<br>Ministry for the Environment. The frequency and intensity of some types of<br>natural disaster, e.g. flooding and fire, will increase due to the impacts of climate<br>change. This is consistent with recent experience and with scientific predictions of<br>climate change impacts. Increased risk to low lying land of inundation from sea<br>level rise will occur. Temperatures rising will contribute to increased storm rainfall<br>intensity, and total sea level rise by 2090 is projected to be 0.6m-0.9m above<br>pre-industrial levels, with the rate of rise increasing over this time, and additional<br>rise ongoing well beyond 2100. Vulnerabilities to cultural, environmental and<br>social impacts exist for areas of Nelson including, but not limited to, Nelson<br>Central, The Wood, Monaco Peninsula, Rocks Road, the Maitai River, Matangi<br>Awhio/Auckland Point and the Glen.<br>When preparing the Whakamahere Whakatū Nelson Plan the Dynamic Adaptive<br>Pathways Approach will be incorporated for engagement with the community on<br>coastal and climate change impacts. New policy and strategy frameworks will be<br>prioritised and developed to inform adaptation responses.<br>The most likely scenario for Nelson is a 2.2 degrees Celsius increase of<br>temperatures by the end of the century, and days above 25 degrees Celsius<br>increasing from 17 days a year to 61. Correspondingly, annual rainfall will<br>increase by 16%, and the amount of rainfall experienced in a one-in-100 year<br>event will increase by 22%. Conversely, higher temperatures will bring about<br>periods of more intense drought, which has associated fire risks. For the period of<br>the LTP it is assumed that existing levels of service are sufficient to respond to<br>the effects of climate change, and will continue at current levels. | increased costs for Council in both responding to<br>the events and building greater resilience into<br>infrastructure. There is a risk that inadequate<br>assessment of the likelihood and impact of more<br>frequent higher intensity natural hazard events<br>leaves the Council unprepared to respond<br>appropriately. Underestimation of the impacts will<br>lead to greater disruption to the community and<br>essential services, and increased costs to Council.<br>Over estimation of the impacts may result in<br>Council having over-spent in preparing for risk<br>factors. Continued investment in at-risk areas<br>means that the total value of the property and/or<br>infrastructure that is exposed to such risks could<br>increase, unless part of this investment includes<br>risk mitigation. | High   | Climate Change Hazards<br>A characteristic of Nelson is<br>(road network, port, airpor<br>low-lying areas. Council rel<br>guidance, together with ou<br>scientific advice from the N<br>Research - NIWA) in estima<br>when the Ministry for the E<br>inform mitigation initiatives<br>hazards in the draft Nelson<br>addition, Council intends to<br>community and key staken<br>consider a range of potenti<br>Council is developing Civil I<br>change considerations. Add<br>farming, production and fo<br>occurring through advice a<br>as well as investigations in<br>suited for future climate ch<br>Dynamic Adaptive Pathway<br>of the Nelson Plan. This wil<br>response options to climate |
| <u>Greenhouse Gas Emissions</u><br>Council has adopted central government targets and the five year budgets (yet to<br>be determined) for reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 5% by 2025.<br>The cost of this sits within each Council activity. After all reasonable and cost-<br>effective emissions reduction opportunities have been explored, a Council-wide<br>offsetting approach will be developed. The contribution of renewable energy<br>sources to the national grid will progressively increase over time. This is expected<br>to contribute to a steady reduction in the carbon footprint of Council assets that<br>draw on mains power. It is also assumed that Central Government will introduce<br>national-level emissions reductions initiatives that will assist Council in achieving<br>its own carbon emission targets. Council should be able to achieve its emissions<br>targets of net zero GHG emissions other than biogenic methane by 2050, and a<br>24 to 47 percent reduction below 2017 biogenic methane emissions by 2030.  | as too low, public perceptions may be that Council<br>is not taking a leadership position. Conversely, if<br>targets are too high, there is a risk of a failure to<br>meet them, with a corresponding loss of<br>credibility and failure to meet community<br>expectations. Lack of commitment and resource<br>allocation may also result in a failure to achieve<br>targets on time. Failure to directly achieve<br>reductions in emissions could then require<br>offsetting to reach budgets/targets. This could be<br>accommodated through either purchasing carbon   |  | Greenhouse Gas Emissions<br>Engagement undertaken w<br>attainable and supported b<br>allocation of financial and s<br>reporting of progress to Co<br>change through<br>• Investing in modal shifts<br>options such as walking an<br>• Increasing Nelson's liveal<br>implementation of an Urba<br>• Promoting waste minimis<br>reduce waste and increasin<br>waste and green matter, a  |

is the concentration of lifelines infrastructure ort, wastewater treatment ponds etc.) located in elies on the Ministry for the Environment's our own assessments and those of experts (e.g., National Institute of Water and Atmospheric mating sea level rise, and reviews assumptions Environment releases updated guidelines to es. Mitigation efforts include work identifying on Plan and advising affected landowners. In to commence work with iwi partners, the eholders to discuss areas of concern, and ntial mitigation options and their feasibility. Defence Emergency Plans that include climate dditionally, Council supports landowners on forestry land to minimise/reduce erosion. This is and funding for riparian and coastal planting, into which planting approaches will be best change scenarios. Council is also undertaking a ays Planning Approach through the development vill allow consideration of risks, values and ate change.

with the community to set targets that are both by the community at large. Appropriate staffing resources to achieve targets. Regular Council. There is also work to address climate

ts towards public and sustainable transport and cycling

ability through development and

ban Greening Plan and CBD activities

isation by working with the community to

ing organic matter composting, including food

and supporting recycling of plastics 1, 2 and 5.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31  | Description of Risk 2021-31   | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31   |
|---|---|--|--|
| Useful lives of significant assets  |   |  |  |
| It is assumed triennial reassessments of the useful lives of significant assets<br>during the ten year period covered by this plan will continue. Significant assets<br>will have lifespans that are consistent with initial assessments. The detail of<br>useful lives for each asset category is covered in the Statement of Accounting<br>Policies.  | Assets wearing out earlier than predicted and funding needs to be found for replacements.   | Low  | Changes would be made to<br>to allocate funding for repl   |
| Vested Assets   |   |  |  |
| Vested Assets are engineering assets such as roads, sewers and water mains,<br>paid for by developers and vested to Council on completion of the subdivision. It<br>is assumed that vested assets will remain the same over the term of the Plan as<br>projects from the previous five years are completed. If required, additional<br>budget can be added to the plan on account of private development agreements.<br>However as these agreements occur as and when private developers undertake<br>work, this figure is largely indeterminable in advance. Council assumes that the<br>impact of vested assets will be neutral, in that the costs associated with the<br>additional assets will be offset by a proportionate increase in rates revenue. The<br>impact of higher or lower growth is not considered significant. | Given that forecasts of growth, particularly in the<br>first three years of the LTP, are lower than the<br>2018-2028 LTP, vested assets are also expected<br>to be lower. | Low  | Vested assets must be ma<br>for, therefore if growth is I<br>budget to maintain those a                            |
| Inflation/Price changes   |   |  |  |
| Due to the effects of COVID-19 and the Alert Level lockdowns, New Zealand has<br>entered into a recession. There may be some bounce back in the following<br>quarters as economic activity shifts, which may in turn be followed by further<br>recessionary periods. It is assumed this will have a corresponding impact on<br>demand for products and inflation.   | Inflation higher than expected, increasing costs for Council.   | Medium   | There is likely to be some<br>Council will continue to rel<br>required to operate under<br>range of 1%-3%. Current |

e to underlying capital expenditure programmes eplacement assets.

maintained by Council and depreciation provided s higher than forecast Council will increase its e assets.

ne variation in actual rates of inflation, but rely on current parameters the Reserve Bank is er in terms of inflation being held within the nt inflation is sitting at 1.5%.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31   |
|--|--|--|--|
| The economy  |  |  |  |
| The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the New Zealand and Nelson economies and will continue to do so over the LTP period. Lockdowns over the April to June quarter of 2020 led to a quarterly fall in GDP of 12.9%. The number of people on the Jobseekers benefit nationally rose to 204,116 in September 2020, an increase of 43% on the same period last year. The situation remains dynamic and we will not have a settled understanding of the impact for some time. Economic impacts have been defying expectations with stock markets remaining strong, houses prices experiencing record sales and prices, and the labour market has become tight due to increased demand for seasonal labour usually met by migrant workers. In part, this is due to the successful implementation of monetary and fiscal stimulus packages from Central Government, including the wage subsidy, increased government spending and decreased interest rates. The impact of COVID-19 on the economy is heavily sector related at this stage. Sectors associated with tourism such as recreation, hospitality and retail will continue to be adversely impacted as long as New Zealand's international borders remain closed. Tourism numbers are expected to still be at 75% of pre-COVID-19 levels in 2030. Other sectors such as agriculture, construction and manufacturing are largely able to operate as normal. Exports have remained at similar levels throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. The ability of other countries to manage ongoing COVID-19 outbreaks will affect the global economic outlook. Some of New Zealand's markets such as Europe and the US are expected to be hardest hit, while others such as China and other Asian nations are now re-bounding. Any ongoing economic downturn will affect ratepayers and businesses' ability to pay for Council services. Furthermore, it is assumed that the impact of COVID-19 on the economy is likely to disproportionately affect young people and women, due to these groups being more likely to be employed in sectors impacted by COVID-19 as w | exacerbate affordability issues in the community,<br>with some residents and businesses finding it<br>more difficult to meet financial commitments<br>including rates. | Low  | A focus on affordability and<br>Nelson Regional Developm<br>investment in maintaining<br>talent and focus on investr<br>Continued Council investr<br>economy. It is also expect<br>remaining in the workforce<br>older ages and somewhat<br>shortages. Working with e<br>seeking and recruitment o<br>economic catalyst projects<br>establishment of jobs. The<br>across the Nelson populati |

and support for initiatives such as the work of the oment Agency, combined with ongoing Council ng Nelson's attractiveness as a destination for stment by supporting the regional economy. tment in projects which will help reinvigorate the ected that the proportion of older adults rce will continue to rise, improving incomes at at mitigating against forecast workforce n external stakeholders to facilitate ease of job c outcomes in Nelson, coupled with ensuring cts occur, will support the retention and these will be targeted at a range of demographics ation.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31   | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31  |
|--|---|--|---|
| Labour market  |   |  |   |
| There are significant labour market shortages that make it difficult for Council to<br>hire staff with appropriate technical qualifications it needs to deliver work<br>programmes. In the long term sustained labour market shortages are expected,<br>which may be compounded by a decreasing proportion of the population in<br>Nelson being of working age. Shortages in particular skill areas are likely. COVID-<br>19 may further impact this with access to overseas job markets being<br>significantly hindered, potentially offset by skilled returnees increasing the labour<br>market.   | A more competitive marketplace with<br>accompanying labour shortages. Council may not<br>be able to deliver work programmes on time due<br>to the absence of enough sufficiently qualified<br>staff. Social requirements, such as balancing<br>demographics among new staff, may increase<br>recruitment costs and timeframes. Greater<br>reliance on consultants to fill temporary workforce<br>gaps may increase costs. | High   | Reconsidering service deli<br>maintain work programme<br>pool of expertise to recrui<br>Council implement a targe<br>working arrangements.  |
| Growth in rating units   |   |  |   |
| The estimated growth in the City's ratings units is 0.6% across the ten years of<br>the Long Term Plan.<br>Year Growth Number of rating units Year on year increase $2021/22$ $0.6\%$ $22725$ $2022/23$ $0.6\%$ $22861$ $136$ $2023/24$ $0.6\%$ $22998$ $137$ $2024/25$ $0.6\%$ $23136$ $138$ $2025/26$ $0.6\%$ $23275$ $139$ $2026/27$ $0.6\%$ $23555$ $140$ $2028/29$ $0.6\%$ $23696$ $141$ $2029/30$ $0.6\%$ $23981$ $143$  | Growth in rating units is higher or lower than projected.   | Low  | Council has used current provider (Quotable Value)<br>along with an assessment  |
| <b>Natural disasters</b><br>It is assumed that natural disasters will occur independently of climate change in<br>the Nelson area during the life of the Long Term Plan. Nelson is located on a<br>faultline meaning a major earthquake is not a matter of if but when. Similar risks<br>of landslides and flood events that do not have climate change as a contributing<br>factor are present. Fire risk, particularly in summer, presents a risk to housing<br>and infrastructure in Nelson. Droughts are likely to contribute to the frequency<br>and intensity of fire hazards. Plans are made through the Nelson Tasman Civil<br>Defence Emergency Management Group which illustrate the degree of risk faced<br>by Nelson in terms of natural disasters including earthquakes (infrequent but high<br>consequence) and flooding (likely but lower consequence). | Greater than anticipated magnitude or frequency<br>of natural disaster events resulting in greater<br>costs for Council in both recovery and building<br>greater resilience into infrastructure.  | High   | A characteristic of Nelson<br>(road network, port, airpo<br>near hazards such as fault<br>the coast. Increasing awai<br>legislative requirements w<br>resilience in buildings and<br>work identifying potential<br>affected landowners. Prior<br>and remediation (over 50°<br>unreinforced masonry buil<br>transport routes for emerg<br>approved. Identification of<br>these routes is to be comp<br>buildings will be required to<br>identification.<br>Identification of other pote<br>completed by 2027. Own<br>seismic work. |

elivery to address skills shortages, and to help nes. Remote working options may increase the uit from. Changing the work programme to help geted variety of employment scenarios and

t property information from its valuation service e) to assess the level of growth in rating units, nt of year on year increases from recent years.

n is the concentration of lifelines infrastructure bort, wastewater treatment ponds etc.) on or ult lines, vulnerable soils, low-lying ground and vareness of Earthquake Prone Buildings through will increase understanding of earthquake ad infrastructure. Another mitigation effort is the al hazards in the draft Nelson Plan and advising ority has been given to identification (completed) 0% completed as of quarter two 2020/21) of uildings in Nelson's central city. Strategic ergency response have been identified and of potentially earthquake prone buildings along mpleted by 2022. Owners of these prioritised d to complete seismic work within 12.5 years of

otentially earthquake prone buildings is to be iners of these will have 25 years to complete

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31  |
|--|--|--|---|
| Earthquake prone buildings   |  |  |   |
| It is assumed Council will face ongoing costs with regard to earthquake prone<br>building assets, particularly Council premises in relation to those around Civic<br>House. It is assumed Civic House remains at 100% code compliance. However,<br>decisions about work to be undertaken and the timing of any necessary work will<br>allow costs to be adequately spread. Council as a Territorial Authority is required<br>to identify Earthquake Prone Buildings (EPB) and issue EPB notices. Identification<br>of all priority buildings is completed by 30 June 2022, and all EPB by 30 June<br>2027.   | New work is identified, or required work is more<br>significant than anticipated. Risk that if there is a<br>greater number of actual and potential<br>Earthquake Prone Buildings than anticipated, and<br>that legislative deadlines may not be met.<br>Significant additional expenditure on earthquake<br>strengthening buildings may not be met by<br>assigned budgets.  | Medium   | Managing staff tasks and t<br>dealing with increased wor<br>to review buildings and up<br>high risk buildings first.  |
| Upcoming Central Government Legislative and Regulatory<br>Changes  |  |  |   |
| <ul> <li>Changes to legislation impacting local government and the economy are likely to take place during the period of the Long Term Plan. It is assumed that central government will work with councils to ensure any legislative and regulatory changes are managed appropriately, and to ensure benefits from its commitment to partnership with the local government sector are realised. It is assumed that any changes will result in increased costs to ratepayers. These changes are in addition to already declared changes below:</li> <li>National Policy Statements on Urban Development, Indigenous Biodiversity, Air Quality, Highly Productive Land, Discharge to Air of Greenhouse Gases, and Freshwater Management</li> <li>National Environmental Standards on Freshwater and Marine Aquaculture</li> <li>Resource Management (Measurement and Reporting of Water Takes) Regulations and Resource Management Act.</li> </ul> | Central Government's proposed changes will<br>significantly increase Council's work programme<br>and need to be funded through either changes in<br>budgets, increased efficiency, support from<br>central government or higher rates. Increased<br>services, for example in environmental<br>monitoring, should benefit current and future<br>generations. The changes to the National Policy<br>Statement for Freshwater Management will impact<br>monitoring and reporting requirements, plan<br>development and implementation effects for<br>infrastructure and the natural environment.<br>Council's work programme in this area will change<br>correspondingly to respond. Additional information<br>will be included in the final LTP assumptions. |  | By working closely with ce<br>its obligations under upcor<br>will allow Council to best fo<br>in the final LTP.   |
| Amalgamation of water services - Three Waters  |  |  |   |
| Council's budgets for the Long Term Plan 2021-2031 will be prepared assuming<br>that there will be a continuing provision of water services by Council. The current<br>central government work programme proposes that new entities be established<br>to manage the Three Waters services by July 2022. If this arises, Council will<br>incorporate changes into the relevant Annual Plan and/or LTP.  | Amalgamation of water provision into a regional<br>entity would require adjustments to the Long<br>Term Plan in regards to water services.   | High   | Council will continue to en<br>neighbouring councils as t<br>activities continues. On 13<br>of Understanding with Cen<br>Council participation. A de<br>outlining the planned finar |

# d time budgets in order to optimise efficiency in vorkloads. Produce a report evaluation and plan upgrade by the legally required deadline. Review

central government, Council can best understand coming regulatory and legislative changes. This t forecast what resources will need to be included

engage with central government and s the policy direction for the Three Waters 13 August 2020, Council entered a Memorandum central Government to secure funding as well as delivery plan has been submitted to MBIE nances and work programme for the project.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31  | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31   |
|---|--|--|--|
| Cost to deliver Capital projects  |  |  |  |
| A competitive local market / impact of COVID-19 means tenders are being received with prices above expectations. Furthermore, additional requirements and compliance issues that are included in contractual terms, such as carbon and freshwater requirements and waste minimisation, may increase prices further. It is assumed that this escalation of prices will continue in the medium term. Annual budgets are assumed to be slightly higher from 2024-2031, relative to 2021-2023. Therefore it is assumed that major projects will be completed on time and within budget. | Increases in project prices results in higher costs,<br>with potential upward pressure on rates and debt.<br>Delays in project completion or additional costs<br>may result in other major projects being<br>reassessed in terms of both available budget and<br>timeframes for completion. Important projects<br>that run into significant cost increases, that are<br>deemed essential, may require rates or borrowing<br>increases or reallocation of funds from other<br>projects to offset the higher costs.  |  | Increased flexibility in the<br>projects could help mitigat<br>programme to ensure ade<br>commencing is undertaker<br>available.   |
| Delivery of the Capital programme   |  |  |  |
| New capital budgets have been prepared assuming that they will be delivered.<br>However, Council has made an overall downward adjustment to the total capital<br>programme of approximately 10% per year.<br>This adjustment acknowledges that Council is unlikely to use the full amount of<br>contingency in the programme for every project and enables Council to avoid<br>overfunding the activities.  | Delays in project delivery within the capital<br>programme that are greater than the 10% lag<br>assumed.<br>Alternatively that more than 90% of projects<br>within the capital programme are delivered on<br>time than assumed through the 10% lag. If more<br>projects are delivered on time than anticipated,<br>Council's debt will be higher than forecast with an<br>associated increase in interest costs.<br>Delays in project completion or additional costs<br>may result in other major projects being<br>reassessed in terms of both available budget and<br>timeframes for completion. Important projects<br>that run into significant cost increases, that are<br>deemed essential, may require rates or borrowing<br>increases or reallocation of funds from other<br>projects to offset the higher costs. | High   | Increased flexibility in the<br>projects could help mitigat<br>programme to ensure ade<br>commencing is undertaker<br>available.   |
| Interest rates  |  |  |  |
| In preparing the Long Term Plan Council has assumed the following interest rates<br>(figures taken from the 2021-31 LTP):<br>Financial Year<br>22 - 2.94%<br>23 - 2.78%<br>24 - 2.67%<br>25 - 2.73%<br>26 - 2.83%<br>27 - 3.00%<br>28 - 3.05%<br>29 - 3.12%<br>30 - 3.14%<br>31 - 3.19%   | Higher interest rates will increase costs for<br>Council. Lower interest rates will decrease costs.  | High   | Base interest rate assump<br>Zealand 90 day bank bill r<br>bank bill averages. Counci<br>fixed rate borrower swap h<br>future credit margins. If a<br>assumed rate, this cost we<br>requirements adjusted. A<br>interest rates has been pro<br>Council is also a member of<br>which provides access to h<br>directly from banks. |

ne capital works programme around timing of gate this trend. Reassessing Council's work dequate consultation and analysis prior to work ken so that Council has the best information

ne capital works programme around timing of gate this trend. Reassessing Council's work dequate consultation and analysis prior to work ten so that Council has the best information

nptions use the most recent Reserve Bank of New II rate forecasts, and long term historical 90 day ncil's all-up interest rate cost includes the current p hedge portfolio and assumptions regarding factual interest rates are higher than the would be rated for, or future borrowing A degree of protection against fluctuating provided through the use of interest rate swaps. er of the Local Government Funding Agency, o loans at a lower rate than Council could obtain

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Loan arrangements  |  |  |  |
| It is assumed that the Local Government Funding Agency (LGFA) will be able to meet our borrowing requests within their Policy Covenants set by the LGFA Shareholders.  | Access to committed loan facilities less than expected.  | Medium   | The Local Government Fund<br>funding sources away from<br>borrow for longer terms.   |
| Insurance costs  |  |  |  |
|  | Premiums increasing above inflation and/or<br>Council cannot obtain 100% cover.  | Medium   | Council may reassess levels<br>funds for covering premium  |
| Return on investments  |  |  |  |
| It is assumed that the return on investments, including dividends from Council<br>Controlled Trading Organisations and retained earnings on subsidiaries, will be<br>lower in the first three years of this Long Term Plan as the economy recovers<br>from COVID-19. Then it is assumed it will recover to pre-COVID-19 levels.  | Returns lower than expected.   | Low  | Council could increase rates<br>reducing levels of service.  |
| Revaluation of Non-Current Assets  |  |  |  |
| Nelson City Council's accounting policy provides for its most significant asset<br>classes (infrastructure assets and land, excluding land under roads) to be<br>revalued with sufficient regularity that the carrying value does not differ<br>materially from fair value.<br>The revaluations will be taking place in the following years:<br>30 June 2022<br>30 June 2024<br>30 June 2026<br>30 June 2028<br>30 June 2030                     | Actual revaluation results differ significantly from<br>those forecast in this Long Term Plan.                               | Medium   | Maintaining best practice in<br>assets' carrying value differ  |
| We revalue PPE every year of the plan based on LGCI Capex.   |  |  |  |
| Depreciation is calculated based on revalued PPE each year – depreciation on<br>existing assets therefore increases each year starting in Year 2 (as a result of the<br>prior year revaluation).<br>Nelson City Council's accounting policy provides for its most significant asset<br>classes (infrastructure assets and land, excluding land under roads) to be<br>revalued with sufficient regularity that the carrying value does not differ |  |  |  |
| NZ Transport Agency funding  |  |  |  |
| Waka Kotahi has confirmed the Financial Assistance Rate (FAR) will remain at the same rate (51%) over the term of the LTP, but that the total pool of funding has been reduced. This means fewer capital projects may be carried out.  | Less funding from Waka Kotahi means Council's<br>share of project costs may increase, or projects<br>may need to be changed. | Medium   | Changes to the funding price<br>Council's control, however a<br>Council to reassess its trans<br>costs and make up capital s |

| Inding Agency should allow Council to diversify |  |
|---|--|
| m the local banks, as well as being able to     |  |

evels of service to reduce costs and provide more niums.

rates to provide more capital, or it could consider ce.

ce in accounting policies to minimise risk of lifering significantly from fair value.

priorities of the Waka Kotahi are outside ver any significant change to the FAR may require transport work programme in order to reduce ital shortfalls.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31   | Description of Risk 2021-31  | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31   |
|--|--|--|--|
| Co-funding arrangements  |  |  |  |
| funding, this funding will continue to be available. It is assumed that where<br>Council could be eligible for Government funding, e.g. Three Waters, COVID-19   | Partners may no longer be in a position to provide<br>funding which may result in an increased level of<br>funding from Council, or the termination of the<br>project.   | Medium   | Viability of projects would<br>consider its ongoing comm<br>from other sources.  |
| Sources of funds for the future replacement of assets  |  |  |  |
| It is assumed that funding for the replacement of existing assets will be obtained from the appropriate sources as detailed in Council's Revenue and Financing Policy.   | That a particular funding source is unavailable.   | Low  | Depreciation is used to fur<br>and user charges. Should<br>subsidies or development/<br>forecast in a particular act<br>through its central treasur  |
| Relationship with iwi  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>central to improving outcomes for iwi/Māori and the Whakatū/Nelson community.</li> <li>It is assumed that Council will resource activities to support greater opportunities for:</li> <li>Meaningful engagement between iwi and Council (i.e. regular meetings at governance, management and operational levels)</li> <li>Increased iwi participation in Council decision making</li> <li>Staff and elected members will continue to develop their understanding of iwi and Māori priorities, legislation, te reo Māori and tikanga Māori.</li> </ul> | Establishing ways of working with iwi/Māori<br>requires resources that may not be available. For<br>example (i) iwi may not always be reimbursed for<br>their time to provide advice and guidance on a<br>project, so there is a personal and financial cost<br>to iwi; (ii) staff may not have time available to<br>attend professional development courses to<br>improve understanding of cultural differences<br>The risk of not resourcing opportunities to<br>strengthen an authentic Council iwi partnership<br>are:<br>• It is perceived as an insincere relationship<br>• Unrealistic expectations from both Council and<br>iwi, which leads to tensions<br>• A competing requirement of iwi staff time that is<br>under resourced<br>• Council working reactively with iwi<br>• Project delays. |  | The allocation of adequate<br>relationship with iwi and p<br>representatives) to be incl<br>for project delays. The inc<br>and strategic documents s<br>relationship with iwi. Ensu<br>the beginning of the proce<br>and highlight the sincerity |
| Resource consents  |  |  |  |
| It is assumed that any resource consents held by Council due for renewal during<br>the life of the plan will obtain consent. It is assumed, however, that the consents<br>will be subject to a more rigorous process, given national direction in areas such<br>as freshwater. Work has commenced on the project to secure a new consent for<br>the Nelson Wastewater Treatment Plant which is required in December 2024.  | Conditions of resource consents altered and significant new compliance costs or consents cannot be renewed as expected.  | Medium   | Budgets are in place for re  |

ld be reviewed and Council would need to nmitment. Funding for projects may be sought

fund renewals and is funded mainly through rates Id other sources of capital funding such as nt/financial contributions differ from levels activity, Council is able to access borrowings sury function.

ate staffing and resources to enhance the I provide opportunities for iwi (or iwi endorsed included in the planning stages reduces the risk inclusion of iwi engagement principles in planning is sets the levels of expectation for Council in its suring these are well understood and outlined at incess will mitigate the risk of misunderstanding, ty of the relationship.

resource consents.

| Forecasting Assumption 2021-31  | Description of Risk 2021-31   | Impact if<br>assumption not<br>correct 2021-<br>31 | Mitigation 2021-31  |
|---|---|--|---|
| Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS)  |   |  |   |
| Council assumes that ETS costs will rise as a result of the Climate Change Response<br>(Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act 2020. Council has assumed that ETS costs will<br>rise as a result of the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act<br>2020. Council has assumed the cost of carbon will be:<br>• \$37.50 per NZU for 2021/2022<br>• \$42.50 per NZU for 2022/2023<br>• \$47.50 per NZU for 2023/3024<br>• %50 per NZU for 2024/2025 to 2030/2031<br>The potential ETS liability from the Nelson Tasman Regional Landfill Business Unit is<br>combined with that of Tasman District Council. The Business Unit is proposing to mitigate the<br>increase by improving landfill gas collection and destruction and to pass the remaining cost<br>to consumers through landfill charges. | That ETS costs are higher than assumed, costing<br>Council more than forecast through the Regional<br>Landfill Business Unit. | Medium   | If the increase in ETS cost<br>may need to increase was<br>increase rates to fund the |

osts are materially higher than assumed, Council aste management fees and charges further or nese costs.